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## INTRODUCTION

Five species of Pacific salmon are major contributors to the economy of the State of Alaska. Pink salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha), on the average, provide a larger portion of the total annual catch of salmon than any other salmonid species (Neave et al. 1967) and are therefore very important to the commercial fishery.

Kodiak Island is one of the foremost areas of pink salmon production, contributing about $40 \%$ of the total pack in 1976 (Carson and Frohne 1977). Indeed, pink salmon is by far the most abundant and economically important species of salmon on this Island.

Adult salmon returning to Kodiak Island usually spawn during the period from late July to early October in intertidal and upstream portions of many water courses. Consequently, each stream may have two (or more) spawning populations. After the eggs hatch in late autumn the alevins remain in the gravel until sometime between March and early May, and then migrate into adjacent estuaries. Estuarine residence is approximately 6 months prior to leaving for the open sea. They remain in the open ocean for nearly 11 months before returning to spawn. Two exceptions to this are Karluk and Red rivers that have no estuary of any consequence. At present, the area of residence for these juveniles is unknown.

Intermingling adult pink salmon populations are subjected to the commercial fishery before reaching their natal streams in the Kodiak District. The primary means of capture is the purse seine; stationary gillnets and beach seines are used somewhat, but to a lesser extent. The management of pink salmon is based primarily upon the attainment of escaptment goals, and once these salmon enter the purse seine fishery, it is virtually impossible to determine their stream of origin. This uncertainty presents a major problem in management because of the need to harvest each stock in a manner that will allow adequate escapement to each spawning ground and permit the maximum allowable catch.

In recent years, a new biochemical technique, starch gel electrophoresis, has been developed with the potential to distinguish spawning stocks by detecting genetic variations of certain proteins (enzymes). Frequencies of these genetic variants in a population of fish can sonetimes be used to distinguish it from other populations. Utter et al. (1970) applied this technique to coho salmon of Washington and Oregon. They found a significant difference in the frequency of (variant) transferrin alleles and could thus distinguish Columbia River and Fraser River spawning stocks from coastal populations. Other researchers using this technique (Allendorf 1975; Utter et al. 1973; May 1975; Utter et al. 1976; Allendorf and Utter 1978; Utter et al. 1979) also detected major divisions of natural salmonid population units. Statistical differences in the frequencies of variant proteins
may also exist between individual spawning stocks within natural population units.

The Fisheries Research Institute (FRI) in conjunction with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) felt Kodiak Island would be a good location to examine the capabilities of this method for stock separation of pink salmon. The Fisheries Research Institute has done considerable biological work on both the juvenile and adult life stages of Kodiak pink salmon in cooperation with $A D F \& G$, and these ongoing studies were easily modified for the collection of genetic data. Work was begun on this project in earnest during the summer of 1976. This is the fourth and final report concerning this work and includes a synthesis of all of the other reports, as well as more recently collected data. Our objective in this study was to ascertain if the spawning stocks of pink salmon on Kodiak Island could be distinguished on the basis of electrophoretically detectable protein variations.

## Gene Frequency Determination for Stock Separation

Migratory habits of Kodiak Island pink salmon are highly variable within the Kodiak management area. Bevan (1959) showed that adults tagged on the northeastern side of Afognak Island were recovered from many locations adjacent to Afognak Island, Kodiak Island, and the mainland. This observation indicates that fish captured in one location may not be destined for that same area. Thus, to assure that individual populations are harvested in proportion to their production, a method is needed to identify individual stocks within a mixed fishery. The accuracy of management decisions by ADF\&G would be greatly enhanced by a rapid, inexpensive method of distinguishing stocks.

Presently, many methods are available to provide data that will potentially distinguish individual stocks, or populations, but only two yield fairly definitive results in a relatively short analytical time. One is scale character analysis using various discriminant functions to separate populations (Cook and Lord 1978; Cook 1979). The other is biochemical determination and analysis of population genetic differences.

A previous electrophoretic study of pink salmon (Aspinwall 1974b) showed few differences between spawning populations within a year class based on the analysis of only two proteins. In this study we have looked at additional polymorphic enzymes which we hoped would enable us to better distinguish the individual spawning stocks.

Comparison of Year Classes and Life Stages
There is almost no genetic exchange between odd-year and even-year classes of pink salmon because of their 2-year life cycle. Aspinwall (1974b) found major gene frequency differences between odd-year and
even-year classes, presumably reflecting an absence of gene flow. Therefore, we examined both even-year (1976) and odd-year (1977) populations.

In addition, three different life stages of the pink salmon were studied to get a measure of gene frequency stability. Samples of adult spawners from their natal streams were analyzed for both 1976 and 1977. Samples of the offspring from these spawners were taken from fry digs in March and April of 1977 and 1978. During June of 1978 an additional sample of smolts (progeny of the 1977 year-class spawners) was captured by surface trawl (townet) in Alitak Bay. Although these samples were not necessarily from the same breeding populations, these data provided an assessment of generalized differences between life stages.

## Breeding Experiments

Several enzyme systems (notably malic enzyme and glucose-6phosphate dehydrogenase) possess what appears to be genetically determined variation; however, the results could not be interpreted with any known Mendelian model (Utter, personal communication). Therefore, genetic breeding experiments were conducted at Kitoi Bay hatchery with the purpose of determining whether inheritance of these allozymes followed Mendelian patterns.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Horizontal starch gel electrophoresis is a method by which genetic differences among proteins of individual fish can be analyzed. In this procedure, mixtures of proteins are placed in a starch gel matrix and made to migrate by applying an electrical current. Since proteins have an electrical charge inherent in the components of their structure, each type has a characteristic migration distance. Thus, a change in the "typical" migration distance of an enzyme can be recognized by electrophoretic analysis and reflects a change in the gene that codes for that enzyme. Enzymes exhibiting genetically different forms are classified as allozymes or isozymes.

In order to detect where these isozymes are localized in the starch gel after migration, it is necessary to stain them. This is accomplished either by use of staining techniques which use the specific biochemical activity of individual enzymes or by non-specific staining which identifies all proteins present at concentrations above a threshold level. Thus, by combining the separation of isozymes and the specific staining characteristics of these molecules, we are able to measure genetic variability among individual fish in a population.

The quantity of the variable genes (gene frequency) is characteristic of a given population and will remain stable over generations provided the following three conditions are met: (1) consistently
large population size; (2) random mating; and, (3) no selection, mutation, or migration. While we cannot be completely assured that all of these conditions are met, work on other salmonid species (May 1975) and our previous work on the pink salmon populations of Kodiak Island indicate no serious discrepancies from expectations.

The importance of this stability to fish management is that we can obtain data on a basic biological characteristic of component populations of a fishery with a relatively easy and inexpensive method. Also, because the gene frequencies are characteristic of a population and tend to remain stable over time, they provide data that can separate stocks reliably.

One limitation is that relatively few genes can be analyzed compared to the total number of genes in an individual because techniques have been developed for only a limited number of proteins (20-30). This number varies with the developmental state of the animal and condition of tissue sample. In addition, not all enzymes we analyze show sufficient genetic variability or genetic divergence to be useful. For instance, in this study 14 enzymes were routinely analyzed, but only five demonstrated useful polymorphism (genetically determined multiple forms): alpha-glycerophosphate dehydrogenase (AGP), aspartate amino transferase (AAT), malate dehydrogenase (MDH), phosphoglucomutase (PGM), and malic enzyme (NE). Thus, theoretically, the number of populations that could be separated was that which showed distinctive variation in one or more of these five enzyme systems. For the purposes of this report the common form of the allele is referred to as "A" while all variants are designated "B," "C," and "D" in descending order of occurrence, (unless otherwise specified).

Isozymes were analyzed in adult tissue samples collected from 29 streams for the even-year and 22 streams for the odd-year cycles (Table l, Fig. 1). The streams were located on Afognak and Kodiak Islands and were chosen for the magnitude of their contribution to the fishery. Approximately 50 fish were collected from each stream by personnel of the Kodiak office of the ADF\&G under the direction of Larry Malloy, fishery biologist. The samples were frozen as soon as possible after collection, and remained frozen ( $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) until processing for electrophoresis. Small portions (approximately lo 2 g ) of liver and muscle tissue, and a few drops of vitreous eye fluid from each fish were placed in three separate test tubes. Since the liver and muscle tissue did not contain sufficient liquid to enable subsequent analysis, a few drops of distilled water were added to the test tubes containing liver and muscle tissue; the samples were homogenized and then centrifuged to remove cellular debris. A small amount of the supernatant from the test tubes was absorbed into a piece of filter paper, termed a wick. The wicks were then placed into previously prepared starch gels (May 1975). Each gel contained only wicks with one type of tissue sample. All starch gels were subjected to electrophoresis for periods ranging from 2 to 4 hours. At the termination of electrophoresis the

Table 1. Streams sampled for this study (for actual sites refer to numbered locations on Fig. 1).

| Stream | Stream number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Life } \\ \text { stage } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Brood year | Year of collection | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { collected } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malina Cr. | 251-105 | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 17 |
| Portage Cr. | 251-825 | Adult | 1974 | 1976 | 45 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 32 |
| Seal Bay Cr. | 251-901 | Adult | 1974 | 1976 | 44 |
| Kitoi Cr. | 252-314 | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 50 |
|  |  | Adult | 1975 | 1977 | 72 |
| Danger Cr. | 252-332 | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 14 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 50 |
| Marka Cr. | 252-334 | Adult | 1974 | 1976 | 44 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 49 |
| Afognak Cr. | 252-342 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 27 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 50 |
| Sharatin R. | 252-371 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 45 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 45 |
| Uganik R. | 253-122 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 15 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 50 |
| Terror R. | 253-331 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 11 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 6 |
| Baumann's Cr . | 253-332 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 49 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 2 |
| Uyak R. | 254-202 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 12 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 22 |
| Brown's Lagoon | 254-204 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 49 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 29 |
| Zacher R. | 254-301 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 12 |

Table 1. Streams sampled for this study (for actual sites refer to numbered locations on Fig. 1) continued.

| Stream | Stream number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Life } \\ \text { stage } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Brood year | Year of collection | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { collected } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Karluk R. | 255-101 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 45 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 20 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 49 |
| Karluk Lagoon | 255-101 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Adults | 1976 | 1978 | 50 |
| Red R. | 256-201 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 28 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 46 |
| Red Lake | 256-201 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
| Akalura Lagoon | 257-302 | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 49 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upper Station } \\ & \text { Cr. } \end{aligned}$ | 257-304 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 49 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 47 |
| Narrows Cr. | 257-401 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 28 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 19 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 41 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 8 |
| Horse Marine Cr . | 257-402 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 47 |
| Dog Salmon R. | 257-403 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 47 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 61 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 32 |
| Deadman R. | 257-502 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 48 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 36 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 33 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 26 |
| Humpy R. | 257-701 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 39 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 44 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 42 |
| Kiliuda Bay | 258-206 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
| Kiliuda Bay | 258-207 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 23 |

Table 1. Streams sampled for this study (for actual sites refer to numbered locations on Fig. 1) continued.

| Stream | Stream number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Life } \\ \text { stage } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Brood year | Year of collection | Number collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barling R. | 258-522 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 11 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 16 |
| Kaiugnak R. | 258-542 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 42 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 29 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 40 |
| Seven Rivers | 258-701 | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 28 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 44 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 18 |
| Pillar Cr . | 259-102 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 40 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 48 |
| Buskin R. | 259-211 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 49 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 15 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 47 |
|  |  | Fry | 1977 | 1978 | 22 |
| American R. | 259-231 | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 50 |
| Sid O1ds R. | 259-242 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 25 |
| Miam Cr . | 259-412 | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 13 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 10 |
| Hurst Cr. | 259-414 | Adults | 1974 | 1976 | 50 |
|  |  | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 20 |
|  |  | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 65 |
| Saltery Cr. | 259-415 | Adults | 1975 | 1977 | 46 |
| Kinak Cr, | 262-451 | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 16 |
| Geographic Cr. | 262-501 | Fry | 1976 | 1977 | 15 |



Fig. 1. Map of Kodiak Island and surrounding area showing statistical areas and stream designations used by Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
starch gels were sliced into several layers (usually 5) and each layer was stained for a different enzyme. The staining solutions used were those detailed by Shaw and Prasad (1970). For additional detail on this technique see May (1975). After staining, the phenotype of each fish was recorded for every enzyme system analyzed. Phenotypes were coded onto computer cards and the data were analyzed using existing computer facilities.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## The Genetic Basis of Protein Variation

Certain criteria must be met before we could assume that an observed protein variation was an actual reflection of genetic variation. A genetic basis was regarded as confirmed if progeny of parents having known isozyme variations conformed to models of simple Hendelian inheritance (Utter et al. 1974; Allendorf and Utter 1978).

Aspinwall (1973, 1974a) confirmed the genetic nature of AGP and MDH variations found in pink salmon. AAT-3 (numbers refer to the specific locus involved) variation was determined to be genetic in chum salmon by Nay (1975) and is presumed to be the same in pink salmon. Inheritance studies for PGM in sockeye (Utter and Hodgins 1970) have also demonstrated simple Mendelian segregation for this enzyme. Many other enzyme variations of salmonids seen on starch gels have been confirmed to be actual genetic variations (May 1975).

The genetic nature of the variation observed in three enzymes in Kodiak pink salmon populations (ME, IDH, and $G_{6} P D H$ ) had not yet been confirmed by breeding data. Therefore, specific crosses involving these enzyme variations were made. If they were found to have a genetic basis, we could then use them to enhance our capability for stock separation.

Another purpose for our genetic crosses was to determine if the genes which code for these enzymes are located on the same chromosome (i.e., linked). Linkage could lead to bias in the statistical analysis of their gene frequencies since independence of genes is assumed. Knowledge of the interrelationships of genes is also important for designing and evaluating any future selective breeding programs.

Genetic crosses were made at the Kitoi Bay hatchery during the summer of 1977 on the basis of variations found in the following enzymes: AGP, AAT, MDH, PGM, ME, IDH, G6 PDH, and esterase (EST). The progeny were reared on location until their yolk sacs were absorbed. They were then frozen, and sent to FRI for analysis. Unfortunately, only the enzymes expressed in the muscle tissue of the fry could be analyzed (AGP, MDH, PGM, and ME) because we were unable to rear them large enough to obtain sufficient liver and eye tissue.

The results of our specific crosses are listed"in Appendix A. Malic enzyme ( $\mathrm{HE}-\mathrm{l}$ ) variation showed simple Mendelain inheritance with what appears to be a one-locus, two-allele system. We therefore concluded that the observed variation of $M E$ is indeed genetic and have included gene frequency data for this enzyme in our analysis.

We also confirmed the genetic nature of the enzymes AGP, MDH, and PGM in pink salmon. We were unable to detect any chronosomal linkage between any of the genes coding for $A G P-1, ~ M D H-3, ~ P G M-1, ~ a n d ~ M E-1 . ~ T h e ~$ observation is not too surprising considering that pink salmon have 26 chromosome pairs and therefore the probability of these genes being located on the same chromosome is small.

## Exploratory Staining

Another aspect of the project was to screen enzyme systems that were not reported previously for pink salmon, with the specific purpose of finding additional isozymes that might be useful in stock separation. Juvenile samples (1977) were used for this screening because they were in better condition than the 1976 adults. Table 2 shows all of the enzymes stained.

## Specific Protein Staining

Alpha-Glycerophosphate Dehydrogenase (AGP)
This protein is expressed phenotypically in pink salmon as a single locus (Appendix C), having two alleles with the variant allele faster migrating than the common form. It is one of the easiest enzymes to read and interpret.

All populations (except Uganik River, for the 1974 brood year) gave quantifiable results. Chi-square analysis (Table 3) showed no significant difference between year classes for this protein. Gene frequency estimates of the common allele ranged from 0.77 to 1.00 (Appendix B).

Aspartate Aminotransferase (AAT)

Aspartate aminotransferase is a dimeric enzyme encoded by two loci in muscle tissue and one locus in the eye (May 1975). The two loci expressed in muscle tissue of pink salmon (AAT-1, 2) showed no variation. The locus expressed in the eye (AAT-3) had a slow migrating variant allele. The banding pattern displayed is characteristic of a dimeric enzyme encoded by a single locus having two codominant alleles (Appendix C).

The only polymorphic locus (AAT-3) was best expressed in the eye vitreous fluid. In contrast to the results obtained for the 1976 adults, most 1977 populations ( 17 out of 22 ) gave readable results.

Table 2. Protein enzymes screened for genetic variation.

| Enzyme | Abbreviation | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha glycerophosphate dehydrogenase | (AGP) | Variation |
| Aspartate aminotransferase - eye | (AAT) | Variation |
| Malate dehydrogenase | (MDH-A \& B) | Variation |
| Lactate dehydrogenase | (LDH) | Variation |
| Phosphoglucomutase | (PGM) | Variation |
| Malic enzyme | (ME) | Variation |
| Creatin kinase | (CK) | Variation |
| Phosphoglucose isomerase | (PGI) | Variation |
| Phosphomannose isomerase | (PMI) | Variation |
| 6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase | (6-PGHD) | Variation |
| Isocitrate dehydrogenase - liver | (IDH) | Variation |
| Glucose-6-phosphate dehyrogenase | $\left(G_{6} \mathrm{PDH}\right)$ | Genetic crosses will be necessary to interpret the results |
| $\beta$-hydroxybuterate dehydrogenase | ( HBDH ) | Monomorphic |
| Sorbitol dehydrogenase | (SDH) | Monomorphic |
| Peptidase | (PEP) | Monomorphic |
| Triose phosphate isomerase | (TPI) | Monomorphic |
| Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | ( $\mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{PDH}$ ) | Monomorphic |
| Acid phosphatase | - ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Monomorphic |
| Aldolase |  | Monomorphic |
| Esterase | (EST) | Monomorphic |
| Alcohol dehydrogenase | (ADH) | Unreadable |
| Leucine aminopeptidase | (LAP) | Unreadable |
| Octanol dehydrogenase | (ODH) | Unreadable |
| Xanthine dehydrogenase | ( XDH ) | Unreadable |
| Adenylate kinase | (AK) | Unreadable |
| Hexokinase | (HK) | Unreadable |
| $\beta$-Glucuronidase |  | Unreadable |
| L-Alanine amino transferase |  | Unreadable |

Table 3. Chi-square comparison of even-year and odd-year spawning pink salmon gene

| Enzyme | Year of spawning | N | A | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {fast }}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {slow }}$ | $x^{2}$ comparison |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGP | even-1976 adults | 1254 | . 888 | . 112 | - | $\chi^{2}=0.18 \mathrm{df}=1$ |
|  | odd- 1977 adults | 967 | . 884 | . 116 | - |  |
| AAT-3 | even-1978 Karluk Lagoon | 50 | . 760 | - | . 240 | $\chi^{2}=0.06 \mathrm{df}=1$ |
|  | odd- 1977 adults | 580 | . 769 | - | . 231 |  |
| MDH-B | even-1977 fry | 640 | . 984 | . 015 | . 001 | $\chi^{2}=43.9 \mathrm{df}=2$ |
|  | odd-1977 adults | 990 | . 964 | . 016 | . 020 |  |
| PGM | even-1976 adults | 1281 | . 975 | . 025 | - | $\chi^{2}=17.3 \mathrm{df}=1$ |
|  | odd- 1977 adults | 969 | . 952 | . 048 | - |  |
| ME | even-1976 adults | 503 | . 730 | . 270 | - | $\chi^{2}=200 \mathrm{df}=1$ |
|  | odd-1977 adults | 832 | . 931 | . 069 | - |  |

Estimates of gene frequency for the common allele ranged from .68 to . 90 (Appendix B). There was no detected difference between the 1977 frequencies and the frequency of the limited 1978 sample.

Malate Dehydrogenase (IDH)
MDH is a four-locus system that is expressed in two sets of duplicated loci (Appendix C); one group is designated MDH-A, the other MDH-B (Bailey et al. 1970). Significant differences in gene frequencies were noted between year classes for MDH-B (Table 3). Indeed, the odd-year class samples possessed a very slow MDH-B variant allele not found in the even-year sampling. This allele appears to have been classified as a fast MDH-A variant by Aspinwall (1974a). We had considerable difficulty obtaining clear results for the 1976 adults; therefore, only 8 of 19 populations could be scored (Appendix B). However, the progeny from that year class and all the odd-year samples gave excellent data; hence, the comparison of year classes.

We found the fast-migrating form of MDH-A to be rare in the 1974 brood year, occurring in samples from only three populations (Kaiugnak River, Seven River (lower fork), and Kitoi Creek) although this variant did occurr in several populations of the 1975 brood year (Appendix B). In contrast, the slower migrating $\mathrm{MDH}-\mathrm{A}$ variant allele was found in approximately one-half of the 1976 streams sampled, while only minor amounts of variation in samples from five streams of the 1975 brood year were detected (Appendix B).

Patterns for the $M D H-B$ system were similar to MDH-A. The slow variant (as opposed to the very slow variant, Appendix C) was infrequent in both spawning years. The fast allele was expressed in most of the samples from 1976 and 1977 (19 for 1976 and all but 4 for 1977). The very slow allele was found at low frequency for most populations in the odd-year class, but was virtually absent in the even-year class (Appendix B).

## Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDI)

Isozymes determined by five loci (numbered 1 through 5) were stained, but only two of the five loci ( $\mathrm{LDH}-1$ and $\mathrm{LDH}-4$ ) showed any variation (Appendix C). Infrequent variation was noted for both loci in each year class. LDH-I variants were slightly more frequent than LDH-4 variants. Due to the small number of samples that showed variation, this protein was not used in the year-class comparisons. Sample gene frequencies ranged from .07 to . 00 for the LDH-1 variant (slower migrating that the common form). One note of interest, Karluk River and Geographic Creek, had sample gene frequencies of . 07 (variant allele), considerably more variation than any other even-year streams (Appendix B).

LDH-4 variation in 1976 was only found in the Seven River (upper fork) samples (. 04 for a fast-migrating form) while just four populations sampled demonstrated variation (.02 to .00) in 1977 (Appendix B).

Phosphoglucomutase (PGM)
This protein stained reliably only in the adult samples of both years, and was used in year class comparisons of adult populations where significant differences were found (Table 3). The common allele was slower migrating than the variant (Appendix C) with sample frequencies of .79 to 1.0 for 1976 and . 81 to 1.0 for 1977 (Appendix B).

Malic Enzyme (ME)
Malic enzyme was not included in the analysis of the 1974 year class (Donnelly et al. 1977) because we were unsure of the genetic interpretation even though we tabulated the data (Appendices B \& C). Subsequent breeding studies (discussed above) confirmed a Mendelian inheritance for this protein and these data were later included. We found a substantial difference between the year classes with average gene frequency estimates of . 73 and . 93 for the 1974 and 1975 brood years, respectively (Table 3 ).

Additional Isozymes
Creatine kinase (CK), phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI), phosphomannose isomerase (PMI), 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (6-PGDH) and isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) all showed some variation in samples collected during 1976 (Donnelly et al. 1977). These proteins were not included in the analysis for separation capabilities or comparison of year classes due mainly to their low variability and unclear results for a large number of samples.

Three proteins (acid phosphotase, esterase (EST), and peptidase (PEP)) showed no variation for either year class. A fourth protein (glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase) showed variation, but the genetic interpretation is unclear.

## Juveniles and Smolts

The juvenile pink salmon were not large enough to permit analysis of specific tissues. Therefore, a homogenate of the whole organism was made. Unfortunately, this homogenate lacked sufficient quantities of some enzymes. Indeed, no enzymes associated with liver (e.g., IDA) or eye tissue stained well enough to interpret. $\mathrm{ME}-1, \mathrm{PGM}$, or PEP, enzymes normally strongly expressed in muscle tissue, also did not stain satisfactorily in juvniles.

Of 39 different stream collections analyzed for the 1974 year class, 17 of these had both adult and juvenile progeny samplings (Table 1). Significant differences between adult and juvenile gene frequencies were found in only one population (Terror River); however, because of the small sample sizes of juveniles taken from these 17 streams, their estimated gene frequencies had correspondingly large statistical variances. Since these juvenile gene frequency estimates were sufficiently homogeneous, they were pooled to increase the statistical power of their comparison with the adult gene frequencies. The pooled average gene frequency of the AGP variant for the juveniles was significantly different from that of the adults (Table 4). The average frequency for the adults was .ll7, the juveniles . 165 .

Table 4. Comparison of pooled adults and juvenile AGP gene frequencies.

|  | Adult |  |  | Juvenile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | A | B | N | A | B |
| 767 | . 883 | . 117 | 467 | . 835 | . 165 |
| $\mathrm{X}^{2}=11.43 \quad \mathrm{DF}=1$. |  |  |  |  |  |

There are a number of possible reasons that could be used to explain these results, e.g., sampling error, selection, sub-populations within the runs, etc.; however, the most logical reason relates to the actual sampling. There are indications that gene frequencies can vary both spatially and temporally within a given run, i.e., reflect heterogencous gene pools (Utter, personal communication). The adult samples used in this study were taken from a limited area within most streams and from essentially one point in time. The juvenile samples may have the same shortcoming as the adult samples except the temporal problem is probably reduced when the manner of sampling and emergence conditions are considered (on sight observations). The egg digs were done in such a manner that samples were taken with the full spectrum of development, thereby eliminating some of the timing problems; however, adult sampling was not done in a systematic manner throughout the spawning grounds. Thus, we may not have obtained representative samples of the entire population.

Four samples of pink salmon smolts were taken from Alitak Bay in June of 1978. Gene frequencies of these four samples (Appendix B-5)
did not vary significantly from each other (Table 5), nor were they significantly different from the 1977 adult sample gene frequencies.

Because of the small samples of 1978 juveniles only the pooled average frequencies could be used, and the streams sampled for 1978 juveniles were not in all cases the same as those sampled for 1977 adults (Table 1). No definite conclusions can therefore be made concerning the causes of the differences between these life stages. However, we can conclude that when comparing gene frequencies from different geographical regions, it is best to sample fish at the same life stage using the same sampling method.

## Population Structure

There are several methods of measuring the relationships between different populations based on their gene frequencies (Sangvhi 1953; Nei 1972; Rogers 1972; and others). Most are designed to show taxonomic relationships that may reflect genetic distances. The assumptions underlying these measurements are:

1) That the actual (not estimated) gene frequencies are known.
2) That a random sample of genome has been examined.
3) That all different forms (alleles) of a gene can be detected.

As with most purely theoretical models the actual application of a technique tends to use less than the theoretically best data. Usually, conscientiously designed sampling plans and adequate sample sizes result in close approximations of these assumptions. However, in our particular study we found that many sample sizes and detectable loci were far too few, resulting in a gross violation of assumptions. Therefore, we felt another technique, chi-square homogeneity test, would give better results. Table 5 lists the results of homogeneity tests of gene frequencies for all the streams sampled. The 1976 adult spawners, the 1977 ( 1976 brood year) juveniles, and the 1977 adult spawners showed significant heterogeneity of gene frequencies among their composite streams. These three groups were further analyzed to determine which streams or groups of streams gave distinctive gene frequencies.

The gene frequencies of all pairs of streams were compared and corresponding chi-square probabilities were calculated. The two populations whose gene frequencies were most similar were grouped and their gene frequencies averaged. Comparisons were again made, and again the two most similar populations (or groups of populations) were grouped and the process continued. The results were graphed as dendrograms from this cluster analysis, and are shown as Figs. 2, 3, and 4.

The dendrogram for the 1976 adults (Fig. 2) was based on AGP and PGM gene frequencies. These were the only two polymorphic enzymes we

- $G$ OTqEJ

| Population | No. of subsamples | Enzyme | $x^{2}$ | d.f. | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 adults | 28 streams | AGP | 75.7 | 27 | < . 001 |
|  | 25 streams | PGM | 225.8 | 24 | < . 001 |
|  |  | Total | 301.5 | 51. | < . 001 |
| 1977 fry(1976 brood year) | 25 streams | AGP | 13.8 | 24 | $>.05$ |
|  | 6 streams | MDH-B | 14.4 | 5 | < . 05 |
|  |  | Total | 28.2 | 29 | $>.05$ |
| 1977 adults | 22 streams | AGP | 24.4 | 21 | $>.05$ |
|  | 16 streams | AAT-3 | 15.4 | 15 | $>.05$ |
|  | 20 streams | MDH-B | 55.8 | 38 | < . 01 |
|  | 20 streams | PGM | 44.9 | 19 | < . 01 |
|  | 18 streams | ME | 17.4 | 17 | $>.05$ |
|  |  | Total | 157.9 | 110 | < . 01 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1978 \text { fry } \\ & \text { (1977 brood year) } \end{aligned}$ | 7 streams | AGP | 4.2 | 6 | $>.05$ |
|  | 6 streams | MDH-B | 3.1 | 5 | $>.05$ |
|  | 3 streams | PGM | 1.0 | 2 | $>.05$ |
|  |  | Total | 8.3 | 13 | $>.05$ |
| 1978 smolts <br> (1977 brood year) | 4 tows | AGP. | 0.6 | 3 | $>.05$ |
|  | 4 tows | AAT-3 | 1.0 | 3 | $>.05$ |
|  | 2 tows | MDH-B | 0.3 | 1 | $>.05$ |
|  | 4 tows | PGM | 4.9 | 3 | $>.05$ |
|  | 4 tows | ME | 4.7 | 3 | $>.05$ |
|  |  | Total | 11.5 | 13 | $>.05$ |



Fig. 2. Dendrogram of gene frequency clusters for 1976 adult samples, utilizing AGP and PGM gene frequencies.
could reliably score for all the populations (due to the poor condition of some tissue samples). The clustering of the streams based on these gene frequencies does not reflect any geographical structure. Some streams from widely separated areas are clustered together and some streams from the same geographical area are found in separate clusters.

The juveniles of the 1976 brood year were also subjected to cluster analysis of their gene frequencies (Fig. 3). Again, there is no apparent geographic structure to the populations based on gene frequencies for AGP and MDH-B. The populations of juveniles clustered differently from the adults. This may be due to the fact that the adults' dendrogram is based on AGP and PGM gene frequencies whereas the juveniles' dendrogram is based on AGP and MDH-B gene frequencies. Also, the streams sampled for juveniles were not in all cases the same as those sampled for adults.

The 1977 adult spawners provided the best data in this study. A large number of tissue samples in good conditions was collected and analyzed from each of the 22 streams studied. Cluster analysis was based on the gene frequencies of five polymorphic enzymes, AGP, AAT-3, MDH, PGM, and ME-l (Fig. 4). The increased number of enzymes appears to make the structure somewhat more realistic; however, the situation is still not good, as evidenced by Deadman River being grouped with Sharatin, Narka, Kitoi, and Afognak rivers (all the latter are on Afognak Island, while the former is located at the southern end of Kodiak Island).

The two largest even-year spawning streams on Kodiak Island are Karluk River and Red River, with recorded spawning escapements sometimes in excess of one million pink salmon. Gene frequencies differed significantly for AGP and PGM between upstream and downstream spawners in both rivers. In addition, the LDH-1 frequencies were different in Karluk River for upstream and downstream (Karluk Lagoon) populations. Karluk Lagoon and Red Lake samples were genetically similar (except for LDH-1) but different from all other samples, including mainstream Karluk and Red River (Fig. 2). Based on this evidence, there seem to be at least two, and possibly more, subpopulations within each of the two river systems.

Tissue samples were collected in Karluk Lagoon from the 1978 spawners to determine if the distinctive gene frequencies for this area were consistent from spawning year to spawning year. Table 6 lists the gene frequencies of the 1978 Karluk Lagoon spawners and compares them with the gene frequencies obtained from the 1976 Karluk Lagoon spawners. The 1978 Karluk Lagoon sample did not have the distinctive gene frequencies of the 1976 sample. This implies that there may be several subpopulations within the lagoon spawning at different locations or times, a not unreasonable assumption considering that returning salmon are more likely to spawn near the location where they hatched. If sampling is done in just one small area, progeny from only
$x^{2}$ Probability of Homogeneity


Fig. 3. Dendrogram of gene frequency clusters for 1977 juvenile samples, using AGP and MDH-B gene frequencies.



Fig. 4. Dendogram of gene frequency clusters for 1977 adult samples, using AGP, AAT-3, MDH-B, PGM-1, and ME-1 gene frequencies.

Table 6. Gene frequencies and 95\% confidence intervals (C.I.) of the 50 adults sampled in 1976 and 1978 from Karluk Lagoon; "A" designates the common allele and "V" the variant allele(s).

| Enzyme | A | 95\% C.I. | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {fast }}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {slow }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1976 |  |  |
| AGP | 1.00 | . $97-1.00$ | 0 | -- |
| LDH-1, 2, 3, 4 | 1.00 | . $97-1.00$ |  |  |
| PGM | . 89 | . $81-. .94$ | . 11 | -- |
| CK | 1.00 | . $97-1.00$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1978 |  |  |
| AGP | . 85 | $.78-.92$ | . 15 | -- |
| AAT-3 | . 76 | $.67-.85$ | -- | . 24 |
| MDH-A | . 98 | . $94-.99$ | . 005 | . 015 |
| $\mathrm{MDH}-\mathrm{B}$ | . 97 | . $93-.99$ | . 025 | . 005 |
| LDH-1 | . 99 | . $95-1.00$ | -- | . 01 |
| LDH-2, 3, 4, 5 | 1.00 | . $97-1.00$ |  |  |
| PGM | . 99 | . $95-1.00$ | . 01 | -- |
| ME-1. | . 69 | . $60-.78$ | . 31 | - |
| CK | 1.00 | . $97-1.00$ |  |  |
| PGI-1,2,3 | 1.00 | . $97-1.00$ |  |  |
| PMI | 1.00 | . $97-1.00$ |  |  |

a few spawning pairs might be collected and these may not be representative of the total stock. The observation of more than one subpopulation of pink salmon inhabiting the same stream is not unique to Kodiak Island. The same phenomena was observed in Prince William Sound pink salmon populations (Seeb and Wishard 1977). The lack of geographic structuring and the possibility that several distinct subpopulations inhabit the same stream further complicates any attempt at separating stocks of pink salmon.

The small samples of 1978 juveniles exhibited gene frequencies not significantly different from random samples taken from one large homogeneous population (Table 5). The four samples of smolts also showed no significant heterogeneity. Therefore, no further statistical analysis was done on these two groups.

An analysis of Kodiak pink salmon gene frequencies suggests a rather complex population structure. Within a year class, gene frequencies among individual stream systems are quite similar, possibly reflecting a large degree of interbreeding or straying. Yet there are some streams and even some samples from the same stream that have distinct gene frequencies. Severe population constrictions or limited sampling could account for gene frequency differences if the fish sampled were, by chance, not representative of the total population. Thus, random drift could account for the few observed instances of gene frequency differences, while the large degree of straying or migration would tend to diminish these differences (Utter et al. 1979).

Because of the pink salmon's tendency to stray and interbreed with other populations (Merrell 1962; Vernon 1962), spawning populations (at least within the Kodiak area) do not have gene frequencies sufficiently distinct to enable separation. However, if particular rare protein variants were bred into a population, it could then be distinguished from other populations in a mixed fishery.

Although pink salmon populations on Kodiak show few differences in gene frequencies between streams within a year class, there are definite differences between the even-year and odd-year classes (Table 3). Even though the same streams were not always sampled for both year classes (because some streams only support one year class of any consequence), we feel these results are valid. The apparent straying of pink salmon spawners probably reduces the heterogeneity within each year class; therefore, we feel we were (for the most part) comparing two panmictic populations. Of the five isozyme frequencies compared, MDH-B, PGM, and ME-1 showed significant gene frequency differences. Aspinwall (1974b) also found significant differences in gene frequencies between the year classes of pink salmon.

These results support the view of two genetically distinct groups of pink salmon (even-year and odd-year classes). This difference has management implications because we would not expect the two year
classes to respond in the same manner to either natural or man-made environments. For example, Ricker et al. (1978) suggests that each year class has different heritabilities for size of adult fish. Therefore, each year class should be managed as a separate entity.

One note of caution should also be mentioned in regard to the management of individual spawning populations. Although the gene frequencies we examined differed little among these populations, this does not mean that these populations are genetically the same. Electrophoresis cannot detect all genetic differences. The enzymes we examnined are all basic to general metabolism. Other genes controlling less basic functions may be more likely to differ among spawning populations because of differential selection pressures presented by the individual stream environments. Indeed, Bams (1976) found evidence of locally-adapted genes affecting the homing ability of pink salmon.

Although the results of this investigation indicated that naturally-reproducing pink salmon otocko could not be rcliably separated on a geographical (home-stream) basis, there is a potential for using biochemical genetic analyses to aid fishery management. With the availability of pink salmon hatcheries and their control of reproduction, artificial manipulation might be employed to increase genetic variation among stocks. By marking populations with genetic tags, their contribution to the fishery could be determined and some indication obtained on the importance of these genetic differences to the fish populations. In addition, the relative cost, and stress on the marked fish, are reduced to the maximum possible. The data thus gleaned should provide a better picture of the dynamics of pink salmon stocks and, therefore, a more productive, reliable commercial fishery.

## SUIMARY

1) Breeding studies showed that malic enzyme (ME) variation in pink salmon can be explained by codominant autosomal inheritance.
2) No chromosomal linkage was detected among AGP, MDH-B, PGM and ME-1 loci.
3) Differences of gene frequencies between adults and juveniles were detected but may be due to the different sampling methods employed.
4) Gene frequency differences between samples suggest that the Karluk River and Red River (as well as other river systems) may each contain more than one spawning population.
5) No apparent geographical patterns of gene frequencies were observed.
6) Although pink salmon populations on Kodiak Island exhibited some heterogeneity of gene frequencies, the differences were not of sufficient magnitude to be used in management-related stock separation.
7) Significant differences of three isozyme frequencies ( $M D H-B, P G M$, and $M E-1$ ) were found between the even-year and odd-year classes.
8) Genetic heterogeneity among the populations sampled appears (for the most part) to be a reflection of the unique life history of the pink salmon rather than a reflection of geographic heterogeneity.
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APPENDICES

Appendix Table A. Results of genetic crosses performed on pink salmon at Kitoi Bay hatchery.
(Legend: Presumed genotypes (refer to Appendix C)
$\mathrm{A}=$ Conmon allele
$\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}=$ Variant alleles)


Appendix Table A. Results of genetic crosses performed on pink salmon at Kitoi Bay hatchery - continued.
(Legend: Presumed genotypes (refer to Appendix C)

```
A = Common allele
B,C,D = Variant alleles)
```



Appendix Table B-1. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (C.I.) of

| District number | Stream name | Stream number | AGP |  |  |  | PGM |  |  |  | CK |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $n$ | $\wedge$ | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B |
| 251 | Seal Bay Cr. | 901 | 44 | . 94 | (.87-.98) | . 06 | 44 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 44 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Portage Cr. | 825 | 45 | . 82 | (.73-.89) | . 18 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 10 | 50 | . 98 | (.93- .99) | . 02 |
| 252 | Afognak R. | 342 | 50 | . 86 | (.78-.91) | . 14 | 50 | ${ }^{1} 1.00$ | (.95-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Sharatin Bay | 371. | 45 | . 84 | (.76-.91) | . 16 | 50 | . 96 | (.90-.58) | . 04 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 01 |
|  | Marka R. | 334 | 44 | . 89 | (.80-.94) | . 11 | 44 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 44 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
| 253 | Terror R. | 331 | 50 | . 93 | (.86-.97) | . 07 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Uganik R. | 122 | - | - | (.86-.97) | - | 50 | . 96 | (.90-.58) | . 40 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Baumann's Cr. | 332 | 49 | . 87 | (.79-.92) | . 13 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
| 254 | Brown's Lagoon | 204 | 49 | . 92 | (.82-.94) | . 08 | 49 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 49 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Uyak R. | 202 | 50 | . 87 | (.79-.92) | . 13 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 10 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Zachar R. | 301 | 39 | . 87 | (.78-.93) | . 13 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
| 255 | Karluk R. | 101 | 45 | . 93 | (.86-.97) | . 07 | 47 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 47 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Karluk Lagoon | 101 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | 0.00 | 50 | . 89 | (.81-.94) | . 11 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
| 256 | Red R. | 201 | 28 | . 88 | (.76-.94) | . 12 | - | - | ( ${ }^{-}$ | - | 50 | - |  | - |
|  | Red Lake | 201 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | 0.00 | 45 | . 79 | (.69-.86) | . 21 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 01 |
| 257 | Narrows Cr. | 401 | 28 | . 93 | (.83-.97) | . 03 | 29 | 1.00 | (.95-1.00) | . 00 | 29 | 1.00 | (.95-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Deadman R. | 502 | 45 | . 89 | (.81-.94) | . 11 | 48 | . 96 | (.90-. 58 ) | . 04 | 48 | . 98 | (.93-.99) | . 02 |
|  | Dog Salmon R. | 403 | 47 | . 77 | (.67-.84) | . 23 | 47 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Humpy R. | 701 | 39 | . 83 | (.74-.90) | . 17 | 39 | 1.00 | (.96-1.00) | . 00 | 39 | 1.00 | (.96-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Horse Marine Cr | . 402 | 47 | . 83 | (.74-.89) | . 17 | 47 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 47 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Upper Station C | . 304 | 37 | . 89 | (.80-.94) | . 11 | 49 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 49 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
| 258 | Kiliuda Bay | 206 | 50 | . 91 | (.84-.95) | . 09 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Kiliuda Bay | 207 | 46 | . 89 | (.81-.94) | . 11 | 50 | . 98 | (.93-.59) | . 02 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 01 |
|  | Barling R. | 522 | 50 | . 92 | (.85-.96) | . 08 | 50 | . 95 | (.89-..c8) | . 05 | 50 | . 96 | (.90-.98) | . 04 |
|  | Kaiugnak R. | 542 | 46 | . 84 | (.75-.90) | . 16 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 49 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
| 259 | Hurst Cr. | 414 | 48 | . 88 | (.79-.93) | . 12 | 44 | . 89 | (.80-. .4) | . 11 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Sid Olds R. | 242 | 48 | . 90 | (.82-.94) | . 10 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 01 | - | - | ( ${ }^{-}$- 0 |  |
|  | Buskin R. | 211 | 49 | . 94 | (.87-.97) | . 06 | 49 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 49 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 |
|  | Pillar Cr. | 102 | 36 | . 78 | (.67-.86) | . 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Kodiak Total |  |  | 1254 | . 888 | (.875-.901) | . 112 | 1281 | . 975 | (.969-.981) | . 025 | 1194 | . 994 | (.991-.997) | . 006 |

Appendix Table B-1. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ conficience intervals (C.I.) of

| District number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stream } \\ \text { name } \end{gathered}$ | Stream number | L.DH-1 |  |  |  | LDH-4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | n | $\wedge$ | 95\% C.I. | B | n | $\wedge$ | 95\% C.I. | B |
| 251 | Scal Bay Cr. | 901 | 44 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 44 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Fortage Cr . | 825 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 252 | $\wedge$ fognak R. | 342 | 50 | . 98 | (.93-.99) | . 02 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Sharatin Bay | 371 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 01 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Harka R. | 334 | 44 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 44 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 253 | Terror R. | 331 | 50 | . 97 | (.92-.99) | . 03 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Lganik R. | 122 | 50 | . 98 | (.93-.99) | . 02 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Raumann's Cr. | 332 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 01 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 254 | i.rown's Lagoon | 204 | 49 | . 99 | (.94-1.00) | . 01 | 49 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | tyak R. | 202 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 01 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Eachar R. | 301 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 255 | Karluk R. | 101 | 47 | . 98 | (.93-.99) | . 02 | 47 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | larluk Lagoon | 101 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 256 | Red R. | 201 | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ |
|  | Red Lake | 201 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 257 | Narrows Cr. | 401 | 29 | . 98 | (.91-1.00) | . 02 | 29 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Deadman R. | 502 | 48 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 48 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Dog Salmon R. | 403 | 47 | . 99 | (.94-1.00) | . 01 | 47 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Humpy $R$. | 701 | 39 | 1.00 | (.96-1.00) | . 00 | 39 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Horse Marine Cr. | 402 | 47 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | - 47 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Upper Station Cr. | 304 | 49 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 49 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 258 | Kiliuda Bay | 206 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
|  | Kiliuda Bay | 207 | - | - | ( $97-1.00)$ | . 00 | 50 | 1.0 |  | 0.0 |
|  | Barling R. | 522 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Kaiugnak R. | 542 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 01 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 259 | Hurst Cr. | 414 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.00) | . 01 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Sid O1ds R. | 242 | 50 | 1.00 | (.97-1.00) | . 00 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Buskin E. | 211 | 49 | . 96 | (.90-.98) | . 04 | 49 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Pillar Cr. | 102 | 40 | . 98 | (.91-.99) | . 02 | 40 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  |  |  | 231 | . 992 | (.988-.996) | . 008 | 1231 | 1.0 | (.99-1.0) | 0.0 |


| Appendix Table B-1. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Gene frequencies and } 95 \% \text { confidence } \\ \text { intervals (C.I.) of } 1976 \text { adulst for } \\ \text { ME; "n" designates sample size. } \\ \text { Continued. }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { District } \\ \text { number }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Stream } \\ \text { name }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Stream } \\ \text { number }\end{array}$ | n |


Appendix Table B-2. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (C.I.) of the 1977 emergent fry (1976 brood year) for AGP, LDH-1 and LDH-4; "n" designates sample size.

| District number | Stream name | Stream number | AGP |  |  |  | LDH-1 |  |  |  | LDH-4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B |
| 251 | Malina Cr. | 105 | 17 | . 88 | (.73..95) | . 12 | 17 | 1.0, | (.92-1.0) | 0.0 | 17 | 1.0 | (.92-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Portage Cr. | 825 | 32 | . 91 | (.81-.96) | . 09 | 32 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 32 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 252 | Kitoi Cr. | 314 | 50 | . 84 | (.76-.90) | . 16 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.0) | . 01 | 50 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Danger Cr . | 332 | 14 | . 89 | (.73-.96) | . 11 | 14 | 1.0 | (.90-1.0) | 0.0 | 14 | 1.0 | (.90-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Afognak R. | 342 | 27 | . 83 | (.71-.91) | . 17 | 27 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 27 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 253 | Uganik R. | 122 | 15 | . 83 | (.66-.93) | . 17 | 15 | 1.0 | (.91-1.0) | 0.0 | 15 | 1.0 | (.91-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Terror R. | 331 | 11 | . 73 | (.52-.87) | . 27 | 11 | 1.0 | (.87-1.0) | 0.0 | 11 | 1.0 | (.87-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 254 | Uyak R. | 202 | 12 | . 83 | (.64-.93) | . 17 | 12 | 1.0 | (.88-1.0) | 0.0 | 12 | 1.0 | (.88-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Brown's Lagoon | 204 | -29 | . 81 | (.69-.89) | . 19 | 29 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 29 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 255 | Karluk R. | 101 | 20 | . 85 | (.71-.93) | . 15 | 20 | . 93 | (.80-.97) | . 07 | 20 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 256 | Red R. | 201 | 46 | . 85 | (.76-.91) | . 15 | 39 | . 99 | (.93-1.0) | . 01 | 39 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 257 | Narrows Cr. | 401 | 19 | . 82 | (.67-.91) | . 18 | 19 | 1.0 | (.92-1.0) | 0.0 | 19 | 1.0 | (.92-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Dog Salmon R. | 403 | 58 | . 83 | (.75-.89) | . 17 | 61 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 61 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Deadman R. | 502 | 36 | . 88 | (.78-.93) | . 12 | 36 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 36 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Humpy R. | 701 | 44 | . 83 | (.74-.89) | . 17 | 44 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 44 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 258 | Kiliuda Bay | 207 | 23 | . 85 | (.72-.93) | . 15 | 23 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 | 23 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Barling R. | 522 | 10 | . 75 | (.53-.89) | . 25 | 11 | 1.0 | (.87-1.0) | 0.0 | 11 | 1.0 | (.87-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Kaiugnak R. | 542 | 42 | . 82 | (.73-.89) | . 18 | 42 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 42 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Seven Rivers <br> (lower fork) | 701 | 1 | . 88 | (.75-.95) | . 12 | 14 | 1.0 | (.91-1.0: | 0.0 | 14 | 1.0 | (.91-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Seven Rivers (upper fork) | 701. | 14 | . 86 | (.69-.94) | . 14 | 14 | 1.0 | (.90-1.0) | 0.0 | 14 | . 96 | (.82-.99) | . 04 |
| 259 | Buskin R. | 211 | 15 | . 83 | (.66-.93) | . 17 | 15 | 1.0 | (.91-1.0) | 0.0 | 15 | 1.0 | (.91-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Sid Olds R. | 242 | 23 | . 80 | (.67-.89) | . 20 | 25 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 | 25 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Miam R. | 412 | 13 | . 92 | (.76-.98) | . 08 | 13 | 1.0 | (.89-1.0) | 0.0 | 13 | 1.0 | (.89-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Hurst Cr. | 414 | 20 | . 83 | (.68-.91) | . 17 | 20 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 | 20 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 262 | Kinak Cr . | 451 | 16 | . 72 | (.55-.84) | . 28 | 16 | . 97 | (.84-.99 | 0.0 | 16 | 1.0 | (.91-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Geographic Cr. | 501 | 15 | . 87 | (.70-.95) | . 13 | 15 | . 93 | (.79-. 98 ) | . 07 | 15 | 1.0 | (.91-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Total |  | 637 | . 84 | (.82-.86) | . 16 | 636 | . 99 | (.99-1.0) | . 01 | 636 | . 99 | (.99-1.0) | . 01 |

Appendix Table B-2. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (C.I.) of the 1977

| District | Stream | Stream | n | ${ }_{\text {A }}{ }^{\text {P }}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{I}-1}{95 \% \mathrm{C} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{I}}}$ | B | $\bar{\square}$ | A. | $\frac{95 \% \text { C.I. }}{95 \%}$ | B | n | A | $\frac{1 \mathrm{DH}-\mathrm{A}}{95 \% \text { C.I. }}$ | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 251 | Malina Cr. | 105 | 17 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 17 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 17 | . 97 | (.95-.99) | . 03 |
|  | Portage Cr. | 825 | 32 | 1.0 | (.981.0) | 0.0 | 32 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 31 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) |  |
| 252 | Kitoi Cr . | 314 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 50 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 50 | . 98 | (.93-.99) | . 02 |
|  | Danger Cr . | 332 | 14 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 14 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 14 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Afognak R. | 342 |  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | 27 | . 97 | (.89-.99) | . 0 |
| 253 | Uganik R. | 122 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Terror R. | 331 | 11 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 | 11 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 | 11 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) |  |
| 254 | Uyak R. | 202 | 12 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | . 0 | 12 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 | 12 | . 98 | (.82-1.0) | . 02 |
|  | Brown's Lagoon | 204 | 29 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 29 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 29 | . 99 | (.92-1.0) |  |
| 255 | Karluk R. | 101 | 20 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 20 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 20 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 256 | Red R. | 201 | 39 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 39 | . 99 | (.94-1.0) | . 01 | 47 | . 98 | (.93-1.0) | 2 |
| 257 | Narrows Cr. | 401 | 19 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 19 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 19 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Dog Salmon R. | 403 | 61 | . 99 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 61 | 1.0 | (.99-1.0) | 0.0 | 61 |  |  | 0.0 |
|  | Deadman R. | 502 | 36 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 36 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 36 | . 97 | (.91-1.0) | . 03 |
|  | Humpy R. | 701 | 44 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 44 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 44 | . 98 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 258 | Kiliuda Bay | 207 | 23 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 23 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 23 | . 99 | (.90-1.0) | . 01 |
|  | Barling R. | 522 | 11 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 | 11 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 | 11 |  | (.93-1.0) |  |
|  | Kaiugnak R. | 542 | 42 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 42 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 42 | . 99 | (.94-1.0) | . 01 |
|  | Seven Rivers |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 16 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 16 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | (lower fork) | 701 | 16 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 16 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Seven Rivers | 701 | 14 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 14 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 14 | . 93 | (.77-.98) | . 07 |
| 259 |  |  | 15 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 15 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 15 | . 93 | (.78-.98) | . 07 |
|  | Sid 01ds R. | 242 | 25 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 25 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 25 | . 99 | (.91-1.0) | . 01 |
|  | Miam | 412 | 13 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 | 13 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 | 13 | . 98 | (.84-1.0) | . 02 |
|  | Hurst Cr. | 414 | 20 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 20 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 20 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 262 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 415 \\ & 501 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 16 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) |  |  | . 98 | (.87-1.0) | . 02 |
|  | Geographic Cr. |  | $15$ |  | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 15 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 15 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) |  |
|  | Total |  |  | . 99 | (.98-1.0) | . 01 | 594 |  | (.98-1.0) |  | 640 |  | (.98-1.0) |  |

Appendix Table B-2. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals

| District number | Streamname | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stream } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | n | MDH-B |  |  |  | c | 95\% C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | A | 95\% C.I. | 13 | 95\% C.I. |  |  |
| 251 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Malina } \mathrm{Cr} \text {. } \\ & \text { Portage Cr. } \end{aligned}$ | 105 | 17 | . 94 | (.81-.98) | . 06 | (0.0-.12) | 0.0 | (0.0-.04) |
|  |  | 825 | 31 | . 98 | (.91-1.0) | . 02 | (0.0-.04) | 0.0 | (0.0-.01) |
| 252 | Kitoi Cr. Danger Cr . Afognak R. | 314 | 50 | . 98 | (.93-.99) | . 01 | (0.0-.02) | . 01 | (0.0-.02) |
|  |  | 332 | 14 | . 96 | (.82-.99) | . 04 | (0.0-.09) | 0.0 | (0.0-.05) |
|  |  | 342 | 27 | . 97 | (.89-.99) | . 03 | (0.0-.06) | 0.0 | (0.0-.03) |
| 253 | Uganik R. Terror R. | 122 | 15 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | (0.0-.05) | 0.0 | (0.0-.05) |
|  |  | 331 | 11 | . 98 | (.82-1.0) |  | (0.0-.04) | 0.0 | (0.0 |
| 254 | Uyak R. <br> Brown's Lagoon | 202 | 12 | . 98 | (.82-1.0) | . 02 | (0.0-.04) | 0.0 | (0.0-.06) |
|  |  | 204 | 29 | . 98 | (.91-1.0) | . 02 | (0.0-.04) | 0.0 | (0.0-.05) |
| 255 | Karluk R. | 101 | 20 | . 96 | (.85-.99) | . 04 | (0.0-.08) | 0.0 | (0.0-.04) |
| 256 | Red R. | 201 | 47 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | (0.0-.02) | 0.0 | (0.0-.02) |
| 257 | Narrows Cr. Dog Salmon R. Deadman R. Humpy R. | 401 | 19 | . 97 | (.87-1.0) | . 03 | (0.0-.07) | 0.0 | (0.0-.04) |
|  |  | 403 | 61 | . 99 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | (0.0-.01) | . 01 | (0.0-.02) |
|  |  | 502 | 36 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | (0.0-.02) | 0.0 | (0.0-.02) |
|  |  | 701 | 44 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | (0.0-.02) | 0.0 | (0.0-.02) |
| 258 | Kiliuda Bay <br> Barling R. <br> Kaiugnak R. <br> Seven Rivers <br> (lower fork) | 207 | 23 | . 98 | (.89-1.0) | . 02 | (0.0-.04) | 0.0 | (0.0-.03) |
|  |  | 522 | 11 | . 93 | (.75-. 98 ) | . 07 | (0.0-.15) | 0.0 | (0.0-.07) |
|  |  | 542 | 42 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | (0.0-.02) | 0.0 | (0.0-.02) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.0-10) | . 02 | (0.0-.05) |
|  |  | 701 | 16 | . 93 | (.80-.98) | . 05 | (0.0-.10) |  |  |
| 259 | Buskin R. Sid Olds R. Miam R. Hurst Cr | 211 | 15 | . 98 | (.86-1.0) | . 02 | (0.0-.05) |  | (0.0-.05) |
|  |  | 242 | 25 | . 96 | (.87-.99) | . 03 | (0.0-.06) | ${ }_{0} 0.01$ |  |
|  |  | 412 | 13 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | ${ }^{0.0}$ | $(0.0-.06)$ $(0.0-.04)$ $(0.0-07)$ | 0.0 0.0 | (0.0-.04) |
|  |  | 414 | 20 | . 98 | (.87-1.0) |  |  |  |  |
| 262 | Kinak Cr. Geographic Cr. Total | 451 | 16 |  | (.84-.99) | . 03 | (0.0-.07) | 0.0 | (0.0-.05) |
|  |  | 501 | 15 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) |  | (0.0-.05) | 0.0 | (0.0-.05) |
|  |  |  | 640 | . 984 | (.979-.989) | . 015 | (.01)-.020) | . 001 | (0.00-.002) |

Appendix Table B-3. Gene frequencies and 95\% confidence intervals (C.I.) of the 1977

|  |  |  | AGP |  |  |  | PGM |  |  |  | AAT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stream } \\ & \text { name } \end{aligned}$ | Stream number | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B |
| 252 | Kitoi Cr. | 314 | 72 | . 81 | (.73-.86) | . 19 | 72 | . 94 | (.80-.97) | . 06 | - | - |  | - |
| 21 | Danger R. | 332 | 50 | . 91 | (.84-.95) | . 09 | 50 | . 96 | (.90-.98) | . 04 | 49 | . 79 | (.69-.86) | . 21 |
| " | Marka Cr. | 334 | 49 | . 85 | (.76-.91) | . 15 | 49 | . 96 | (.90-.98) | . 04 | 49 | . 69 | (.60-.78) | . 31 |
| " | Afognak R. | 342 | 50 | . 94 | (.88-.97) | . 06 | 47 | . 96 | (.90-.98) | . 04 | 38 | . 74 | (.63-.82) | . 26 |
| " | Sharatin R. | 371 | 45 | . 89 | (.81-.94) | . 11 | 47 | . 94 | (.87-.97) | . 06 | 44 | . 74 | (.64-..82) | . 26 |
| 253 | Uganik R . | 122 | 50 | . 88 | (.80-.93) | . 12 | 50 | . 97 | (.92-.99) | . 03 | - | -7 | (68-85) | ${ }^{-}$ |
|  | Terror R. | 331 | 50 | . 89 | (.81-.94) | . 11 | 46 | . 90 | (.82-.95) | . 10 | 46 | . 77 | (.68-.85) |  |
| 255 | Karluk R. | 101 | 45 | . 86 | (.77-.91) | . 14 | 49 | . 97 | (.91-.99) | . 03 | 13 | . 77 | (.58-.89) | . 23 |
| 257 | Akalura Lagoon | 302 | 48 | . 91 | (.83-.95) | . 09 | 48 | . 98 | (.93-.99) | . 02 | - |  |  |  |
|  | Upper Station Cr. | 304 | 47 | . 84 | (.75-.90) | . 16 | 47 | . 95 | (.88-.98) | . 05 | 36 | . 81 | 8) | . 19 |
| " | Narrows Cr. | 401 | 41 | . 89 | (.80-.94) | . 11 | 40 | . 90 | (.81-.95) | ${ }_{0} .10$ |  | - | - |  |
| " | Dog Salmon R. | 403 | 32 | . 83 | (.72-.90) | . 17 | 30 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | $\stackrel{-}{5}$ | - | (54-79) | $\stackrel{-}{32}$ |
| " | Deadman R. | 502 | 33 | . 86 | (.76-.93) | . 14 | 33 | . 88 | (.78-.94) | . 12 | 25 | . 68 | (.54-.79) | . 32 |
| 258 | Barling Cr. | 522 | 16 | . 88 | (.72-.95) | . 12 | 16 | 1.0 | (.91-1.0) | 0.0 | 15 | . 90 | (.74-.97) |  |
|  | Kaiugnak R. | 542 | 29 | . 91 | (.81-.96) | . 09 | 29 | . 86 | (.75-.93) | . 14 | 28 | . 80 | (.68-.89) | . 20 |
| " | Seven Rivers | 701 | 44 | . 91 | (.83-.95) | . 09 | 44 | . 98 | (.92-.99) | . 02 | 42 | . 77 | (.67-.85) | . 23 |
| 259 | Pillar cr. | 102 | 48 | . 92 | (.84-.96) | . 08 | 49 | . 94 | (.87-.97) | . 06 | 48 | . 74 | (.64-.82) | . 26 |
|  | Buskin R. | 211. | 47 | . 87 | (.79-.93) | . 13 | 47 | . 97 | (.91-.99) | . 03 | 44 | . 81 | (.71-.88) | . 19 |
| " | American R. | 231 | 50 | . 93 | (.86-.97) | . 07 | 50 | . 97 | (.92-.99) | . 03 | 50 |  | (.77-.91) | . 15 |
| " | Miam Cr. | 412 | 10 | 1.0 | (.86-1.0) | 0.0 | 10 | 1.0 | (.86-1.0) | 0.0 | 10 | . 75 | (.53-.89) | . 25 |
| " | Hurst Cr . | 414 | 65 | . 89 | (.83-.93) | . 11 | 65 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| " | Saltery Cr. | 415 | 46 | . 88 | (.80-.93) | . 12 | 46 | . 95 | (.88-.98) | . 05 | 43 | . 74 | (.64-.82) | . 26 |
|  | Total |  | 967 | . 884 | (.869-.899) | . 116 | 969 | . 952 | (.943-.962) | . 048 | 580 | . 769 | (.744-.794) | . 231 |

Appendix Table B-3. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (C.I.) of 1977 adults
for $M D H-B$ and $M E ;$ " $n$ " designates the sample size. Continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ME |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stream } \\ \text { name } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stream } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | n | A | 95\% C.I. | M | 95\% C.I | D | 95\% C.I. | Slow variant | n |  | 95\% C.I. |  |
|  |  | 314 | 72 | . 986 | (.951-.996) | . 023 | (.005-.041) | . 014 | (.006-.049) $(00-055)$ | 0.00 0.00 | 40 50 |  | $\begin{aligned} & (.90-1.0) \\ & (.91-.99) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .050 \\ & .050 \end{aligned}$ |
| 252 | Kitoi cr. Danger R. | 332 | 50 | . 980 | (.930-.995) | . 010 | (.002-.055) |  | (.002-.055) | 0.00 | 50 | . 890 | (.83-.95) | . 110 |
| " | Marka Cr. | 334 | 49 | . 969 | (.914-.990) | . 026 | $(.008-.079)$ | . 015 | (.02L-.004) | . 015 | 50 | . 970 | (.94-1.0) | . 030 |
|  | Afognak R. | 342 371 | 50 | . 925 | (.856-.962) | . 0248 | (.009-.087) | . 006 | (.001-.051) | 0.00 | 47 | . 904 | (.84-.96) | . 096 |
| " | Sharatin R. | 371 | 44 |  | (.905-.988) |  |  |  | (.008-.079) | . 010 | 50 | . 960 | (.92-1.0) |  |
| 253 | Uganik R. | 122 | 50 | $\begin{array}{r} .950 \\ . .970 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.888-.979) \\ & (.916-.990) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .015 \\ & .010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.004-.062) \\ & (.002-.055) \end{aligned}$ | $.025$ | (.006-.070) | 0.00 | 50 | . 950 | (.91-.99) | . 050 |
|  | Terror R. | 331 |  | . 949 | (.886-.978) | . 015 | (.004-.064) | . 036 | (.013-.093) | 0.00 | 33 | . 955 | (.90-1.0) | . 045 |
| 55 | rluk | 101 | 49 | . 949 | (.886-.978) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 257 | Akalura R. | 302 | 48 | . 974 | (.920-.992) | -010 | $(.00-.031)$ | . 032 | (.01--.090) | 0.00 | 47 | . 894 | (.83-.95) | . 106 |
|  | Upper Station Cr | 304 | 47 | . 9685 | (.873-.977) | . 012 | (.002-.066) | . 043 | (.016-.111) | 0.00 | 37 | . 932 | (.87-.99) | . 068 |
| " | Narrows Cr. | 401 | 41 50 | . 945 | (.923-.992) | . 015 | (.004-.062) | . 010 | (.003-.055) | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| " | Frazer Lk. | 403 | 50 | . 937 | (.844-.972) | . 045 | (.016-.125) | 023 | (.005-.003) | 0.00 | 30 | . 917 | (.85-.99) | . 083 |
|  | Deadman | 502 | 33 | . 932 | (.844-.972) |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 11 | 1.00 | (.93-1.0) | . 00 |
| 258 | Barling Cr . | 522 | 16 | . 953 | (.820-.989) | . 016 | (.002-.133) | . 0231 | (.005-.105) | . 008 | 29 | . 880 | (.80-.96) | . 120 |
|  | Kaiugnak R. | 542 | 29 | . 955 | (.871-.987) | . 009 | (.004-.071) | . 023 | (.005-.079) | 005 | 44 | . 909 | (.85-.97) |  |
| " | Seven Rivers | 701 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 | . 939 | (.89-.99) | . 061 |
| 259 | Pillar Cr. | 102 | 42 | . 954 | (.893-.981) | . 015 | (.004-.064) | . 0.00 | (0.03-.031) | 0.00 | 47 | . 894 | (.83-.95) | . 106 |
| " | Buskin R. | 211 | 47 | . 989 | (.942-.998) | . 010 | (.002-.055) | . 035 | (.013-.092) | 0.00 | 50 | . 940 | (.89-.99) | . 060 |
| " | Anerican R. | 231 | 50 | . 955 | (.895-.981) | 0.00 | (0.00-.139) | . 025 | (.003-.200) | 0.00 |  | . 944 | (.83-1.0) | . 0556 |
| " | Miam Cr. | 412 | 10 | . 975 | (.934-.992) |  | (0.00-.023) | . 023 | (.003-.066) | 0.00 | 62 | . 944 | (.90-.98) |  |
|  | Hurst Cr . | 414 | 65 | . 973 | (.916-.992) |  | (.001-.050) |  | (.006-.076) | 0.00 | 47 |  | (.89-.99) |  |
| " | Saltery Cr. | 415 | 46 | . 973 | (.916-.992) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 990 | 62 | (.956-.968) | . 016 | (.012-.020) | . 020 | (.016-.024) | . 002 | 832 | . 931 | (.919-. | . 069 |

Appendix Table $B-3$. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (C.I.) of the

| District number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stream } \\ \text { name } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Stream number | LDH-1 |  |  |  | 1,TM-4 |  |  |  | MTH-A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B |
| 252 | Kitoi Cr. | 3143323 | 7250 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.98-1.0) \\ & (.97-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | 0.00.0 | 72 |  | $\begin{aligned} & (.94-.79) \\ & (.97-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .02 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 7250 | $1.0$ | (.98-1.0)(.97-1.0) | 0.00.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Marka Cr. | 334 | 50 | . 99 | (.95-1.0) | . 01 | 50 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 49 | . 97 | (.91-.99) | . 03 |
| " | Afognak R. | 342 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ .99 \end{gathered}$ | (.97-1.0) |  | 50 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 50 | . 99 | $\begin{aligned} & (.95-1.0) \\ & (.97-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | 0.01 |
| " | Sharatin R. | 371 | 47 |  | (.94-1.0) |  | 47 | . 99 | (.94-1.0) | . 01 | 47 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 253 | Uganik R. Terror R. | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & 331 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & (.97-1.0) \\ & (.97-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.97-1.0) \\ & (.97-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .99 \\ 1.0^{8} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.95-1.0) \\ & (.97-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | .010.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 255 | Karluk R. | 101 | 49 | . 99 | (.94-1.0) | . 01 | 49 | . 99 | (.94-1.0) | . 01 | 49 | . 99 | (.95-1.0) | . 01 |
| 257 | Akalura R. <br> Upper Station Cr. <br> Narrows Cr . <br> Frazer Lk. <br> Deadman | $\begin{aligned} & 302 \\ & 304 \\ & 401 \\ & 403 \\ & 502 \end{aligned}$ | 48 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 48 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 48 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
| " |  |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  | 47 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
| " |  |  | 41 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 41 | . 99 | (.93-1.0) | . 01 | 41 | . 99 | (.87-.98) | . 01 |
| " |  |  | 50 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 50 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 50 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
| " |  |  | 33 | . 97 | (.90-.99) | . 03 | 33 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 34 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | . 0 |
| 258 | Barling Cr. | 522 | 16 | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ .91 \\ .99 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.91-1.0) \\ & (.81-.96) \\ & (.94-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ .09 \\ .01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 29 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.91-1.0) \\ & (.95-1.0) \\ & (.97-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 1.62944 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.91-1.0) \\ & (.95-1.0) \\ & (.97-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| " |  | 542 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | Seven Rivers | 701 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 259 | Pillar Cr. <br> Buskin R. <br> American R. <br> Miam Cr . <br> Hurst Cr. <br> Saltery Cr. | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & 211 \\ & 231 \\ & 412 \\ & 414 \\ & 415 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & 47 \\ & 50 \\ & 10 \\ & 65 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 |  | 0.0 | 49 | 1.0 | (.97-1:0) | 0.0 | 49 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | . 98 | (.93-.99) | . 02 | 47 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 47 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
| " |  |  |  | . 91 | (.84-.95) | 0.9 | 50 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 50 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
| " |  |  |  | 1.0 | (.86-1.0) | 0.0 | 10 | 1.0 | (.86-1.0) | 0.0 | 10 | 1.0 | (.86-1.0) | 0.0 |
| " |  |  |  | . 99 | (.97-1.0) | . 01 | 65 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 65 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | . 0 |
| " |  |  |  | . 99 | (.94-1.0) | . 01 | 46 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 46 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Total | 946 |  | . 987 | (.982-992) | . 013 | 946 | . 997 | (.994-. 999 | . 003 | 993 | . 997 | (.995-.999).003 |  |


| District | re | Stream |  | A | ${ }^{\text {AGP }}$ 95\% | B | n |  | PGM $95 \%$ | B | n | A | PMI $95 \%$ C.I. | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 253 | Terror R. | 331 | 6 | . 92 | (.76-1.0) | . 08 | 6 | 1.0 | . (.78-1.0) | 0.0 | 6 | 1.0 | (.78-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Baumann's Cr. | 332 | 2 | 1.0 | (.47-1.0) | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0 | (.47-1.0) | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0 | (.47-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 254 | Uyak R. | 202 | 22 | . 80 | (.68-.92) | . 20 | - | - | (.87-1.0) | - | 22 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Zachar R. | 301 | 11 | . 95 | (.87-1.0) | . 05 | 11 | . 9 | (.87-1.0) | . 05 | 12 | 1.0 | (.88-1. |  |
| 257 | Narrows Cr. | 401 | ${ }^{6}$ | . 75 | (.51-1.0) | . 25 | 7 | . 9 | (.79-1.0) | . 07 | 8 | 1.0 | (.83-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Humpy R. | 701 | 42 | . 81 | (.73-.89) | . 19 | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | Deadman R. | 502 | 26 | . 87 | (.77-.96) | . 13 | - | - | - | - | 26 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | . 0 |
| 258 | Kaiugnak R. |  |  |  | (.77-.93) | . 15 |  | - |  | - | 40 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Seven Rivers | 701 | 18 | . 86 | (.75-.97) | . 14 | 18 |  | (.83-1.0) | . 08 | 18 | 1.0 | (.92-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 259 | Lower Buskin |  | 14 | . 86 | (.73-.99) | . 14 | 10 |  | (.86-1.0) | 0.0 | 14 | 1.0 | (.90-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Upper Buskin | R. 211 | 8 | 1.0 | (.83-1.0) | 0.0 | 8 |  | (.82-1.0) | . 06 | 8 | 1.0 | (.83-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Unknown |  | 28 | . 82 | (.72-.92) | . 18 | 28 | . 98 | (.94-1.0) | . 02 | 28 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Total |  | 217 | . 846 | (.811-.881) | ) 154 | 124 |  | 6(.930-.982) | . 044 | 184 | 1.0 | (.99-1.0) | 0.0 |


| st | Stream | Stre |  |  | 6-PGDil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number | name | number | n | A | 95\% C.I. | - B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | 95\% C.I. | D | 95\% C.I. |
| 253 | Terror R. <br> Baumann's R. | $\begin{aligned} & 331 \\ & 332 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .92 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.76-1.0) \\ & (.47-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .08 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .91 .6 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.806-1.00) \\ & (.473-1.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .042 \\ & 0.0 \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.00-.122) \\ & (0.00-.527) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .042 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.00-.122) \\ & (0.00-.527) \end{aligned}$ |
| 254 | Uyak R. <br> Zacher R | $\begin{aligned} & 202 \\ & 301 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{6}$ | $.92$ | $(.76-1.0)$ | $\text { . } 08$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .955 \\ & .958 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.912-.998) \\ & (.902-1.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .034 \\ & .021 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.00-.072) \\ & (0.00-.061) \end{aligned}$ | $\text { . } 0111$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.00-.033) \\ & (0.00-.061) \end{aligned}$ |
| 257 | Narrows Cr. <br> Humpy $R$. <br> Deadman R | $\begin{aligned} & 401 \\ & 701 \\ & 502 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | - |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 42 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .969 \\ & .970 \\ & .961 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.909-1.00) \\ & (.944-.996) \\ & (.924-.998) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0 \equiv 1 \\ & .020 \\ & .029 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.00-.092) \\ & (.004-.056) \\ & (0.00-.061) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ .010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.00-.089) \\ & (0.00-.018) \\ & (0.00-.029) \end{aligned}$ |
| 258 | Kaiugnak R. Seven Rivers | $\begin{aligned} & 542 \\ & 701 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .962 \\ & . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.933-.991) \\ & (.934-1.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .025 \\ & .028 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.001-.049) \\ & (0.00-.066) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .013 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.00-.031) \\ & (0.00-.014) \end{aligned}$ |
| 259 | Lower Buskin R. 211 Upper Buskin R. 211 Unknown |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .91 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.79-1.0) \\ & (.83-1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 3) } \begin{aligned} .09 \\ 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $14$ | $\begin{aligned} & .929 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.861-.996) \\ & (.911-1.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \mathrm{C} \\ & 0.0 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.00-0.52) \\ & (0.00 \cdots .089) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .071 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (.004-.139) \\ & (0.00-0.89) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 28 | . 982 | (.957-1.00) | . $0 ¢ 9$ | (0.00-.027) | . 009 | (0.00-.027) |
|  |  |  | 33 |  |  |  | 220 | . 969 | (.957-.981) | . 023 | (.013-.033) | . 008 | (.002-.014) |

Appendix Table $B-4$. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (C.I.) of 1978

| District number | Stream name | Stream number | PGI |  |  |  | LDH-1 |  |  |  | LDH-4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | $n$ | A | 95\% C.I. | B |
| 253 | Terror R. | 331 | 6 | 1.0 | (.78-1.0) | 0.0 | 6 | 1.0 | (.78-1.0) | 0.0 | 6 | 1.0 | (.78-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Baumann's R. | 332 | 2 | 1.0 | (.47-1.0) | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0 | (.47-1.0) | 0.0 | 2 | 1.0 | (.47-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 254 | Uyak R. | 202 | - | - | - | - | 22 | . 98 | (.93-1.0) | . 02 | 22 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Zacher R. | 301 | 12 | 1.0 | (.88-1.0) | 0.0 | 12 | 1.0 | (.88-1.0) | 0.0 | 12 | 1.0 | (.88-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 257 | Narrows Cr . | 401 | 8 | 1.0 | .(.83-1.0) | 0.0 | 8 | 1.0 | (.83-1.0) | 0.0 | 8 | 1.0 | (.83-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Humpy R. | 201 | - | - | - | - | 42 | . 99 | (.95-1.0) | . 01 | 42 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Deadman R. | 502 | - | - | - | - | 26 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 | 26 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 258 | Kaiugnak R. | 542 | - | - | - | - | 40 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 | 40 | 1.0 | (.96-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Seven Rivers | 701 | 18 | 1.0 | (.92-1.0) | 0.0 | 18 | 1.0 | (.92-1.0) | 0.0 | 18 | 1.0 | (.92-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 259 | Lower Buskin | R. 211 | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.0 | (.90-1.0) | 0.0 | 14 | 1.0 | (.90-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Upper Buskin | R. 211 | 8 | 1.0 | (.83-1.0) | 0.0 | 8 | 1.0 | (.83-1.0) | 0.0 | 8 | 1.0 | (.83-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Unknown |  | 28 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 28 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 | 28 | 1.0 | (.95-1.0) | 0.0 |
|  | Total |  | 82 | 1.0 | (.98-1.0) | 0.0 | 226 | . 995 | (.99-1.0) | . 005 | 226 | 1.0 | (.99-1.0) | 0.0 |

Appendix Table B-5. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (C.I.) of AGP, PGM
and AAT-3 for 1978 smolts; "n" designates the sample size. Tow
number refers to which sequenced surface trawl was sampled.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tow } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | AGP |  |  |  | PGM |  |  |  | AAT-3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B |
| 6 | 23 | . 87 | (.77-.97) | . 13 | 17 | 1.000 | (.03-.23) | 0.0 | 22 | . 82 | (.70-.93) | . 18 |
| 13 | 48 | . 91 | (.85-.96) | . 09 | 48 | . 90 | (.83-.96) | . 10 | 40 | . 76 | (.67-.86) | . 24 |
| 29 | 22 | . 91 | (.82-.99) | . 09 | 22 | . 93 | (.86-1.0) | . 07 | 22 | . 77 | (.65-.90) | . 23 |
| 30 | 48 | . 88 | (.82-.95) | . 12 | 48 | . 95 | (.90-.99) | . 05 | 44 | . 74 | (.65-.83) | . 26 |
| Total | 141 | . 894 | (.857-.930) | . 106 | 135 | . 933 | (.903-.963) | . 067 | 128 | . 766 | (.713-.819) | ). 234 |

Appendix Table B-5. Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (C.I.) of ME, PMI

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tow } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | ME |  |  |  | PMI |  |  |  | 6-PGDH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{n}$ | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B |
| 6 | 23 | . 89 | (.80-.98) | . 11 | 23 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 | 23 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 |
| 13 | 48 | . 94 | (.89-.99) | . 06 | 48 | . 99 | (.97-1.0) | . 31 | 47 | . 93 | (.87-.98) | . 07 |
| 29 | 22 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 | 22 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 | 22 | . 93 | (.86-1.0) | . 07 |
| 30 | 48 | . 94 | (.89-.99) | . 06 | 48 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.3 | 48 | . 90 | (.83-.96) | . 10 |
| Total | 141 | . 940 | (.912-.968) | . 060 | 141 | . 996 | (.99-1.0) | . 004 | 140 | . 931 | (.901-.961) | . 069 |

Gene frequencies and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (C.I.) of MDH-A and MDH-B for 1978 smolts; "n" designates the sample size. Tow number refers to which sequenced surface trawl was sampled. Continued.
Appendix Table B-5.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tow } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | MDH-A |  |  |  | MDH-B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | n | A | 95\% C.I. | B | 95\% C.I. | D | 95\% C.I. |
| 6 | 23 | 1.0 | (.94-1.0) | 0.0 | 23 | . 967 | (.931-1.00) | . 011 | (0.00-.032) | . 022 | (0.00-.052) |
| 13 | 48 | . 99 | (.97-1.0) | . 01 | 48 | . 969 | (.945-.994) | . 010 | (0.00-.024) | . 021 | (.001-.041) |
| 29 | 22 | 1.0 | (.93-1.0) | 0.0 | 22 | . 943 | (.895-.991) | . 023 | (0.00-.054) | . 034 | (0.00-.072) |
| 30 | 48 | 1.0 | (.97-1.0) | 0.0 | 48 | . 984 | (.966-1.00) | 0.00 | (0.00-.016) | . 016 | (0.00-.031) |
| Total | 141 | . 996 | (.99-1.0) | . 004 | 141 | . 970 | (.956-.984) | . 009 | (.001-.017) | . 021 | (.009-.033) |




APPENDIX C

Observed electrophoretic patterns.

Legend: Presumed genotypes
$A=$ common allel
$B, C, D=$ variant alleles

AGP
AB AB

AAT-3
cats axis
<
$\mathrm{AA} \quad \mathrm{AB} \quad \mathrm{BB}$

6-PGDH

$A A \quad A B \quad B B$

PGM-1





LDH-1

| -man | extry |
| :---: | :---: |
| cerer | -400 |
| esrior | Caty |
| Cumer | exay |
| Catra | (THem |
| 030 | cismb |
| - | 40xm |
|  | ccas: |
| Enc | - |
|  | ase |
| cerys | Exew |
| 4080 | 487 |

4
$\mathrm{AA} \quad \mathrm{AB}$

PGI-3

$A A \quad A B$

LDH-4

$\mathrm{AA} \quad \mathrm{AB}$

