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Abstract

Insights into the evolution of Late Cretaceous metatherian mammals of North America: interpreting feeding ecologies using quantitative analyses

Alexandria L. Brannick

Chair of the Supervisory Committee:
Dr. Gregory P. Wilson Mantilla
Department of Biology

Metatherian mammals (the stem-based clade of extant marsupials and their closest relatives) were important members of North American communities during the Late Cretaceous: they were both taxonomically rich and numerically abundant. Previous studies have mostly focused on taxonomic diversity measures, which provide important information regarding ecosystem dynamics, but less attention has been devoted to understanding the ecology of this group of mammals. This dissertation seeks to add to our growing knowledge of both the anatomy and associated ecologies (i.e., ecomorphology) that North American metatherians possessed during the Late Cretaceous. I specifically focus on interpreting diet and feeding ecology because the fossil record of North American metatherians is mainly composed of teeth and tooth bearing elements. In the first study, I describe rare, new cranial fossils of the metatherian *Alphadon*
halleyi that were discovered at the Egg Mountain locality (Montana, USA). These specimens represent some of the most complete cranial material for any North American metatherian. My co-authors and I use this new anatomical information to score previously unknown characters for A. halleyi and subsequently conduct a phylogenetic analysis in order to reassess the phylogenetic relationships among metatherians. Our results conflict with recent phylogenetic analyses and demonstrate that the place of origin of Marsupialia (crown marsupials) remains elusive.

The remaining two studies of this dissertation concentrate on the ecomorphology of North American metatherians. My co-authored study of the evolution of durophagy (hard-object feeding) in stagodontid metatherians utilizes a relatively new method that quantifies biomechanical properties of the dentary (jaw). We apply beam theory to estimate bending force capabilities of dentaries of stagodontids and other metatherians. We find that the jaws of the two species of the stagodontid Eodelphis had different bending force profiles from each other and from the durophagous stagodontid Didelphodon. The jaw of E. browni was not adapted toward withstanding bending forces associated with durophagous habits, whereas the jaw of E. cutleri was adapted toward withstanding mediolateral bending forces associated with durophagy. However, the jaw of E. cutleri lacked other dorsoventral buttressing associated with exceptionally high bite forces of Didelphodon. Our results imply that Cretaceous metatherians had a wide range of feeding behaviors and some morphological changes associated with durophagy evolved twice within this clade, independently in E. cutleri and Didelphodon.

Finally, my co-authored study on the dental ecomorphology of North American Late Cretaceous metatherians aims to take a more synoptic approach at examining the dental morphology of these animals. We use three-dimensional dental topographic analysis to predict the diets of metatherians to try to understand macroevolutionary patterns in dental morphology.
and dietary diversity. Although our results show that dental disparity and dietary diversity did not significantly change throughout the Late Cretaceous and that most metatherians were insectivorous, we also found that metatherians occupied a wide range of dietary niches. Metatherians were also arguably the most dietarily diverse of any mammalian clade of the Late Cretaceous. Our results also indicate that this ecological diversification was more correlated in time with the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution and the mid-Cretaceous taxonomic diversification of angiosperms. Overall, this dissertation serves to bolster our knowledge of ecological roles metatherians filled during the Late Cretaceous in North America. My results reinforce the concept that different proxies, when available, should be used to better infer ecology and further demonstrate that metatherians were more ecologically diverse than previously appreciated.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Extant mammals can be categorized into three major groups—monotremes (e.g., echidnas, platypus), placentals (e.g., primates, canids, whales) and marsupials (e.g., kangaroos, opossums, quolls). The marsupials are a charismatic group commonly recognized by the presence of an abdominal pouch (marsupium) in which their young continue to develop in after birth (although, not all marsupials possess the external covering of the marsupium; e.g., Smith and Keyte, 2020). Although enigmatic, extant marsupials are not as taxonomically rich (~400 species of marsupials vs. >5,000 species of placentals) or as geographically wide-ranging (marsupials are only found in only the Neotropics and Australasia, with the exception of Didelphis virginiana) as their placental contemporaries. However, this imbalance between the two therian (node-based clade that includes living marsupials, placentals, and their most recent common ancestor) clades did not always favor eutherians (the stem-based clade of extant placentals and their closest relatives; Sereno, 2006; O’Leary et al., 2013). During the Late Cretaceous (ca. 100–66 million years ago [Ma]), metatherians (the stem-based clade of extant marsupials and their closest relatives; e.g., Rougier et al., 1998; Sereno, 2006; Beck, in review) were evolutionarily successful. They occupied all northern landmasses and possibly some southern ones (Rougier et al. 1998; Krause, 2001; Kielan-Jaworowska et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2005; Vullo et al., 2009; Averianov et al., 2010; Williamson et al., 2014; Goin et al., 2016). They were also numerically abundant, making up as much as 45% of all mammalian fossil individuals within local faunas (e.g., Cifelli, 2004; Wilson, 2014), and were often in higher abundance than eutherians. Finally, Late Cretaceous metatherians were
taxonomically rich (Bennett et al., 2018; Williamson et al., 2014) and occupied a wider range of dietary ecologies (Brannick et al., in review) than coincident eutherians.

Several studies have highlighted the taxonomic richness of Late Cretaceous metatherians (e.g., Williamson et al. 2012; Williamson et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2016; Cohen et al., 2020; Beck, in press). However, the precise phylogenetic relationships among early metatherians are unclear. This is mainly because many taxa are known only from fossil teeth (Kielan-Jaworowska et al., 2004; Williamson et al., 2014). Skulls and postcrania are scarce, particularly among North American taxa. Of the 68 North American species known, relatively complete skulls have been described for only one taxon: *Didelphodon vorax* (Wilson et al., 2016). In turn, many phylogenetic analyses of early metatherians have either excluded many North American taxa (e.g., Horovitz and Sánchez-Villagra, 2003; Horovitz et al., 2009; de Muizon et al., 2018; de Muizon and Ladevéze, 2020) or only included craniodental data (e.g., Rougier et al., 2004, 2015; Williamson et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2016; Cohen et al., 2020).

Chapter 2 of this dissertation reassesses the phylogenetic relationships among metatherians and is centered on the raw data for morphological phylogenetic analyses—anatomical information. In this chapter, I add to the growing collection of anatomical data for North American taxa by describing newly discovered skulls of *Alphadon halleyi* from the Egg Mountain locality (Montana, USA). These incredible specimens represent the most complete skull material for this taxon and some of the most complete material for any North American marsupialiform from the Cretaceous (with the exception being *Didelphodon*; Wilson et al., 2016). For this study, I utilized micro-computed tomography to uncover anatomical details that would otherwise be impossible to discern without risking
serious damage to the fossils. With these new data, I updated character scores for *Alphodon* and subsequently performed a phylogenetic analysis.

As with the uncertainty surrounding metatherian phylogenetic relationships, questions regarding the paleoecology of these animals still remain. As stated above, postcranial fossils of early metatherians are rare and the few examples of postcrania are almost exclusively known for Asiatic and South American taxa. Thus, interpretations of locomotor diversity and substrate use for these taxa are limited (e.g., Szalay, 1994; Szalay and Trofimov, 1996; Argot, 2001; Argot, 2002; Argot, 2003 Szalay and Sargis, 2006; DeBey and Wilson, 2017). Similar to many phylogenetic analyses, most paleoecological studies have used the abundant dental record and they subsequently focus on reconstructing feeding ecology. Many of these studies are largely qualitative in nature; hypotheses regarding the diets of fossil taxa usually stem from tooth shape comparisons between the fossil taxa and modern taxa with known diets. For example, *Glasbius* has broad-basined, bunodont molars which have prompted interpretations that it was frugivorous (Clemens, 1966; Clemens, 1979), whereas the molars of *Nanocuris* indicate adaptation to carnivory, as they are elongated, buccolingually compressed, and have an exaggerated postvallum-prevallid shearing crest as well as a reduced talonid (Fox et al., 2007; Wilson and Riedel, 2010).

A few other studies have taken more quantitative approaches to examining feeding ecologies in metatherians. For example, Wilson (2013) used 2D geometric morphometrics to quantify the morphological disparity of mammalian (including metatherian) teeth immediately before and after the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) boundary. He found that metatherians exploited a wide range of body sizes and feeding ecologies in the Lancian, but
that local extinction contributed to a loss in ecological endmembers within the Hell Creek mammalian fauna. Similarly, Grossnickle and Newham (2016) investigated dental morphological disparity through time on a global scale and found that metatherian disparity increased throughout the Late Cretaceous. Wilson et al. (2016) employed a number of different techniques, including estimating bite force, canine bending strength, and mandibular bending strength, to infer that Didelphodon was a powerful omnivore with durophagous (hard-object) capabilities. Nonetheless, studies using methods that quantify morphological data to infer feeding ecology—especially those with broader taxonomic sampling of Metatheria—are lacking.

In Chapter 3, I focus on one clade of metatherians, the Stagodontidae. Of the recognized species of the stagodontid genus Eodelphis, E. cutleri is larger and has a more robust dentary, more inflated premolars, and third premolars specialized for crushing, whereas E. browni is smaller and more gracile. These differences have led to the hypothesis that an E. cutleri-like ancestor gave rise to Didelphodon, which has been previously interpreted as a durophagous predator-scavenger. I test this hypothesis and investigate the evolution of durophagy within the stagodontids by examining dentary shape, as opposed to dental morphology. I implement beam theory to quantify the biomechanical properties of the dentary of stagodontids and other metatherians. I also use the resulting force profiles to further constrain the feeding behavior of these taxa.

Chapter 4 seeks to broaden the phylogenetic scope of interpreting metatherian dietary ecology and elucidate macroevolutionary patterns regarding metatherian ecomorphological diversification. In this chapter, I examine the dental ecomorphologies of a comprehensive sample of North American Late Cretaceous metatherians by employing
three-dimensional dental topographic analysis to predict diet. The application of this method has been underutilized for metatherian taxa, as many of studies that implement dental topographic analysis have focused on placentals (mostly primates; e.g., Evans et al., 2007; Boyer, 2008; Winchester et al., 2014). Additionally, no other dental ecomorphology study has exclusively focused on the dietary diversification of metatherians within a phylogenetic context. I also provide a new hypothesis regarding the timing of early metatherian ecological diversification in this chapter.

Chapter 5 consists of concluding remarks and a summary of the main conclusions of the three studies included in my dissertation.

Overall, this dissertation provides novel insights into the evolution of metatherians. First, it highlights the importance of more complete Cretaceous-aged metatherian specimens, particularly from North America, and the persistent instability of the metatherian phylogenetic tree. It also demonstrates the significance of examining different ecomorphological units (i.e., dental morphology, dentary morphology, and likely cranial morphology) in concert with each other to better infer likely feeding ecologies. Finally, this dissertation shows that quantitative methods reliably predict diet and can be used to investigate macroevolutionary patterns of fossil taxa. Future studies should seek to continue adding anatomical data for North American metatherians and investigate paleoecology within a phylogenetic framework (as it improves) to advance our understanding of the evolution of Metatheria.
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CHAPTER 2: NEW CRANIAL SPECIMENS OF *ALPHADON HALLEYI*, SAHNI 1972 FROM EGG MOUNTAIN AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR METATHERIAN EVOLUTION

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Extant marsupials are neither as taxonomically rich (~340 species of marsupials vs. >5,000 species of placentals) nor as geographically wide-ranging as extant placental mammals (Sánchez-Villagra 2013). However, during the Late Cretaceous, metatherians (the stem-based clade that includes marsupials and their closest therian relatives) were more evolutionarily successful than eutherians (the stem-based clade that includes placentals and their closest therian relatives): they were geographically widespread (Rougier et al. 1998; Krause 2001; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004; Martin et al. 2005; Vullo et al. 2009; Averianov et al. 2010; Williamson et al. 2014; Goin et al. 2016), numerically abundant in local faunas (e.g., Cifelli 2004; Wilson 2014), taxonomically rich (e.g., Archibald 2011; Williamson et al. 2014; Wilson et al. 2016; Bennett et al. 2018), and had greater dental morphological diversity (Archibald 2011; Brannick et al. submitted). Metatherians in North America then experienced a significant decline in taxonomic diversity during the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) mass extinction event (ca. 66 million years ago [Ma]), such that only two families, the Peradectidae and Herpetotheriidae, occur in Paleogene deposits (Clemens 1979; Cifelli and Davis 2003; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004; Wilson 2014; Williamson and Lofgren 2014; Williamson et al. 2014).

Previous work has focused on the taxonomic diversity, geographic distribution, temporal range, and diet of early metatherians (see Williamson et al. 2014 for review), but important
questions regarding other aspects of their paleoecology and their phylogenetic relationships remain. These gaps in our understanding are in part due to the fossil record of early metatherians, which consists mostly of isolated teeth and fragmentary jaws (Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004). Cranial and postcranial fossils are very rare, particularly for Late Cretaceous North American (NA) Marsupialiformes (the clade that includes metatherians more closely related to Marsupialia than to Deltatheroida; Vullo et al. 2009; Williamson et al. 2014). The most complete cranial specimens known belong to Paleogene South American taxa (e.g., *Pucadelphys*, *Mayulestes*, and *Ardinodelphys*; Marshall et al. 1995; de Muizon 1998; Macrini et al. 2007; de Muizon and Ladevèze 2020). The lack of skeletal data for Cretaceous NA taxa has hampered a better understanding of the phylogenetic relationships among NA stem marsupialiforms, impeded a broad assessment of the patterns of metatherian taxonomic evolution, and hindered our understanding of the paleoecological evolution of these early marsupial relatives.

Until recently, cladistic analyses of metatherians commonly showed a tree topology in which an early split separated Late Cretaceous Asian and NA stem taxa from Paleogene South American (SA) stem taxa with crown marsupials nested within the SA clade (e.g., Rougier et al. 1998). The nesting of Marsupialia within the SA group is congruent with the current distribution of living marsupials—almost all extant marsupials live in South America and Australasia (although *Didelphis virginiana* has a range that extends into the Nearctic region of North America, it likely originated in the Neotropics; Kirsch et al. 1993; Dias and Perini 2018)—and our current understanding of marsupial dispersal events from South America to Antarctica and Australia (e.g., Goin et al. 2016).

More complete scoring of cladistic characters for one Cretaceous metatherian brought this scenario into question. Indeed, the study by Wilson and colleagues (2016) of relatively
complete cranial fossils of the North American stem marsupialiform *Didelphodon vorax* led to the scoring of 34 previously unscored characters and the revision of seven scores out of 164 characters for this taxon (*Didelphodon vorax* = 86% characters scored). The strict consensus tree that resulted from their cladistic analysis showed a substantial change in topology from earlier trees (e.g., Rougier et al. 2004). Although the tree of Wilson et al. (2016) is congruent with previous analyses in separating NA and SA stem marsupialiforms into different clades, it differs in showing crown marsupials nested within the NA stem marsupialiform clade. This result implies that by the Santonian to early Paleocene (ca. 85–65 Ma), crown marsupials either originated in North America or their closest marsupialiform relatives dispersed from North America to South America and crown marsupials evolved soon after. With such a dramatic change in topology after adding anatomical data for one taxon, it begs the question of how updating morphological information for other taxa might further alter the tree topology. The lack of consensus within the metatherian tree solicits further testing of the results of Wilson et al. (2016). Improving the stability and robusticity of the metatherian phylogeny is contingent upon the discovery and detailed study of more complete cranial and postcranial fossils—especially of Mesozoic taxa.

Here, we describe new skull material (four crania and two dentaries) of the Late Cretaceous NA stem marsupialiform *Alphadon halleyi* recovered from the Egg Mountain locality. This locality is located ~25 km west of Choteau, Teton County, northwestern Montana, USA (Fig. 2.1). It is in the upper part of the Two Medicine Formation, which is Campanian in age (ca. 75.5 Ma; Rogers et al. 1993). Previous work on the locality has described the sedimentology, interpreted the depositional environment, placed it in a geochronological framework, and studied the taphonomy (e.g., Lorenz and Gavin 1984; Shelton 2007; Varricchio
et al. 2010; Freimuth and Varricchio 2019; Weaver et al. 2020; Freimuth et al. 2021). The quarry is 16.5 m stratigraphically above a bentonite horizon dated to 76.305 ± 0.1404 Ma (Varricchio et al. 2010; recalibrated by Fowler [2017] using plagioclase); consistent with assignment to the Judithian North American land mammal ‘age’ ([NALMA]; Rogers 1990, 1998; Shelton 2007; Varricchio et al. 2010). The sediments of Egg Mountain are calcareous mudstones and siltstones as well as micritic limestones that are well indurated (Lorenz and Gavin 1984). The sedimentary rock units lack clear bedding planes and primary sedimentary structures, most likely due to bioturbation (Lorenz and Gavin 1984; Freimuth and Varricchio 2019). The 1.5m stratigraphic interval of the Egg Mountain quarry has been divided into three main lithologic units (see Weaver et al. 2020 for details). Unit 1—the lowermost unit of the quarry—is a micritic limestone that is roughly laterally continuous throughout the quarry (Weaver et al. 2020). Unit 2 is ~1-m-thick grey calcareous siltstone that overlies Unit 1. It also has interfingering micritic limestone lenses that are of a similar lithology to Unit 1 (Weaver et al. 2020). Unit 3 overlies Unit 2 and is a thin (20–30 cm) micritic limestone (Weaver et al. 2020). Because the sedimentary rock units of the Egg Mountain quarry are generally massive, stratigraphic positions of fossils were recorded in association with jackhammer passes—stratigraphically parallel cuts at ~11-cm deep intervals (Weaver et al. 2020; Freimuth et al. 2021; Fig. 2.1).

The Egg Mountain locality (Museum of the Rockies site TM-006) has been widely recognized for the preservation of dinosaur egg clutches (Horner 1984, 1987; Varricchio et al. 1997, 1999). This site has also yielded shed dinosaur teeth (Scofield 2018), root traces and insect cocoons (Martin and Varricchio 2011), a shell fragment of the turtle *Adocus* (Scofield 2018), mammalian skulls and partial skeletons (e.g., Wilson and Varricchio 2014; Weaver et al. 2020), nearly complete skeletons of the oldest North American iguanomorph, *Magnuviator*
*ovimonsensis* (DeMar et al. 2017), and other squamates (DeMar, person. comm.). Only two mammalian taxa have thus far been identified from Egg Mountain—a multituberculate, *Filikomys primaevus* (Weaver et al. 2020; previously identified as *Cimexomys judithae* by Montellano et al. 2000), and a marsupialiform, *Alphadon halleyi* (Montellano 1988; Wilson and Varricchio 2014; Freimuth et al. 2021). Most of the substantial collection of well-preserved mammal fossils from this site has not yet been described (but see the comprehensive study of *Filikomys primaevus* by Weaver et al. 2020).

The genus *Alphadon* has been considered an important taxon in determining phylogenetic relationships among Cretaceous stem marsupialiforms and crown marsupials due to its geologic age range and its conservative dental morphology (Clemens 1968). Thus, we provide a detailed description of the new skull fossils of *Alphadon halleyi* from Egg Mountain and incorporate the resulting anatomical data into a phylogenetic analysis to reevaluate the phylogenetic relationships within Metatheria.

2.2 INSTITUTIONAL ABBREVIATIONS—AMNH, American Museum of Natural History, New York, New York, USA.; MOR, Museum of the Rockies, Bozeman, Montana, USA

2.3 TERMINOLOGY AND MEASUREMENTS

We follow Wible (2003) for cranial osteology terminology and Kielan-Jaworowska et al. (2004) for dental terminology. The postcanine dental formula for Metatheria has been re-interpreted as P1/p1, P2/p2, P4/p4, DP5/dp5, M1/m1, M2/m2, and M3/m3 (Luckett 1993; O’Leary et al. 2013), but we use the conventional terminology of three premolars and four molars for practical purposes.
2.4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.4.1 Fieldwork and Preparation

All *Alphadon halleyi* specimens described here were collected during the 2010–2016 field seasons at Egg Mountain (Teton County, Montana, USA). Weaver et al. (2020) and Freimuth et al. (2021) provide details regarding specimen excavation and stratigraphic position mapping. MOR 10911 and MOR 10913 were found at jackhammer pass (JHP) 7, whereas MOR 10912 was found at JHP 5 (Fig. 2.1). MOR 10912 and MOR 10913 were manually prepared by J.P. Cavigelli in Casper, WY and MOR 10911 was manually prepared by J. Alexander in Seattle, WA.

2.4.2 Micro-computed Tomography (μCT)

Many of these specimens are encased in hard rock that is difficult to mechanically prepare without severe risk of damage to the fossils. To mitigate this risk and to aid our morphological descriptions, we created three-dimensional (3D) virtual models of the specimens described here. MOR 10912a–d and 10913a were μCT scanned at the University of Washington on a North Star Imaging X5000 CT scanner with a Feinfocus FXE (11164478) X-ray source and Perkin Elmer (XRD 1620/1621 AM/AN) detector. MOR 10911 was μCT scanned by T. Cox at Seattle Children’s Hospital on a Skyscan 1076. See 2.11: Appendix 1 for scan parameter details. We post-processed and created three-dimensional virtual reconstructions derived from μCT data using Avizo Lite software (ThermoFisher Scientific, version 9.2). All measurements were taken on virtually reconstructed elements in Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012) using the Line tool and Measure function. Dental measurements follow Lillegraven (1969). All measurements were
taken three times and then averaged; they are millimeters (mm) and rounded to the nearest hundredth of a millimeter.

2.4.3 Phylogenetic Analysis

To assess the phylogenetic relationships of Alphadon with other metatherians, we conducted a cladistic analysis using a data matrix derived from Rougier et al. (2015), Wilson et al. (2016) and Cohen et al. (2020). Our data matrix includes 54 taxa (13 outgroup taxa, 10 deltatheroidans and 31 marsupialiforms) and 164 characters (67 dental, 86 cranial, and 11 mandibular; 11 ordered characters; 2.11: Appendix 2). We scored 14 previously unscored characters for Alphadon from direct observations of the physical specimens described here, as well as μCT image and 3D digital models of the same specimens (2.11: Appendix 5). We assembled our data matrix in Mesquite (Maddison and Maddison 2018) and then used the software program Tree Analysis Using New Technology (TNT; Goloboff et al. 2008) to conduct our cladistic analysis (2.11: Appendices 3–4). We subjected our data matrix to a new technology search using the sectorial, ratchet, drift and tree-fusing strategies with 500 minimum length trees (Goloboff 1999; Nixon 1999). Bremer supports were calculated by retaining trees suboptimal by ten steps.

2.5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.5.1 Systematic Paleontology

MAMMALIA Linnaeus, 1758

THERIA Parker and Haswell, 1897
METATHERIA Huxley, 1880

MARSUPIALIFORMES Vullo, Gheerbrant, de Muizon, & Néraudeau 2009

“ALPHADONTIDAE” Marshall, Case, & Woodburne 1990

ALPHADON, Simpson 1927

ALPHADON HALLEYI, Sahni 1972

**Holotype**—AMNH 77367: a lower molar. The holotype was found at the Clambank Hollow locality in the upper portion of the Judith River Formation (Judithian; Late Cretaceous), Chouteau County, Montana.

**Referred Specimens**— MOR 10911, a partial skull (Figs. 2.2–2.3; 2.11: Appendix 5); MOR 10912a, a partial cranium (Fig. 2.4; 2.11: Appendix 5); MOR 10912b, a partial cranium with associated upper dentition (Figs. 2.5–2.6; 2.11: Appendix 5); MOR 10912c, a partial cranium with associated upper dentition (Figs. 2.7–2.8; 2.11: Appendix 5); MOR 10912d, a partial left dentary with associated lower dentition (Figs. 2.7, 2.9; 2.11: Appendix 5); and MOR 10913a, a partial cranium (Figs. 2.10–2.12; 2.11: Appendix 5).

**Locality data**—All referred specimens were found at the MOR locality TM-006, Egg Mountain, Two Medicine Formation (Judithian: Late Cretaceous), Montana.

**Diagnosis**—“Small species of *Alphadon* the same size or slightly smaller than *A. lulli*; upper molar cusp B large, cusp C small (much smaller than in *A. marshi*), cusp D large but smaller than B and low ridge present in cusp E position; cusp A large, separated from B by a significant notch; cusp B close to paracone; paracone and metacone nearly equal in size (paracone is larger than metacone in *A. lulli*) and separated by a wide valley (not as narrow as in *A. lulli*); lower molar hypoconulids more separate than in *A. lulli*; lower molars smaller, talonids
proportionately smaller, and paraconid more anteriorly projecting than *A. wilsoni*” (Rigby and Wolberg 1987, p. 55); “as well: metasylar wing prominent, postmetacingulum more posteriorly than labially directed on M1–M3, ectoflexus more broadly open than on other M3s referred to *Alphadon sensu lato*” (Johanson 1996:171). Montellano (1992) also states that paraconule and metaconule are positioned closer to the protocone and more separated from the paracone and metacone in *Alphadon halleyi* than in other species of *Alphadon* s.l., but this condition has not been found consistently (Johanson 1996).

**General skull anatomy remarks**— The specimens described herein include the anterior region of the cranium and part of the rostrum; the braincase is not preserved in any of these specimens. Almost all specimens underwent some manner of postmortem deformation. MOR 10911 and MOR 10912c underwent mediolateral compression, so the view of the palate is obscured. MOR 10912a underwent dorsoventral compression and shearing, thus positions of the orbital bones are difficult to determine. An intensive taphonomic study of Egg Mountain specimens led to the hypothesis that MOR 10912a–d and MOR 10913a represent regurgitated gastric pellets of the theropod *Troodon* (Freimuth et al. 2021). The taphonomic study of MOR 10911 is outside the scope of this paper; however, MOR 10911 shares preservational aspects consistent with regurgitalites as well (e.g., the braincase is not preserved, teeth and teeth bearing elements are preserved, lack of associated postcrania).

**Nasal**— Fragmentary nasals are present in MOR 10913a (Figs. 2.10–2.11; 2.11: Appendix 5) and more complete nasals are present in MOR 10912a (Fig. 2.4; 2.11: Appendix 5). In dorsal view, the nasals contact the frontals, lacrimals, maxillae, and premaxillae. As seen in MOR 10913a, the most posterior portion of the nasals is somewhat diamond-shaped and achieves maximum width at the contact with the lacrimal, maxilla, and frontal. The nasals
terminate posteriorly dorsal to the M3. From the point of maximum width, the nasals begin to taper anteriorly toward the midline dorsal to M1. MOR 10912a is crushed, but sutures are visible; this specimen shows that the nasals extend anterior to the canine, as in most living didelphids and fossil metatherians (Voss and Jansa 2009; Wilson et al. 2016).

**Premaxilla**— The anatomy anterior to the canine is only preserved in MOR 10912a (Fig. 2.4; 2.11: Appendix 5). Additionally, MOR 10912a is crushed, making a description of the 3D shape of the snout (i.e., broad vs. narrow) impossible. The posterior border of the facial process contacts the maxilla vertically and the nasal dorsally. Although the deformation of this specimen makes it difficult to see, it appears that a thin posterodorsal process tapers between the nasal and maxilla to its termination dorsal to the canine, much like the condition seen in *Andinodelphys cochabambensis* and *Mayulestes ferox* (de Muizon 1998; de Muizon and Ladevèze 2020). The alveolar and palatal processes are not preserved in this specimen.

**Maxilla**— Portions of the maxilla are preserved in all five specimens included here. Overlapping elements are morphologically consistent, but we also note a few differences between the specimens. Like other metatherians, the facial process of the maxilla forms the dorsolateral wall of the rostrum (de Muizon et al. 2018). An infraorbital foramen (IOF) is present on the lateral aspect of the facial process. In MOR 10911 (Figs. 2.2–2.3; 2.11: Appendix 5) and MOR 10912b (Fig. 2.5; 2.11: Appendix 5), this foramen has a slightly more posterior position than what is observable in *Allqokirus* (dorsal to the embrasure between P2 and P3; de Muizon et al. 2018), but anterior to the location seen in *Didelphodon* (dorsal to the P3–M1 embrasure; Wilson et al. 2016). Instead, the foramen location is more similar in position to some specimens of *Andinodelphys*, where the foramen is generally dorsal to the posterior root of P3 but also overhangs the anterior root of P3 (de Muizon et al. 2018). The IOF in MOR 10912a is positioned
slightly more anterior than the other two specimens; it’s dorsal to the P2–P3 embrasure, as in *Allqokirus* (Fig. 2.4; 2.11: Appendix 5). There is also a small nutrient foramen dorsal to the posterior edge of the anterior root of P3 in MOR 10912a. Posterodorsally, the maxilla extends between the nasal and lacrimal, and has a small contact with the frontal. The frontal-maxilla contact is not as clear as in MOR 10913a as in MOR 10912a, but it is still present. From the frontal-maxilla suture, the posterior edge of the maxilla then contacts the lacrimal and extends anteriorly, then anteroventrally. The maxilla contacts the jugal near the ventral rim of the orbit dorsal to the M1-M2 embrasure, and the edge of the maxilla-jugal suture extends posterodorsally. The maxilla also contributes to the anterior zygomatic root dorsal to M2–M4.

In MOR 10912a and MOR 10913a, the triangle-shaped orbital plate is formed by the maxilla. In MOR 10912a (Fig. 2.4; 2.11: Appendix 5), the orbital floor is dorsal to the M1 and extends posteriorly, whereas in MOR 10913a (Fig. 2.11; 2.11: Appendix 5), the orbital floor appears to be dorsal to the M2. There is some slight dorsoventral deformation of the orbit in MOR 10913a which may account for this difference in position of the orbital floor. The posterior edge of the orbital floor is not preserved in any of these specimens.

Due to the mediolateral crushing of MOR 10911, MOR 10912a, and MOR 10912c, much of the palatal process of the maxilla is obscured. As a consequence, we cannot determine the presence of any palatal fenestrae. MOR 10912b preserves a small, undeformed portion of the palatal process, but does not preserve enough bone medially to determine the presence of palatal fenestrae; the posterior most portion of the palatal process is missing.

**Palatine**— The palatine is not preserved in most of the specimens included here. Only the most posterolateral portion of the palatine is preserved in MOR 10912a (Fig. 2.4; 2.11: Appendix 5). MOR 10912a underwent shearing deformation and compression, such that the
palatine is crushed into the orbit. An oval-shaped foramen is present that is either the sphenopalatine foramen or the minor palatine foramen—the deformation of this specimen makes this determination difficult.

**Lacrimal**—The lacrimal forms the anterior rim of the orbit. The facial process of the lacrimal is semicircular, although, the lacrimal-jugal suture is fairly straight in MOR 10913a (Fig. 2.12; 2.11: Appendix 5). The facial process contacts the maxilla anteriorly, the jugal ventrally, and the frontal dorsally. The lacrimal foramen is circular to slightly ovoid, although the lacrimal foramen in MOR 10913a is deformed dorsoventrally. MOR 10913a (2.11: Appendix 5) and MOR 10911 (Fig. 2.3; 2.11: Appendix 5) have one distinct lacrimal canal, whereas MOR 10912a (Fig. 2.4; 2.11: Appendix 5) has two distinct canals that are subequal in size with one directly dorsal to the other. The lacrimal foramina in MOR 10912a are relatively smaller than the single foramen in the other specimens. However, intraspecific variation in the number of lacrimal foramina is also present in didelphids (Sánchez-Villagra and Asher 2002; Wible 2003; Voss and Jansa 2009).

Both MOR 10912a and MOR 10913a also preserve the orbital process of the lacrimal. The orbital process forms the anteromedial wall of the orbit, but, due to preservation, it is unclear if other bones contribute to this wall. The orbital process contacts the frontal and lacrimal posteriorly. The orbital process also contributes to the dorsal border of the maxillary foramen, which is visible in MOR 10913a; the remaining borders of the maxillary foramen are formed by the maxilla and possibly the palatine (although the palatine is not preserved in MOR 10913a).

**Jugal**—Only the anterior portion that contributes to the ventral rim of the orbit of the jugal is preserved. The anterior edge of the jugal and jugal-lacrimal suture is dorsal to the M1-M2 embrasure. From the jugal-lacrimal suture, the contact of the jugal with the maxilla arcs
broadly in a posterolateral direction. Although the contribution of the jugal to the anterior zygomatic root is preserved, much of the jugal that contributes to the zygomatic arch is not.

**Frontal**—Fragments of the frontals are present in both MOR10912a and MOR 10913a. Anteriorly, the contact between the frontals and nasals is W-shaped, much like in *Pucadelphys andinus* (Marshall et al., 1995). The frontal makes a small contact with the maxilla, wedged between the nasal and lacrimal. The frontal-lacrimal contact is almost horizontal dorsally and nearly vertical laterally. Most of the orbitotemporal fossa is not preserved, so contacts between the frontal and cranial bones (e.g., alisphenoid, palatine, parietal, etc.) that form this area of the skull cannot be determined. However, MOR 10912a and MOR 10913a preserve part of the frontal process that contributes directly to the medial wall of the orbit. The frontal process contributing to the orbit in MOR 10913a curves medially, but this is most likely due to dorsoventral compression deformation. MOR 10913a also preserves a distinct foramen near the edge of the supraorbital margin of the frontal that opens anteroventrally, which most likely is the foramen for the frontal diploic vein (Fig. 2.12; 2.11: Appendix 5). In dorsal view, the posterior portion of the frontal constricts medially (postorbital constriction) and then expands laterally near the most posterior edge. There is no evidence for a postorbital process and the supraorbital margin appears smooth.

**Dentary**—Fragments of the dentary are preserved in MOR 10911 (Figs. 2.2–2.3; 2.11: Appendix 5) and MOR 10912d (Figs. 2.7, 2.9; 2.11: Appendix 5). Anterior to the molar arcade, including the symphyseal region, the corpus is not preserved in either specimen (MOR 10911, anterior to the m3 and MOR 10912d, anterior to m2). From what is preserved of the corpus, the ventral margin is straight, but becomes convex ventrally below the m4, much like the condition seen in *Allqokirus*. There is no apparent retromolar space posterior to the m4. This is also the
condition in *Allqokirus* and the extant *Didelphis* but is different from the condition seen in *Andinodelphys, Pucadelphys,* and the extant *Monodelphis* (Wible 2003) and *Metachirus* (Voss and Jansa 2009). The digital model of MOR 10911 revealed that on the medial of the dentary, there is distinct groove extending from the ramus onto the corpus, and along the corpus for as long as the specimen is preserved. This is the mylohyoid groove (Bensley 1902), where the neurovascular bundle containing the mylohyoid nerve and artery rested; this groove has also been observed in other fossil metatherian taxa including, *Pucadelphys* (Marshall et al. 1995), *Mayulestes* (de Muizon 1998), *Allqokirus* (de Muizon et al. 2018), and *Andinodelphys* (de Muizon and Ladevèze 2020). There are no mental foramina visible on the corpora preserved.

Most of the ramus, including the coronoid, condyloid and angular process, are also missing in both specimens. Only a fragment of the anterior edge of the coronoid process is preserved in MOR 10911. More of the coronoid process is preserved in MOR 10912d, such that the most anterior portion of a shallow masseteric fossa is present.

**Upper dentition**—MOR 10913a is the only specimen associated with an incisor (I1?) (Fig. 2.11; 2.11: Appendix 5). The incisor is only visible in the digital model derived from µCT data; it is isolated and floating within the rock matrix. The tooth does not appear to have a distinct mesiostyle (anterior angle) or distostyle (posterior angle); it is fairly styliform. The apex of the crown is pointed, and the crown has a scoop-like morphology, in which the crown curves posterolingually. As such, we estimate this to be an upper first incisor, although it is difficult to determine if any wear is present from the digital model. The single root is long, but the exact position of the root-crown junction on the digital model is challenging to ascertain.

Upper canines are present in MOR 10912a, MOR 10912b, MOR 10912c and MOR 10913a. The upper right canine in MOR 10912a is complete and unworn except for some slight
apical wear, whereas the left canine is broken halfway down the crown such that the apex is missing. In MOR 10912b, the right canine has a fair amount of wear on the apex and mesial aspect, which may have been formed by attritional wear with the lower opposing canine. MOR 10912c preserves a complete right canine as well; on the surface of the specimen itself, it appears as though there is some slight apical wear, but the µCT scan shows that the apex is intact. MOR 10913a includes an isolated upper canine but it is broken and missing part of the root. The upper canines are simple and unicuspid with a single root; no accessory cusps are present. The apex is slightly recurved such that it is approximately ventral to the posterior border of the alveolus. The medial aspect is slightly less rounded than the lateral aspect. There is a shallow groove on the lateral aspect of the canine in MOR 10912c, like *Andinodelphys* but it is not as pronounced as in *Allqokirus*.

Three, double-rooted upper premolars are present and increase in size from P1 to P3; P1 is considerably smaller than P2 and P3 (Table 2.1). All upper premolars are oriented parallel to the axis of the tooth row, and each bears a single triangular cusp. Each premolar is transversely compressed (ovoid occlusal outline) and is longer than they are wide.

In lateral view, the apex of P1 is ventral to the anterior edge of the posterior root. P1 is close to the canine but it is not appressed such that the anterior root is lateral to the posterolateral edge of the canine as in *Andinodelphys*. The anterior edge is slightly convex, whereas the posterior edge is almost straight—a condition also seen also in *Allqokirus*, *Mayulestes*, and *Pucadelphys* (Marshall et al. 1995; de Muzion 1998; de Muizon et al. 2018). The posterior root is more robust than the anterior root. There is not an anterobasal cusp, but a small posterobasal cusp is present. Posterior to P1, a small diastema is visible.
P2 is larger than P1, but only slightly smaller than P3. It is slightly higher than the occlusal plane of the molars. Both anterobasal and posterobasal cusps are present, but the anterobasal cusp is much smaller than the posterobasal cusp. The apex of P2 is ventral to posterior edge of the anterior root—the anterior edge is not as convex as the condition seen in P2 but is almost straight instead. In lateral view, the posterior edge of the main cusp extends posterovertrnally and then becomes concave at the base. In occlusal view, the posterior portion (dorsal to the posterior root) is wider than the anterior portion.

P3 is the largest and most robust of the three premolars. P3 shares many morphological features with P2. The apex of main cusp is higher than the occlusal plane of the molars, taller than P2, and ventral to the posterior edge of the anterior root. The anterior edge of the main cusp is mostly straight, whereas the posterior edge—which forms a sharp crest—extends posterovertrnally to meet the posterobasal cusp. The anterobasal cusp is smaller and distinctly lower in the occlusal plane than the posterobasal cusp; a cingulum extends posterolabially from the anterobasal cusp for half of the anterior root. The posterobasal cusp is heel-like in shape. Small cingula extend on both labial and lingual sides of the posterobasal cusp as well. Much like P2, the posterior portion of P3 is wider than the anterior portion.

The upper molar morphology is consistent with previous descriptions and the diagnosis of *Alphadon halleyi* (e.g., Rigby and Wolberg 1987; Montellano 1992; Johanson 1996). The original diagnosis of *A. halleyi* (Sahnii 1972; maintained by Montellano 1992 and Cifelli 1990) does not accurately distinguish it from other species of *Alphadon* (Johanson 1996). Rigby and Wolberg (1987) and Johanson (1996) both revised the diagnosis to include more distinctive upper molar features. Johanson (1996) notes that the presence of a metastylar wing (distal stylar shelf) that is transversely wider (and more posterolabially directed) than the mesial stylar shelf is
diagnostic of \( A. \text{halleyi} \). A metastylar wing that is transversely wider than the mesial stylar shelf is consistently observed in all of these specimens, especially in the M2s and M3s. Other diagnostic features include a broadly open ectoflexus on the M3s and a list of specific relative sizes and positions of the stylar cusps. Specifically, stylar cusp C is the smallest stylar cusp in \( A. \text{halleyi} \), whereas stylar cusp B (stylocone) is the largest stylar cusp. The specimens described here follow these stylar cusp specifications, but we did notice some variability in the size of stylar cusp C. Stylar cusp C is more prominent on the M2s and M3s, whereas it is minute to the point where it is difficult to discern on the M1s—specifically in MOR 10911. This is most likely due to the resolution of the \( \mu \)CT scan, as details of the upper dentition of this specimen can only be viewed in the digital model. In all specimens, the paraconule and metaconule are closer to the protocone than to the paracone and metacone—another feature of \( A. \text{halleyi} \), although this state is not found consistently (Montellano 1992; Johanson 1996). Almost all teeth lie within the observed size range found in \( A. \text{halleyi} \); a few teeth are slightly larger than previously recorded ranges (Montellano 1992), but they are within the observed size range of \( A. \text{sahnii} \) (Table 2.1). We follow the recommendation of Johanson (1996) and consider \( A. \text{sahnii} \) a junior synonym of \( A. \text{halleyi} \).

**Lower dentition**—Although the holotype of this species is a lower molar, Sahni (1972) did not include any lower molar features in the original diagnosis of \( A. \text{halleyi} \), apart from mentioning that it is “smaller than \( Alphadon \text{praesagus} \) but larger than \( A. \text{lulli} \) from the Lance Formation.” Although much of the discussion surrounding species classification within the Alphadontidae focuses on upper molar characteristics, Rigby and Wolberg (1987) revised the diagnosis of \( A. \text{halleyi} \) and attempted to include useful lower molar features; yet, the lower molar characteristics they included mainly rely on comparisons to \( A. \text{lulli} \) (now classified as
Protalphadon lulli) and A. wilsoni (a taxon that has subsequently had specimens referred to as both A. marshi and A. jasoni).

Only MOR 10911 (Fig. 2.3; 2.11: Appendix 5) and MOR 10912d (Figs. 2.7, 2.9; 2.11: Appendix 5) preserve lower dentition; however, no lower premolars are preserved. MOR 10911 is a fragmentary dentary and preserves the complete right molar arcade, m1–m4, whereas MOR 10912d, another fragmentary dentary, only preserves the left m2–m4. The morphology of these specimens is consistent with previously described lower molars of A. halleyi (Sahni 1972; Fox 1979; Rigby and Wolberg 1987; Cifelli 1990; Montellano 1992, 1988) and the dimensions of the specimens described here fall within the known size range of A. halleyi (Table 2.1). The protoconid is the tallest trigonid cusp; it is located anteriorly to the metaconid. The paraconid is anterior to the protoconid and medial to both the protoconid and metaconid. The metaconid is more anteroposteriorly elongated than the paraconid. The cristid obliqua extends from the hypoconid to the posterior wall of the trigonid ventral to the notch separating the protoconid and metaconid—an orientation characteristic of Alphadon (Sahni 1972; Montellano 1992). The hypoconid is the most robust talonid cusp. The entoconid and hypoconulid are twinned; the entoconid is anterolingual to the hypoconulid. Contra to most previous descriptions, the trigonid width is slight larger than the talonid width in all specimens; however, because other features characteristics of A. halleyi are present in the specimens, we classify them as such.

2.5.2 Phylogenetic Analysis Results

We obtained six equally parsimonious trees (tree length = 617, consistency index = 0.355, and retention index = 0.670; Fig. 2.13; 2.11: Appendices 6–9). Our strict consensus tree is consistent with recent analyses (e.g., Wilson et al. 2016; Cohen et al. 2020) in several ways.
Marsupialia is recovered as a monophyletic group that includes *Mimoperadectes–Peradectes + Herpetotherium* (Wilson et al., 2016). Additionally, stagodontids are recovered as a monophyletic group, as in previous studies (e.g., Wilson et al. 2016; Cohen et al. 2020). The Bremer support for the sister taxa *Fumodelphodon + Didelphodon* is high, whereas the support for *Hoodootherium + Eodelphis + Fumodelphodon + Didelphodon* clade is only moderate. As in previous studies (e.g., Williamson et al. 2012; Williamson et al. 2014; Wilson et al. 2016; Cohen et al. 2020), *Glasbius* is most closely related to the pediomyid taxa, and *Dakotadens + Scalaridelphys + Aquiladelphis* form the Aquiladelphidae clade (Cohen et al. 2020). In contrast, alphadontids do not form a monophyletic group; our results show *Alphadon* as the sister taxon to *Albertatherium*, but *Turgidodon* as more closely related to the Aquiladelphidae clade.

Although a number of similarities are present between our results and previous analyses, our strict consensus tree bears some striking differences. First, our results show the Maastrichtian Mongolian specimen, the so-called “Gurlin Tsav Skull” (GTS), nested within Stagodontidae (*Pariadens* is considered a stagodontid, although this designation has been debated [e.g., Fox and Naylor 2006]) (Fig. 2.13). This is interesting because this specimen has consistently grouped with SA Paleogene stem taxa (e.g., *Mayulestes* and *Andinodelphys*) in most other analyses. However, de Muizon and Ladevèze (2020) also recovered GTS as a sister taxon to *Eodelphis* and *Didelphodon* and that clade as sister group to Sparassodonta. On one hand, the relationship between GTS and stagodontids has been questioned because it relies mostly on dental features, such as a well-developed upper molar postmetacrista, which might represent convergent adaptations for carnivory (e.g., Fox and Naylor 1995; de Muizon and Lange-Badré 1997; Wilson et al. 2016; de Muizon and Ladevèze 2020). Our low consistency index indicates that homoplasy is common in our tree and is likely the reason for the current placement of GTS. On the other
hand, if GTS is indeed closely related to other stagodontids, as suggested by Marshall et al. (1990), its position may indicate a biogeographic link between Asia and North America during the Late Cretaceous. Laurasian dispersal events have been hypothesized for other terrestrial vertebrate groups, including dinosaurs (Evans et al. 2013), mammals (Kielan-Jaworowska 1975; Cifelli 2000), and lizards (Nydam 2013)—including the basal iguanomorph *Magnuviator ovimonsensis* from the Egg Mountain locality (DeMar et al. 2017)—so the phylogenetic position of GTS might indicate a Laurasian dispersal event of stagodontids.

The phylogenetic placements of borhyaenids and *Holoclemensia* also differ from previous analyses. Borhyaenids—an extinct group of carnivorous sparassodonts found in South America—have consistently grouped with other South American taxa (e.g., *Jaskhadelphys*, *Pucadelphys*) in previous studies (e.g., Rougier et al. 1998; Wilson et al. 2016). However, our results place borhyaenids as the earliest branching marsupialiform; this topology has a Bremer support of two. The synapomorphies associated with the separation of borhyaenids from other marsupialiforms are mostly dental features, which, again, are prone to homoplasy (de Muizon and Lange-Badré 1997). This position of borhyaenids may be due to biases created by treating this clade as a single operational taxonomic unit (OTU) (de Muizon and Ladevèze 2020). Other analyses (e.g., de Muizon and Ladevèze 2020; Engelman et al. 2020) that have focused on sparassodonts and used individual borhyaenid species as OTUs recover borhyaenid as a sister group to other South American stem taxa.

Contrary to the accepted position of borhyaenids, the phylogenetic position of the Aptian-Albian-aged *Holoclemensia* has been contentious (Beck in press). The original description (Slaughter 1968) and subsequent studies (e.g., Wilson and Riedel 2010; Luo et al. 2011; Rougier et al. 2015; Bi et al. 2015; Wilson et al. 2016) place it at the base of Metatheria.
However, other studies have placed this taxon outside of Theria altogether (Cifelli 1993) or considered it a tribotherian (Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004); others still consider *Holoclemensia* as a eutherian (Vullo et al. 2009; Averianov et al. 2010; Davis and Cifelli 2011). Our results fall in line with these latter studies and designate *Holoclemensia* as a eutherian or stem-eutherian (Fig. 2.13). The position of *Holoclemensia* is unclear and its phylogenetic position will remain unstable until more data can be garnered (Beck in press).

Finally, our strict consensus tree indicates that the relationships between crown marsupials, NA marsupialiforms, and SA marsupialiforms are ambiguous. All of these major groups form a polytomy. This contrasts with the resulting phylogenetic tree from Wilson et al. (2016), which dramatically altered the placement of crown marsupials within marsupialiforms—a topology has been upheld by subsequent other studies (e.g., Cohen et al. 2020; de Muizon and Ladevèze 2020). Although these new specimens of *Alphadon* provided novel data that contribute to our understanding of the morphology of Cretaceous metatherians, our phylogenetic results are not as clear. Though our resulting tree upholds the dichotomy between NA and SA marsupialiforms, the relationship of crown marsupials to these older taxa is ambiguous.

### 2.6 CONCLUSIONS

The metatherian skull material from Egg Mountain represents the most well-known specimens of *Alphadon halleyi* and some of the most complete crania of any North American Cretaceous metatherians (only *Didelphodon* has more complete material known). Here, we report novel morphological data for *Alphadon*, mostly in relation to the premolars and bones of the rostrum. General anatomical comparisons to other metatherian skulls are complicated by the deformation of the Egg Mountain specimens. However, a few critical observations can be made.
In comparison to Didelphodon—the only other skull material of a North American metatherian currently known—the nasals project more anteriorly (MOR 10912a; Fig. 2.4). Alphadon halleyi has a more slender, elongated rostrum than Didelphodon. The slim rostrum morphology of A. halleyi is more similar to the Paleogene South American taxa, including Pucadelphys and Andinodelphys (e.g., de Muizon et al. 2018). An A. halleyi specimen with an intact premaxilla and incisor arcade would aid in further rostrum comparisons with South American taxa, including the morphology of the ventral orientation angle of the alveolar process of the premaxilla (de Muizon et al. 2018: figure 15). Additionally, MOR 10911, MOR 10912a, and MOR 10913a hint at the large size of the orbit relative to rest of the cranium. The anterior portion of the orbit is preserved in these specimens, and we hypothesize that the orbit of A. halleyi is larger relative to the overall cranium than in Didelphodon. More complete orbital anatomy may be able to reveal dial activity patterns of A. halleyi, but we postulate that the animal probably had nocturnal habits based on its relatively large orbit. Furthermore, Brannick et al. (submitted) determined that A. halleyi was a soft-insect specialist. Future studies should focus on biomechanical and functional aspects of the cranial anatomy and utilize methodologies such as bite force estimations (e.g., Wilson et al. 2016) and bending strength of the dentary (e.g., Wilson et al. 2016; Brannick and Wilson 2020). As more complete fossil material for North American metatherians is discovered, more in-depth comparisons to other metatherian taxa can be made, autapomorphies for A. halleyi can be determined, and the ecology of Alphadon halleyi can further be inferred.

Using these new anatomical data, we updated the phylogenetic character scores for Alphadon and reexamined the phylogenetic relationships among metatherians. Our results demonstrate the presence of instability across the metatherian tree. However, pediomyids and
stagodontids are recovered as in previous studies, indicating they are robust, monophyletic groups. The relatively recent change in the phylogenetic placement of Marsupialia (being nested within a North American marsupialiforms clade instead of South American marsupialiform clade; Wilson et al. 2016) has been consistent across recent studies. Our results demonstrate the high instability in resolution between crown marsupials, North American marsupialiforms and South American marsupialiforms. We recover Marsupialia as a polytomy that includes both North American and South America groups. Thus, the place of origin of crown marsupials remains elusive. Yet, our study exhibits the importance of new, extraordinary fossil discoveries and incorporating subsequent anatomical details into phylogenetic analyses so that we can revise and improve our understanding of metatherian evolution.
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Figure 2.1 Geography and geology at the Egg Mountain locality (Museum of the Rockies Tm-006). A, Map of the field area at the Willow Creek Anticline, Two Medicine Formation near Choteau, MT, USA. The Egg Mountain locality is indicated with the arrow.
B, Schematic stratigraphic section measured at the Egg Mountain locality. C, The top 1.5 m of the main quarry; schematic jackhammer passes are superimposed over the stratigraphy. Specimens are assigned to jackhammer passes to track their stratigraphic position and the level at which specimens occur within a jackhammer pass is approximate. Jackhammer passes are stratigraphically parallel cuts that proceeded at ~11 cm in thickness. MOR 10912 was located within jackhammer pass 5 whereas both MOR 10911 and MOR 10913 were located within jackhammer pass 7. Figure modified from Weaver et al. 2020 and Freimuth et al. 2021. Lizard silhouette by Ghedo and T. Michael Keesey (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/). Abbreviations: EM, Egg Mountain; JHP, jackhammer pass.
Figure 2.2. MOR 10911, incomplete maxillae with associated lower right m1–m4 of *Alphadon halleyi*. A, Left lateral view. B, Left lateral view with accompanying labels. C, Right lateral view. D, Right lateral view with accompanying labels. Abbreviations: *iof*, infraorbital foramen.
Figure 2.3. MOR 10911, incomplete maxillae with associated lower right m1–m4 of *Alphadon halleyi*. A, Digital model in left lateral view. B, Digital model in right lateral view. C, Accompanying line drawing to A in left lateral view. D, Accompanying line drawing to B in right lateral view. Abbreviations: d, dentary; fr, frontal; iof, infraorbital foramen; ju, jugal; lac, lacrimal; mx, maxilla.
Figure 2.4. MOR 10912a, a partial skull of *Alphadon halleyi*. A, Right lateral view. B, Right lateral with accompanying labels. C, Left lateral and occlusal views. D, Left lateral and occlusal views with accompanying labels. Abbreviations: Ca, canine; iof, infraorbital foramen.
Figure 2.5. MOR 10912b, a right maxilla with associated dentition of *Alphadon halleyi*. A, Right lateral view. B, Right lateral view with accompanying labels. C, Left lateral view. D, Left lateral view with accompanying labels. Abbreviations: Ca, canine; iof, infraorbital foramen. Right (R) and left (L) are labeled to denote side of dentition.
Figure 2.6. MOR 10912b of *Alphadon halleyi* in occlusal stereopair. Abbreviations: Ca, canine.
Figure 2.7. MOR 10912c, a partial skull with associated upper dentition, and MOR 10912d, a partial left dentary with associated dentition, of *Alphadon halleyi*. A, Lateral view. B, Lateral view with accompanying labels. Abbreviations: Ca, canine; mx, maxilla. Right (R) and left (L) are labeled to denote side of dentition.
**Figure 2.8.** Digital models of MOR 10912c, a partial skull of *Alphodon halleyi*. **A, F,** Digital model in ventral view and associated line drawing. **B, G,** Digital model in left lateral view and associated line drawing. **C, H,** Digital model in right lateral view and associated line drawing. **D, I,** Digital model of right molar row and associated line drawing. **E, J,** Digital model of left molar row and associated line drawing. Right (R) and left (L) are labeled to denote side of dentition.
Figure 2.9. MOR 10912d, lower m2–m4, of *Alphadon halleyi* in occlusal stereopair.
Figure 2.10. MOR 10913a, a partial skull, of *Alphadon halleyi*. A, Dorsal view. B, Dorsal view with accompanying labels.
Figure 2.11. MOR 10913a, a partial skull, of *Alphadon halleyi*. A, Digital model of cranial elements in dorsal view. B, Digital model of cranial elements in ventral view. C, Accompanying line drawing in dorsal view. D, Accompanying line drawing in ventral view. Abbreviations: Ca, canine; d, dentary; fr, frontal; Inc, incisor; lac, lacrimal; mx, maxilla; n, nasal. Right (R) and left (L) are labeled to denote side of dentition.
Figure 2.12. MOR 10913a, a partial skull, of *Alphadon halleyi*. A, Digital model in right lateral view. B, Accompanying line drawing in right lateral view. Abbreviations: fdv, foramen for the
frontal diploic vein; \textbf{fr}, frontal; \textbf{ju}, jugal; \textbf{lac}, lacrimal; \textbf{mx}, maxilla; \textbf{n}, nasal. Left (L) lacrimal and frontal are labeled for clarity.
Figure 2.13. Strict consensus of six equally parsimonious trees (tree length = 617; consistency index = 0.355; and retention index = 0.670) following a phylogenetic analysis of 54 taxa and 164
characters. Bremer support values greater than one are shown above the node in gray. *Alphadon* is in boldface type. The position of Eutheria is marked as such provided that *Holoclemensia* is indeed a eutherian. It is possible that *Holoclemensia* is a stem eutherian; in this case, Eutheria would be designated to only include the most inclusive clade containing *Aspanlestes* + *Kennalestes*. 
### 2.10 Tables

**Table 2.1.** Dental measurements for specimens of *Alphadon halleyi*. L, length; W, width; MW, mesial width; DW, distal width; W-Tri, trigonid width; W-Tal, talonid width; OR, observed range; AVG, average; SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation. All measurements in mm. The asterisk denotes specimens where CT scans were difficult to segment—we consider these estimated dental measurements. These measurements were still included in summary statistics. The degree symbol denotes specimens for which measurements from left and right sides were averaged for summary statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MOR 10911**</th>
<th>MOR 1012a°</th>
<th>MOR 10912b</th>
<th>MOR 10912c**</th>
<th>MOR 10912d</th>
<th>MOR 10913a</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>AVG</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOR 10911*</td>
<td>MOR 1012a*</td>
<td>MOR 10912b</td>
<td>MOR 10912c*</td>
<td>MOR 10912d</td>
<td>MOR 10913a</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m1</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W-Tri</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W-Tal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W-Tri</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W-Tal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m3</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W-Tri</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOR 10911*</td>
<td>MOR 10912a</td>
<td>MOR 10912b</td>
<td>MOR 10912c*</td>
<td>MOR 10912d</td>
<td>MOR 10913a</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W-Tal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.19–1.31</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m4</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.19–2.24</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W-Tri</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.40–1.44</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W-Tal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00–1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.11 APPENDICES

**Appendix 1: Scanner information and settings for all specimens**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Scanner</th>
<th>Voltage (kV)</th>
<th>Current (uA)</th>
<th>Image pixel size (um)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOR 10911</td>
<td>Skyscan 1076</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>34.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR 10912a</td>
<td>North Star Imaging X5000</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>19.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR 10912b</td>
<td>North Star Imaging X5000</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR 10912c</td>
<td>North Star Imaging X5000</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR 10912d</td>
<td>North Star Imaging X5000</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR 10913a</td>
<td>North Star Imaging X5000</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2: Phylogenetic character list and scores for *Alphadon*

The character list and descriptions combination from Wilson et al. (2016) is listed below; characters 1–156 are from Rougier et al. (2015), characters 157–162 are from Horovitz et al. (2009), and characters 163–164 are from Wilson et al. (2016). Scores for *Alphadon* are listed below each character description; new and revised scores are underlined. We treated eleven characters as ordered (characters 1, 4, 7, 12, 14, 35, 36, 50, 51, 52, and 116); all others were unordered.

General Dentition (7 characters)

1. Number of premolars – five (0), four (1), three (2), or less than three (3). Ordered.

   *Alphadon* = 2

2. Premolar cusp form – sharp, uninflated (0) or inflated, with apical wear strongly developed (1).

   *Alphadon* = 0

3. Tall, trenchant premolar – in last premolar position (0), in penultimate premolar position (1), or absent (2). [Upper dentition considered when possible]

   *Alphadon* = 0

4. Number of molars – more than four (0), four (1), or three (2). Ordered.

   *Alphadon* = 1

5. Molar cusp form – sharp, gracile (0) or inflated, robust (1).

   *Alphadon* = 0
6. Size of molars increasing posteriorly – absent (0), moderate posterior increase (1), or marked posterior increase (2). [All molars considered in lower jaw, and all but the last considered in upper jaw]

\textit{Alphadon} = 1

7. Number of postcanine tooth families – eight or more (0), seven (1), or less than seven (2). Ordered.

\textit{Alphadon} = 1

Upper Dentition (34 characters)

8. Number of upper incisors – five (0) or less than five (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

9. First upper incisor – enlarged, anteriorly projecting, separated from I2 by small diastema (0), subequal or smaller than remaining incisors, without diastema (1), or lost (2).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

10. Number of roots on upper canine – two (0) or one (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 1

11. First upper premolar – erect, without diastema (0), erect, with a short diastema (1), or procumbent, separated by diastema (2).

\textit{Alphadon} = 1

12. Penultimate upper premolar protocone – absent (0), small lingual bulge (1), or with an enlarged basin (2). Ordered.

\textit{Alphadon} = 0

13. Number of roots on penultimate upper premolar – two (0) or three (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 0
14. Last upper premolar – simple (0), complex, with small protocone (1), or molariform (2). Ordered.

*Alphadon* = 0

15. Upper molar shape – as long as wide, or longer (0) or wider than long (1).

*Alphadon* = 1

16. Upper molar outline in occlusal view – does (0) or does not (1) approach isosceles triangle.

*Alphadon* = 1

17. Stylar shelf – uniform in width, 50% or more of total transverse width (0), uniform in width, but less than 50% of total transverse width (1), slightly reduced labial to paracone (2), strongly reduced labial to paracone (3), or strongly reduced or absent (4). [penultimate molar considered when present]

*Alphadon* = 1

18. Metastylar area on penultimate upper molar – large (0) or reduced (1).

*Alphadon* = 0

19. Deep ectoflexus – present only on penultimate molar (0), on penultimate and preceding molar (1), or strongly reduced or absent (2).

*Alphadon* = 1

20. Stylar cusp A – distinct, but smaller than B (0), subequal to larger than B (1), or very small to indistinct (2). [penultimate molar considered when available]

*Alphadon* = 0

21. Preparastyle – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = 0
22. Stylar cusp B size relative to paracone – smaller but distinct (0), vestigial to absent (1), or subequal (2).

*Alphadon* = 0

23. Stylar cusp C – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = 1

24. Stylar cusp D – absent (0), smaller or subequal to B (1), or larger than B (2).

*Alphadon* = 1

25. Stylar cusp E – directly lingual to D or D position (0), distal to D (1), or small to indistinct (2).

*Alphadon* = 2

26. Preparacingulum – absent (0), interrupted between stylar margin and paraconule (1), or continuous (2). [Penultimate molar considered when available]

*Alphadon* = 2

27. Metacone size relative to paracone – noticeably smaller (0), slightly smaller (1), or subequal to larger (2).

*Alphadon* = 2

28. Metacone position relative to paracone – labial (0), approximately at same level (1), or lingual (2).

*Alphadon* = 1

29. Metacone and paracone shape – conical (0) or subtriangular, with labial face flat (1).

*Alphadon* = 1

30. Metacone and paracone bases – adjoined (0) or separated (1).

*Alphadon* = 1
31. Centrocrista – straight (0) or V-shaped (1).

*Alphadon = 0*

32. Salient postmetacrista – weakly developed (0) or strongly developed, with paraconid enlarged and metaconid reduced on lower molars (1).

*Alphadon = 0*

33. Preprotocrista – does not (0) or does (1) extend labially past base of paracone (double rank prevallum/postvallid shearing).

*Alphadon = 1*

34. Postprotocrista – does not (0) or does (1) extend labially past base of metacone (double rank prevallum/postvallid shearing).

*Alphadon = 1*

35. Conules – absent (0), small, without cristae (1), or strong, labially placed, with wing-like cristae (2). Ordered.

*Alphadon = 2*

36. Protocone on upper molars – lacking (0), small, without trigon basin (1), small, with distinct trigon basin (2), somewhat expanded anteroposteriorly (3), or with posterior portion expanded (4). Ordered.

*Alphadon = 3*

37. Procumbent protocone – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = 1*

38. Protocone height – low (0) or tall, approaching para- and/ or metacone height (1).

*Alphadon = 1*

39. Protocingula – absent (0) or pre- and/or postcingulum present (1).
Alphadon = 0

40. Lingual root position – supporting paracone (0) or supporting trigon (1).

Alphadon = 1

41. Last upper molar width relative to penultimate upper molar – subequal (0) or smaller (1).

Alphadon = 0

42. Number of lower incisors – four (0) or less than four (1).

Alphadon = 0

43. Staggered lower incisor – absent (0) or present (1).

Alphadon = 0

44. Roots on lower canine – biradiculated (0) or uniradiculated (1).

Alphadon = 1

45. First lower premolar – oriented in line with jaw axis (0) or oblique (1).

Alphadon = 0

46. Second lower premolar – smaller than third premolar (0) or larger (1).

Alphadon = 0

47. Last lower premolar – simple (0), complex, with a partial trigonid and/or talonid (1), or molariform (2). Ordered.

Alphadon = 0

48. Trigonid configuration – open, with paraconid anteromedial (0), more acute, with paraconid more posteriorly placed (1), or anteroposteriorly compressed (2).

Alphadon = 1

49. Lower molar talonid – small heel (0) or multicuspidated basin (1).
**Alphadon = 1**

50. Talonid width relative to trigonid – very narrow, subequal to base of metaconid, developed lingually (0), narrower (1), or subequal to wider (2). Ordered.

**Alphadon = 2**

51. Lower molar cristid obliqua – incomplete, with distal metacristid present (0), complete, attaching below notch in metacristid (1), or complete, labially placed, at base of protoconid (2). Ordered.

**Alphadon = 1**

52. Hypoconulid – absent (0), in posteromedial position (1), or lingually placed and ‘‘twinned’’ with entoconid (2). Ordered.

**Alphadon = 2**

53. Hypoconulid of last molar – short and erect (0) or tall and sharply recurved (1).

**Alphadon = 0**

54. Entoconid – absent (0), smaller than (1), or subequal to larger than hypoconid and/or hypoconulid (2).

**Alphadon = 2**

55. Labial postcingulid – absent (0) or present (1).

**Alphadon = 1**

56. Paraconid and metaconid – metaconid at extreme lingual margin (0) or aligned (1).

**Alphadon = 1**

57. Metacristid orientation to lower jaw axis – oblique (0) or transverse (1).

**Alphadon = 1**

58. First lower molar paraconid, low and confluent with precingulid – absent (0) or present (1).
Alphadon = 0

59. Protoconid height – tallest cusp on trigonid (0) or subequal to para- and/or metaconid (1).

Alphadon = 0

60. Paraconid height relative to metaconid – taller (0), subequal (1), or shorter (2). [molars other than the first considered when available]

Alphadon = 2

61. Last lower molar size relative to penultimate lower molar – subequal (0) or smaller or lost (1).

Alphadon = 0

62. Rotation of last lower molar during eruption – absent (0) or present (1).

Alphadon = 1

63. Space between last lower molar and coronoid process – present (0) or absent (1).

Alphadon = 0

Tooth Replacement (3 characters)

64. Deciduous incisors – present (0) or absent (1).

Alphadon = 1

65. Deciduous canine – present (0) or absent (1).

Alphadon = 1

66. Replacement of dP1/dp1 and dP2/dp2 – present (0) or absent (1).

Alphadon = 1

Lower jaw (11 characters)

67. Masseteric fossa – restricted dorsally by crest reaching condyle (0) or extended ventrally to lower margin of dentary (1).
Alphadon = 1

68. Posterior shelf of masseteric fossa – absent (0) or present (1).

Alphadon = 1

69. Convex ventral margin behind tooth row continuous to condyle – absent (0) or present (1).

Alphadon = 0

70. Labial mandibular foramen – present (0) or absent (1).

Alphadon = 1

71. Condyle shape – ovoid (0) or cylindrical (1).

Alphadon = 1

72. Condyle position relative to tooth row – above (0) or very high (1)

Alphadon = 0

73. Lower jaw angle – posteriorly directed (0), medially inflected (1), or posteroven trally directed (2).

Alphadon = 1

74. Mandibular foramen – below (0) or posterior to anterior edge of coronoid process (1).

Alphadon = 1

75. Meckelian groove – present (0) or absent (1).

Alphadon = 1

76. ‘Coronoid’ facet – present (0) or absent (1).

Alphadon = 1

77. Two large mental foramen, one under second and third premolar and the other under first and second molar – absent (0) or present (1).

Alphadon = 1
Cranium (79 characters)

78. Septomaxilla – present (0) or absent (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

79. Premaxilla, palatal process – does not (0) or does reach nearly or to canine alveolus (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

80. Premaxilla, facial process – does not (0) or does reach the nasal (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 1

81. Lateral margin of paracanine fossa – formed by maxilla (0) or maxilla and premaxilla (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 0

82. Exit(s) of infraorbital canal – multiple (0) or single (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 1

83. Flaring of cheeks behind infraorbital foramen, as seen in ventral view – present (0) or absent (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 1

84. Naso-frontal suture with medial process of frontals wedged between nasals – present (0) or absent (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 0

85. Nasal foramina – present (0) or absent (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 1

86. Frontal-maxillary contact – absent (0) or present (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 1

87. Lacrimal tubercle – present (0) or absent (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = 1
88. Lacrimal foramen exposed on face – present (0) or absent (1).

*Alphadon = 0*

89. Lacrimal foramen number – double (0) or single (1).

*Alphadon = 0&1*

90. Preorbital length relative to postorbital length – two-thirds or more (0) or less than two-thirds (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

91. Maxillary-jugal contact bifurcated – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = 0*

92. Zygomatic arch – stout (0) or delicate (1).

*Alphadon = 0*

93. Palatal vacuities – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = 1*

94. Palatal expansion behind last molar – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

95. Postpalatine torus – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

96. Palate and basicranium at same level, connected by broad choanal ridges – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

97. Minor palatine (postpalatine) foramen – small (0) or large, with thin, posterior bony bridge (1).

*Alphadon = ?*
98. Palatine reaches infraorbital canal – present (0) or absent (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

99. Pterygoids contact on midline – present (0) or absent (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

100. Pterygopalatine crests – present (0) or absent (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

101. Ectopterygoid process of alisphenoid – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

102. Optic foramen – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

103. Orbitotemporal canal – present (0) or absent (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

104. Transverse canal – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

105. Carotid foramen – within basisphenoid (0) or between basisphenoid and petrosal (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

106. Dorsum sellae – tall (0) or low (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

107. Alisphenoid canal – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon = ?*

108. Anterior lamina exposure on lateral braincase wall – present (0), rudimentary (1), or absent (2).

*Alphadon = ?*
109. Cavum epipterum – floored by petrosal (0), petrosal and alisphenoid (1), primarily or exclusively by alisphenoid (2), or primarily open as piriform fenestra (3).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

110. Exit for maxillary nerve relative to alisphenoid – behind (0) or within or in front (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

111. Foramen ovale composition – in petrosal (anterior lamina) (0), between petrosal and alisphenoid (1), in alisphenoid or between alisphenoid and squamosal (2).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

112. Foramen ovale – on lateral wall of braincase (0) or on ventral surface of skull (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

113. Squama of squamosal – absent (0) or present (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

114. Position of jaw articulation relative to fenestra vestibuli – at same level (0) or in front (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

115. Glenoid fossa shape – concave, open anteriorly (0) or trough-like (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

116. Glenoid process of jugal – present, with articular facet (0), present, without facet (1), or absent (2). Ordered.

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

117. Glenoid process of alisphenoid – absent (0) or present (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?

118. Postglenoid process – absent (0) or present (1).

\textit{Alphadon} = ?
119. Postglenoid-suprameatal vascular system – absent (0), present, below squamosal crest (1), or present, above squamosal crest (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

120. Postglenoid foramen – absent (0), present, behind postglenoid process (1), or present, medial to postglenoid process (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

121. Alisphenoid tympanic process – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

122. Epitympanic wing medial to promontorium – absent (0), flat (1), undulated (2), or confluent with bulla (3).

*Alphadon* = ?

123. Tympanic aperture of hiatus Fallopii – in roof through petrosal (0), at anterior edge of petrosal (1), or absent (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

124. Prootic canal – long and vertical (0), short and vertical (1), short and horizontal (2), or absent (3).

*Alphadon* = ?

125. Position of sulcus for anterior distributary of transverse sinus relative to subarcuate fossa – anterolateral (0) or posterolateral (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

126. Lateral flange – parallels length of promontorium (0), restricted to posterolateral corner (1), or greatly reduced or absent (2).

*Alphadon* = ?
127. Stapedial ratio – rounded, less than 1.8 (0) or elliptical, more than 1.8 (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

128. Complete wall separating cavum supracocheleare from cavum epiptericum – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

129. Coiling of cochlea – less than 360° (0) or 360° or greater (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

130. Rostral tympanic process of petrosal, on posteromedial aspect of promontorium – absent or low ridge (0), tall ridge, occasionally contacting ectotympanic (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

131. Paroccipital process (sensu Wible and Hopson, 1993) orientation and shape – vertical (0), slanted, projecting anteroventrally as flange towards back of promontorium (1), or indistinct to absent (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

132. Caudal tympanic process of petrosal development – tall wall behind postpromontorial recess (0), tall wall decreasing in height markedly medially (1), or notched between stylomastoid notch and jugular foramen (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

133. Crista interfenestralis and caudal tympanic process of the petrosal connected by curved ridge – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

134. “Tympanic process” – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = ?
135. Tall paracondylar ("paroccipital") process of exoccipital (sensu Evans and Christensen, 1979) – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

136. Rear margin of auditory region – marked by a steep wall (0) or extended onto a flat surface (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

137. Fossa incudis – continuous with (0) or separated from (1) epitympanic recess.

*Alphadon* = ?

138. Epitympanic recess – with small contribution to posterolateral wall by squamosal (0) or with extensive contribution to lateral wall by squamosal (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

139. Stapedius fossa – twice the size of fenestra vestibuli (0) or small and shallow (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

140. Hypotympanic sinus – absent (0), formed by squamosal, petrosal, and alisphenoid (1), or formed by alisphenoid and petrosal (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

141. Medial process of squamosal in tympanic cavity – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

142. Ectotympanic – ring-like (0), fusiform (1), or expanded (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

143. Foramina for temporal rami – on petrosal (0), on parietal and/or squama of squamosal (1), or absent (2).

*Alphadon* = ?
144. Posttemporal canal – large (0), small (1), or absent (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

145. Foramen for ramus superior of stapedial artery – on petrosal (0), on petrosal-squamosal suture (1), or absent (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

146. Transpromontorial sulcus – present (0) or absent (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

147. Sulcus for stapedial artery – present (0) or absent (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

148. Deep groove for internal carotid artery excavated on anterior pole of promontorium – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

149. Jugular foramen size relative to fenestra cochleae – subequal (0) or larger (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

150. Jugular foramen – confluent with (0) or separated from (1) opening for inferior petrosal sinus.

*Alphadon* = ?

151. Inferior petrosal sinus – intrapetrosal (0), between petrosal, basisphenoid, and basioccipital (1), or endocranial (2).

*Alphadon* = ?

152. Ascending canal – intramural (0), intracranial (1), or absent (2).

*Alphadon* = ?
153. Internal acoustic meatus – deep, with thick prefacial commissure (0) or shallow, with thin prefacial commissure (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

154. Mastoid-squamosal fusion – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

155. Interparietal – absent (0) or present (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

156. Dorsal margin of foramen magnum – formed by exoccipitals (0) or by exoccipitals and supraoccipital (1).

*Alphadon* = ?

From Horovitz et al. 2009 (6 characters)

157. Upper incisor arcade shape* – U-shape (0), broad V-shape (1), or long, narrow V-shape (2).

(Ch. 158 of Horovitz et al. 2009 but unordered)

*Alphadon* = ?

158. Posterior-most point of premaxillo-nasal contact – anterior or at the canine (0) or posterior to the canine (1). (Ch. 178 of Horovitz et al. 2009)

*Alphadon* = 0

159. Fossa subarcuata – smaller than its aperture (i.e., conical shape) (0) or larger than its aperture (i.e., spherical shape) (1). (Ch. 221 of Horovitz et al. 2009)

*Alphadon* = ?

160. Deep and large fossa for the tensor tympani muscle excavated on the anterolateral aspect of promontorium, creating a battered ventral surface of the promontorium – absent (0) or present (1). (Ch. 229 of Horovitz et al. 2009)
**Alphadon** = ?

161. Broad shelf of bone surrounding fenestra cochleae and making a separation between it and aqueductus cochleae – absent (0) or present (1). (Ch. 233 of Horovitz et al. 2009)

**Alphadon** = ?

162. Mastoid exposure – large (0), narrow (1), or reduced pars mastoidea, internal to the braincase and wedged between the squamosal and exoccipital (2). (Ch. 239 of Horovitz et al. 2009)

**Alphadon** = ?

Characters from Wilson et al. 2016 (2 characters)

163. Internarial process of the premaxilla – absent (0) or present (1).

**Alphadon** = ?

164. Anterior-most extent of the nasal – anterior to or at the canine (0) or posterior or to the canine (1).

**Alphadon = 0**
Appendix 3: Phylogenetic character scores for *Alphadon halleyi* specimens included in this study

The character scores for each of the *Alphadon halleyi* specimens described in this study are listed below. The “MOR Composite” scores were used for the phylogenetic analysis—it is the updated scores from this study in combination with the scores for *Alphadon* from Wilson et al. (2016).

Polymorphic entry is coded as: A = [0&1].

MOR 10911

MOR 10912a

MOR 10912b
2001011?0?1?1110100011222111001123110100001000112120211100201011101101111?101101110110111

MOR Composite
2001011?11001110100011222111001123110100010001121202111002010111101101111?1
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Appendix 4: Phylogenetic NEXUS input

Below is the nexus file format exported from Mesquite that was used for the phylogenetic analysis.

#NEXUS

[written Tue Jul 27 12:48:13 PDT 2021 by Mesquite version 3.10 (build 765) at Alexandrias-MacBook-Pro.local/127.0.0.1]

BEGIN TAXA;
    DIMENSIONS NTAX=54;
    TAXLABELS
        Amphitherium Peramus Vincelestes Kielantherium Potamotelses
        Kermackia Holoclemensia Pappotherium Deltatheridium Deltatheroides
        Tsagandelta Oklatheridium Sulestes Atokatheridium Nanocuris Gurlin Pariadens
        Kokopellia Anchistodelphys Iugomortiferum Aenigmadelphys Didelphodon
        Eodelphis Pediomys Albertotherium Alphadon Turgidodon Glasbius Asiatherium
        Mayulestes Borhyaenids Pucadelphys Andinodelphys Jaskhadelphys Marmosa
        Didelphis Dasyurids Dromiciops Prokennalestes Bobolestes Asioryctes
        Kennalestes Zalambdalestes Aspanlestes Leptictids Fumodelphodon Hoodootherium
        Aquiladelphus Scalaridelphys Dakotadens Protolambda Leptalestes
        Herpetotherium 'Mimo_Peradectes'
    ;

END;

BEGIN CHARACTERS;
DIMENSIONS NCHAR=164;

FORMAT DATATYPE = STANDARD GAP = - MISSING = ? SYMBOLS = " 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9";

CHARSTATELABELS
  1 Number_of_premolars / five four three less_than_three, 2
  Premolar_cusp_form / uninflated
  inflated_with_apical_wear_strongly_developed, 3 'Tall, trenchant premolar' /
  last_premolar_position penultimate_premolar_position absent, 4
  Number_of_molars / more_than_four four three, 5 Molar_cusp_form / 'sharp,
  gracile' inflated_robust, 6 Size_of_molars_increasing_posteriorly / absent
  moderate_posterior_increase marked_posterior_increase, 7
  Number_of_postcanine_tooth_families / eight_or_more seven less_than_seven, 8
  Number_of_upper_incisors / five less_than_five, 9 First_upper_incisor /
  'enlarged, anteriorly projecting, separated from I2 by small diastema'
  subequal_of_smaller_than_remaining_incisors_without_diastema lost, 10
  Number_of_roots_on_upper_canine / two one, 11 First_upper_premolar /
  erect_without_diastema erect_with_short_diastema 'procumbent, separated by
  diastema', 12 Penultimate_upper_premolar_protocone / absent
  small_lingual_bulge enlarged_basin, 13
  Number_of_roots_on_penultimate_upper_premolar / two three, 14
  Last_upper_premolar / simple 'complex, with small protocone' molariform, 15
  Upper_molar_shape / 'as long as wide, or longer' wider_than_long, 16
  Upper_molar_outline_in_occlusal_view / approaches_isosceles_triangle
  does_not_approach_isosceles_triangle, 17 Stylar_shelf / 'uniform in width,
  50% or more of total transverse width' 'uniform in width, but less than 50% of
  total transverse width' slightly_reduced_labial_to_paracne
  strongly_reduced_labial_to_paracne strongly_reduced_or_absent, 18
  Metastylar_area_on_penultimate_upper_molar / large reduced, 19
Deep ectoflexus / present only on penultimate molar on penultimate and preceding molar strongly reduced or absent, 20
Stylar cusp A / distinct but smaller than B subequal to larger than B very small to indistinct, 21 Preparastyle / absent present, 22 Stylar cusp B / smaller but distinct vetigial to absent subequal, 23 Stylar cusp C / absent present, 24 Stylar cusp D / absent smaller of subequal to B larger than B, 25 Stylar cusp E / directly lingual to D or D position distal to D small to indistinct, 26 Preparacingulum / absent interrupted between stylar margin and paraconule continuous, 27
Metacone size relative to paracone / noticeably smaller slightly smaller subequal to larger, 28 Metacone position relative to paracone / labial approximately at same level lingual, 29 Metacone and paracone shape / conical subtriangular with labial face flat, 30 Metacone and paracone bases / adjoined separated, 31 Centrocrista / straight 'V-shaped', 32
Salient postmetacrista / weakly developed strongly developed with paracnoid enlarged and metaconid reduced on lower molars, 33 Preprotocrista / does not extend labially past base of paracone does extend labially past base of paracone, 34 Postprotocrista / does not extend labially past base of metacone does extend labially past base of metacone, 35 Conules / absent small without cristae 'strong, labially placed, with wing-like cristae', 36
Protocone on upper molars / lacking small without trigon basin small with distinct trigon basin somewhat expanded anteroposteriorly with posterior portion expanded, 37 Procumbent protocone / absent present, 38 Protocone height / low 'tall, approaching para- and/or metacone height', 39 Protocingula / absent 'pre- and/or postcingulum present', 40 Lingual root position / supporting paracone supporting trigon, 41
Last upper molar width relative to penultimate upper molar / subequal
smaller, 42 Number_of_lower_incisors / four less_than_four, 43
Staggered_lower_incisor / absent present, 44 Roots_on_lower_canine /
biradicated uniradicated, 45 First_lower_premolar /
oriented_in_line_with_jaw_axis oriented_oblique_with_jaw_axis, 46
Second_lower_premolar / smaller_than_third_premolar
larger_than_third_premolar, 47 Last_lower_premolar / simple 'complex with a
partial trigonid and/or talonid' molariform, 48 Trigonid_configuration /
'open, with paraconid anteromedial'
more_acute_with_paraconid_more_posteriorly_placed
anteroposteriorly_compressed, 49 Lower_molar_talonid / small heel
multicuspidated_basin, 50 Talonid_width_relative_to_trigonid / 'very narrow,
subequal to base of metaconid, developed lingually' narrower
subequal_to_wider, 51 Lower_molar_cristid_obliqua /
incomplete_with_distal_metacristid_present
complete_attaching_below_notch_in_metacristid 'complete, labially placed at
base of protoconid', 52 Hypoconulid / absent posteromedial_position
lingually_placed_and_twinned_with_entoconid, 53 Hypoconulid_of_last_molar /
short_and_erect tall_and_sharply_recurved, 54 Entoconid / absent 'smaller
than hyponid and/or hypoconulid' 'subequal to larger than hypoconid and/or
hypoconulid', 55 Labial_postcingulid / absent present, 56
Paraconid_and_metaconid / metacoind_at_extreme_lingual_margin aligned, 57
Metacristid_orientation_to_lower_jaw_axis / oblique transverse, 58 'First
lower molar paraconid, low and confluent with precingulid' / absent present,
59 Protoconid_height / tallest_cusp_on_trigonid 'subequal to para- and/or
metaconid', 60 Paraconid_height_relative_to_metaconid / taller subequal
shorter, 61 Last_lower_premolar_size_relative_to_penultimate_lower_molar /
subequal smaller_or_lost, 62 Rotation_of_last_lower_molar_during_eruption /
absent present, 63 Space_between_last_lower_molar_and_coronoid_process /
present absent, 64 Deciduous incisors / present absent, 65 Deciduous canines / present absent, 66 'Deplacement of dP1/dp1 and dP2/dp2' / present absent, 67 Masseteric fossa / restricted dorsally by crest reaching condyle extended ventrally to lower margin of dentary, 68 Posterior shelf of masseteric fossa / absent present, 69 Convex ventral margin behind tooth row continuous to condyle / absent present, 70 Labial mandibular foramen / present absent, 71 Condyle shape / ovoid cylindrical, 72 Condyle position relative to tooth row / above very high, 73 Lower jaw angle / posteriorly directed medially inflected posterovertrally directed, 74 Mandibular foramen / below posterior to anterior edge of coronoid process, 75 Meckelian groove / present absent, 76 Coronoid facet / present absent, 77 'Two large mental foramen, one under second and third premolar and the other under the first and second molar' / absent present, 78 Septomaxilla / present absent, 79 'Premaxilla, palatal process' / does not reach canine alveolus does reach nearly or to canine alveolus, 80 Premaxilla facial process / does not reach nasal does reach nasal, 81 Lateral margin of paracanine fossa / formed by maxilla formed by maxilla and premaxilla, 82 'Exit(s) of infraorbital canal' / multiple single, 83 'Flaring of cheeks behind infraorbital foramen, as seen in ventral view' / present absent, 84 'Nasofrontal suture with medial process of frontals wedged between nasals' / present absent, 85 Nasal foramina / present absent, 86 'Frontal-maxillar contact' / absent present, 87 Lacrimal tubercle / present absent, 88 Lacrimal foramen exposed on face / present absent, 89 Lacrimal foramen number / double single, 90 Preorbital length relative to postorbital length / '2/3 or more' 'less than 2/3', 91 'Maxillary-jugal contact bifurcated' / absent present, 92 Zygomatic arch / stout delicate, 93 Palatal vacuities / absent present, 94
Palatal expansion behind last molar / absent present, 95 Postpalatine torus / absent present, 96 'Palate and basicranium at same level, connected by broad choanal ridges' / absent present, 97 'Minor palatine (postpalatine) foramen' / small large_with_thin_posterior_bony_bridge, 98 Palatine_reaches_infraorbital_canal / present absent, 99 Pterygoids_contact_on_midline / present absent, 100 Pterygopalatine_crests / present absent, 101 Ectopterygoid_process_of_alisphenoid / absent present, 102 Optic_foramen / absent present, 103 Orbitotemporal_canal / present absent, 104 Transverse_canal / absent present, 105 Carotid_foramen / within_basisphenoid between_basisphenoid_and_petrosal, 106 Dorsum_sellae / tall low, 107 Alisphenoid_canal / absent present, 108 Anterior_lamina_exposure_on_lateral_braincase_wall / present rudimentary absent, 109 Cavum_epiptericum / floored_by_petrosal floored_by_petrosal_and_alisphenoid primarily_or_exclusively_floored_by_alisphenoid primarily_open_as_piriform_fenestra, 110 Exit_for_maxillary_nerve_relative_to_alisphenoid / behind within_or_in_front, 111 Foramen_oval_composition / 'in pterosal (anterior lamina)' between_petrosal_and_alisphenoid in_alisphenoid_or_between_alisphenoig_and_squamosal, 112 Foramen_oval / on_lateral_wall_of_braincase on_ventral_surface_of_skull, 113 Squama_of_squamosal / absent present, 114 Position_of_jaw_articulation_relative_to_fenestra_vestibuli / at_same_level in_front, 115 Glenoid_fossa_shape / 'concave, open anteriorly' 'trough-like', 116 Glenoid_proces_of_jugal / 'present, with articular facet' present_without_facet absent, 117 Glenoid_process_of_alisphenoid / absent present, 118 Postglenoid_process / absent present, 119 'Postglenoid-suprameatal vascular system' / absent present_below_squamosal_crest
present_above_squamosal_crest, 120 Postglenoid_foramen / absent
present_behind_postglenoid_process present_medial_to_postglenoid_process, 121
Alisphenoid_tympanic_process / absent present, 122
Epitympanic_wing_medial_to_promontorium / absent flat undulated
confluent_with_bulla, 123 Tympanic_aperture_of_hiatus_Fallopii / in_roof_through_petrosal at_anterior_edge_of_petrosal absent, 124
Prootic_canal / long_and_vertical short_and_vertical short_and_horizontal
absent, 125
greatly_reduced_or_absent, 127 Stapedial_ratio / 'rounded, less than 1.8' 'elliptical, more than 1.8', 128
Complete_wall_separating_cavum_supracochleare_from_cavum_eptipericum / absent present, 129 Coiling_of_cochlea / less_than_360∫ 360∫ or_greater, 130
'Rostral tympanic process of petrosal, on posteromedial aspect of promontorium' / absent_or_low_ridge 'tall ridge, occasionally contacting ectotympanic', 131 'Paroccipital process (sensu Wible and Hopson, 1993) orientation and shape' / vertical 'slanted, projecting anteroventrally as flange towards back of promontorium' indistinct_toAbsent, 132
Caudal_tympanic_process_of_petrosal_development / tall_wall_behind_postpromontorial_recess
tall_wall_decreasing_in_height_markedly_medially
notched_betweenstylomastoid_notch_and_jugular_foramen, 133
Crista_interfenestralis_and_caudal_tympanic_process_of_the_petrosal_connected_by_curved_ridge / absent present, 134 Tympanic_process / absent present, 135 'Tall paracondylar (paroccipital) process of exoccipital (sensu Evans and Christensen, 1979)' / absent present, 136 Rear_margin_of_auditory_region /
marked by a steep wall extended onto a flat surface, 137 Fossa incudis / continuous with epitympanic recess separated from epitympanic recess, 138 Epitympanic recess / with small contribution to posterolateral wall by squamosal
with extensive contribution to lateral wall by squamosal, 139 Stapedius fossa / twice the size of fenestra vestibuli small and shallow, 140 Hypotympanic sinus / absent 'formed by squamosal, petrosal, and alisphenoid' formed by alisphenoid and petrosal, 141 Medial process of suqmosal_in tympanic cavity / absent present, 142 Ectotympanic / 'ring-like' fusiform expanded, 143 Foramina for temporal rami / on petrosal 'on parietal and/or squama of squamosal' absent, 144 Posttemporal canal / large small absent, 145 Foramen for ramus superior of stapedial artery / on petrosal 'on petrosal-squamosal suture' absent, 146 Transpromontorial sulcus / present absent, 147 Sulcus for stapedial artery / present absent, 148 Deep groove for internal carotid artery excavated on anterior pole of promont orium / absent present, 149 Jugular foramen size relative to fenestra cochleae / subequal larger, 150 Jugular foramen / confluent with opening for inferior petrosal sinus separated from opening for inferior petrosal sinus, 151 Inferior petrosal sinus / intrapetrosal 'between petrosal, basisphenoid, and basioccipital' endocranial, 152 Ascending canal / intramural intracranial absent, 153 Internal acoustic meatus / deep with thick prefacial commissure shallow with thin prefacial commissure, 154 'Mastoid-squamosal fusion' / absent present, 155 Interparietal / absent present, 156 Dorsal margin of foramen magnum / formed by exoccipitals formed by exoccipitals and supraoccipital, 157 Upper incisor arcade shape / 'U-shape' 'broad V-shape' 'long, narrow V-shape', 158 'Posterior-most point
of premaxillo-nasal contact' / anterior_or_at_the_canine posterior_to_the_canine, 159 Fossa_subarcuata / 'smaller than its aperture (i.e., conical shape)'; 'larger than its aperture (i.e., spherical shape)', 160 'Deep and large fossa for the tensor tympani muscle excavated on the anterolateral aspect of promontorium, creating a battered ventral surface of the promontorium' / absent present, 161 Broad_shelf_of_bone_surrounding_fenestra_coehleae_and_making_a_separation_between_it_and_aqueductus_coehleae_ / absent present, 162 Mastoid_exposure_ / large narrow 'reduced pars mastoidea, internal to the braincase and wedged between the squamosal and exoccipital ', 163 Internarial_process_of_the_premaxilla / absent present, 164 'Anterior-most extent of the nasal' / anterior_to_or_at_the_canine posterior_or_to_the_canine ;
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????????
Vincelestes
3002102100000000002200010021000000100011101000000100000010?1?1?001000001100
000000001010000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Kielanterherium
Potamotelses

Kermackia

Holoclemensia

Pappotherium

Deltatheridium

Deltatheroides

Tsagandelta
Oklatheridium

Sulestes

Atokatheridium

Nanocuris

Gurlin

Pariadens
Albertatherium

Alphadon

Turgidodon

Glasbius

Asiatherium

Mayulestes

Borhyaenids
Pucadelphys
20010110012000111001021122211101024110100110011222121010120?0??1101101111
10101001100010011010?100100?0211111111011110112120110000000010?22211111210
?100111000

Andinodelphys
200101100120001110002112221110102311010011?001122211110120?0???110??01??1?
101010111????1000110??1100110??2(12)11111011(12)10112?201100(01)00?001?01??22
1111??210??00011000

Jaskhadelphys
?????01?????????110100211222111111031101????????????????????????????????????????????????
?????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????

Marmosa
200101100120001120020211122211101003110100110101122202110020101111111111110
111011111001001101110011010221111110111111121201112001001200212110011210
1110????00

Didelphis
20010110012000112002021112211101003110100110101122202110020101111111111110
11101111110110011011110011010221111110111111121201112001001200212110011210
1010100100

Dasyurids
20010111110000112012021022220
1001004110110010001121202011102101111111111110
111(01)101111101100111111001100102211111101111111212011120010012002122110011
2101101????00

Dromiciops
2021011011000011201202222021001004110110011001122212110111201112011012022222110011
2101101????00

Dromiciops
2021011011000011201202222021001004110110011001122212110111201112011012022222110011
2101101????00
Prokennalestes
0012000???01102111000100112010000111300100000010110110010020?0???0000?021001
??011?????????0?0????????0?????11????1????????101010010(12)200?00100??(01)10000
0?0010????????

Bobolestes
0012000????????111101110022010001123001001011111111110010020?0???001?021001
??????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????

Asioryctes
1012001010021211400101002201000011230101000000211111110010020?0???0010021111
10101101010101100101001100??023120110101120103?211102211010100012?100001(01
)10012?????00

Kennalestes
1012001?1002121140011100220100001123011110101000002111111100100200??0001?021111
)100??????00

Zalambdalestes
1012001120021211402201002111010001230101110100221221010010120?0???000(01)0101
1111010100100100100(01)0101111000?023120110(12)01(12)2011302111022110101001
111100001(01)10012?????00

Aspanolestes
0012000?01102114000110021110100112311110??11212110100101200?0???00021111
?0??1????????0????????????????????????????????????????????????10201011????00????0?10110??100?
??????????

Leptictids
10120011210212114022010021110100112401110101012212111101012000001001121110
1010111110101001011111012212011201101130211102200010101001200111110
112?????00
Fumodelphodon
21211?1??????1??????????????????????????????????????????????0021222?2111010????????????????
????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????

Hoodootherium
21201?1??????0??????0????2??0?0?17?131001?0???0021222?2111?10????????????????
????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????

Aquiladelphis
21711?1??????112020001122120100112411110????0112220211??01????????????????
????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????

Scalaridelphys 21711?1??????1120200011222201001(0
1)241101??????011222?2111001????????????????????????????????????????????????????

Dakotadens
????1?????????111020001122220100121101??????11222?200??01????????????????
????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????

Protolambda
21711?1?????0?011302101022220100112411010????0212220211?0010????????????????

Leptalestes
20?10?1?????0?011302101022222000112411010????0212220211?0020????????????????

??????????
BEGIN ASSUMPTIONS;


END;
Appendix 5: All digital models are available via MorphoSource.org.

Digital models include:

1. Digital model of MOR 10911 cranium and dentary (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

2. Digital model of only the cranium of MOR 10911 (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

3. Digital model of only the dentary and associated lower dentition of MOR 10911 (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

4. Digital model of MOR 10912a (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

5. Digital model of the right upper post-canine dentition of MOR 10912a (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

6. Digital model of the left upper post-canine dentition of MOR 10912a (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

7. Digital model of MOR 10912b (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

8. Digital model of MOR 10912c (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

9. Digital model of the right upper post-canine dentition of MOR 10912c (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

10. Digital model of the left upper post-canine dentition of MOR 10912c (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)
11. Digital model of MOR 10912d (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (unconstrained smoothing= 2)

12. Digital model of MOR 10913a (.stl) created using Avizo Lite software (no smoothing).

DOI: 10.17602/M2/M369759

Appendix 6: TNT output from phylogenetic analysis and strict consensus tree

Reading from C:\Users\Owner\Desktop\Alpha phylo--with Dave\Alphadon_July262021_Brannick_diss.tnt
Matrix (164x54, 16 states). Memory required for data: 0.26 Mbytes
Space for 99999 trees in memory
Constraints is OFF
Random seed is 1
0 trees in memory

Repl. Algor. Tree Score Best Score Time Rearrangs.
499 FUSE 9 ------ 617 0:20:18
5,727,874,754
Completed search.
Total rearrangements examined: 5,727,874,754.
No target score defined. Best score hit 500 times.
Best score: 617. 6 trees retained.
Time 1218.24 secs.
Strict consensus of 6 trees (0 taxa excluded)

0 - Amphitherium
   1 - Paramus
   2 - Vincelestes
      3 - Kielantherium
         4 - Potamoteltes
            5 - Kerackia
               6 - Holoclemensia
                  7 - Aspmestes
                     8 - Bobolestes
                        9 - Prokennalestes
                           10 - Leptiotids
                              11 - Zalambdalestes
                                 12 - Kennaestes
                                    13 - Axioryctes
                                       14 - Sulcotes
                                          15 - Oklatheriurn
                                             16 - Tsgandelta
                                                17 - Nanocuris
                                                   18 - Atkatheriurn
                                                      19 - Deltatherioides
                                                          20 - Deltatherium
                                                              21 - Fappotherium
                                                                  22 - Borhyaenids
                                                                      23 - Anchistodelphys
                                                                          24 - Mayulestes
                                                                             25 - Jaskhadelphys
                                                                                  26 - Andinodelphys
                                                                                     27 - Pucadelphys
                                                                                                      28 - Dromioips
                                                                                                             29 - 'Hullo-Pareestes'
                                                                                                                             30 - Herpetotherium
                                                                                                                                  31 - Dasyurids
                                                                                                                                         32 - Didelphis
                                                                                                                                                33 - Harmosa
                                                                                                                                                    34 - Glasbius
                                                                                                                                                   35 - Pediomys
                                                                                                                                                       36 - 'Protoleste's
                                                                                                                                                               37 - Protoleste's
                                                                                                                   38 - Protolambda
                                                                                                                      39 - Fumodelphodon
                                                                                                                         40 - Didelphodon
                                                                                                                             41 - Hoodoootherium
                                                                                                                               42 - Eodelphis
                                                                                                                                              43 - Fumodelphodon
                                                                                                                                                  44 - Eodelphis
                                                                                                                                                        45 - Fumodelphodon
                                                                                                                                                              46 - Eodelphis
                                                                                                                                                                         47 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                        48 - Scalaradelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                 49 - Dakotadens
                                                                                                                                                                                                                     50 - Dakotadens
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    51 - Albertatherium
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          52 - Turvidodon
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        53 - Turkadon
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    54 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              55 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      56 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              57 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   58 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           59 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 59 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             60 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        61 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                62 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         63 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             64 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           65 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   66 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             67 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         68 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         69 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             70 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     71 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         72 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             73 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         74 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 75 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       76 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                77 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     78 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      79 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               80 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     81 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                82 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         83 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             84 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               85 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             86 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    87 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                88 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    89 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    90 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     91 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           92 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      93 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     94 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             95 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    96 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     97 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        98 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           99 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    100 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           101 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    102 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           103 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    104 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           105 - Aquiladelfhes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    106 - Aquiladelfhes
Appendix 7: Metatherian synapomorphies

The TNT output of synapomorphies common among the 6 equally parsimonious trees from the parsimony analysis of our data matrix is listed. Note: TNT (and the list below) uses a character numbering scheme that starts with 0 instead of 1, but in Appendix 1 our character numbering scheme starts with 1. For the list of characters and character states, also see Appendix 1. Node numbers refer to nodes in the strict consensus tree—see Appendix 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>All trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphitherium</td>
<td>No autapomorphies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peramus</td>
<td>Char. 9: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 46: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 76: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincelestes</td>
<td>Char. 0: 1 → 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 4: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 6: 01 → 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 8: 1 → 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 18: 1 → 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 23: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 26: 0 → 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 40: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 59: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 84: 1 → 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kielantherium</td>
<td>Char. 20: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 28: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 31: 0 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potamotelses</td>
<td>Char. 14: 1 → 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 15: 1 → 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 34: 1 → 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 59: 2 → 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kermackia</td>
<td>Char. 19: 2 → 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 60: 1 → 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>All trees:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holoclemensia:</td>
<td>Char. 5: 0 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 22: 0 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 23: 0 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 24: 2 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pappotherium:</td>
<td>Char. 28: 0 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 59: 0 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltatheridium:</td>
<td>Char. 17: 0 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltatheroides:</td>
<td>No autapomorphies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsagandelta:</td>
<td>No autapomorphies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklatheridium:</td>
<td>No autapomorphies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulestes:</td>
<td>No autapomorphies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atokatheridium:</td>
<td>No autapomorphies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanocuris:</td>
<td>Char. 5: 2 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 49: 0 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurlin:</td>
<td>Char. 24: 2 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 121: 2 --&gt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 147: 0 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pariadens:</td>
<td>Char. 14: 1 --&gt; 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 22: 0 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 27: 2 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 34: 2 --&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Char. 56: 1 --&gt; 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kokopellia:
  All trees:
    Char. 51: 2 --> 1
    Char. 56: 1 --> 0
    Char. 59: 2 --> 1
  Some trees:
    Char. 26: 2 --> 1
    Char. 52: 0 --> 1

Anchistodelphys:
  All trees:
    Char. 56: 1 --> 0
    Char. 57: 0 --> 1
  Some trees:
    Char. 33: 0 --> 1
    Char. 52: 0 --> 1

Iugomortiferum:
  All trees:
    Char. 4: 0 --> 1
    Char. 14: 1 --> 0
  Some trees:
    Char. 26: 12 --> 2

Aenigmadelphys:
  All trees:
    Char. 28: 0 --> 1
    Char. 49: 2 --> 1
    Char. 59: 2 --> 0
  Some trees:
    Char. 16: 1 --> 2
    Char. 18: 01 --> 2
    Char. 52: 0 --> 1

Didelphodon:
  All trees:
    Char. 46: 0 --> 1

Eodelphis:
  All trees:
    Char. 23: 1 --> 0
    Char. 50: 2 --> 1

Pediomys:
  All trees:
    No autapomorphies:

Albertatherarium:
  All trees:
    Char. 58: 0 --> 1

Alphadon:
  All trees:
    No autapomorphies:
Turgidodon:
    All trees:
    No autapomorphies:

Glasbius:
    All trees:
    Char. 4: 0 --> 1
    Char. 5: 1 --> 0
    Char. 38: 0 --> 1
    Char. 40: 0 --> 1
    Char. 45: 0 --> 1
    Char. 60: 0 --> 1
    Char. 62: 0 --> 1

Asiatherium:
    All trees:
    Char. 19: 0 --> 1
    Char. 23: 1 --> 2
    Char. 36: 1 --> 0
    Char. 37: 1 --> 0
    Char. 38: 0 --> 1
    Some trees:
    Char. 2: 0 --> 2

Mayulestes:
    All trees:
    Char. 19: 0 --> 1
    Char. 37: 1 --> 0
    Char. 49: 2 --> 1
    Char. 59: 2 --> 1
    Char. 80: 0 --> 1
    Char. 98: 1 --> 0
    Char. 123: 2 --> 3
    Char. 142: 2 --> 1
    Char. 157: 0 --> 1
    Char. 161: 0 --> 1

Borhyaenids:
    All trees:
    Char. 31: 0 --> 1
    Char. 52: 0 --> 1
    Char. 80: 0 --> 1
    Char. 88: 0 --> 1
    Char. 95: 0 --> 1
    Char. 123: 2 --> 3
    Char. 134: 0 --> 1
    Char. 147: 0 --> 1
    Char. 148: 0 --> 1
    Char. 154: 0 --> 1

Pucadelphys:
    All trees:
    Char. 19: 0 --> 1
    Char. 25: 2 --> 1
    Char. 35: 3 --> 4
Char. 83: 1 --> 0

Andinodelphys:
All trees:
Char. 103: 0 --> 1
Char. 137: 0 --> 1
Some trees:
Char. 55: 0 --> 1

Jaskhadelphys:
All trees:
Char. 27: 2 --> 1
Char. 34: 2 --> 1

Marmosa:
All trees:
No autapomorphies:

Didelphis:
All trees:
Char. 25: 2 --> 1
Char. 155: 1 --> 0

Dasyurids:
All trees:
Char. 7: 0 --> 1
Char. 10: 2 --> 0
Char. 23: 1 --> 0
Char. 36: 1 --> 0
Char. 40: 0 --> 1
Char. 41: 0 --> 1
Char. 57: 0 --> 1
Char. 82: 1 --> 0
Char. 95: 0 --> 1
Char. 136: 0 --> 1
Char. 157: 0 --> 1

Dromiciops:
All trees:
Char. 2: 0 --> 2
Char. 10: 2 --> 0
Char. 21: 0 --> 1
Char. 23: 1 --> 0
Char. 35: 3 --> 4
Char. 40: 0 --> 1
Char. 42: 1 --> 0
Char. 54: 1 --> 0
Char. 57: 0 --> 1
Char. 60: 0 --> 1
Char. 78: 1 --> 0
Char. 121: 1 --> 3
Char. 123: 2 --> 3
Char. 126: 0 --> 1
Char. 149: 1 --> 0
Some trees:
Char. 130: 1 --> 2

Prokennalestes:
All trees:
  Char. 21: 1 --> 0
  Char. 23: 0 --> 1
  Char. 24: 2 --> 1
  Char. 34: 2 --> 1
  Char. 43: 1 --> 0
  Char. 46: 1 --> 0
  Char. 50: 1 --> 0

Bobolestes:
All trees:
  Char. 17: 0 --> 1

Asioryctes:
All trees:
  Char. 41: 1 --> 0
  Char. 90: 0 --> 1

Kennalestes:
All trees:
  Char. 20: 0 --> 1
  Char. 38: 0 --> 1

Zalambdalestes:
All trees:
  Char. 32: 1 --> 0
  Char. 40: 0 --> 1
  Char. 50: 1 --> 2
  Char. 72: 2 --> 0
  Char. 82: 1 --> 0
  Char. 97: 0 --> 1

Aspanlestes:
All trees:
  Char. 25: 2 --> 1
  Char. 36: 0 --> 1
  Char. 38: 0 --> 1
  Char. 49: 1 --> 2
  Char. 146: 0 --> 1

Leptictids:
All trees:
  Char. 9: 0 --> 1
  Char. 35: 3 --> 4
  Char. 38: 0 --> 1
  Char. 45: 0 --> 1
  Char. 66: 0 --> 1
  Char. 70: 0 --> 1
  Char. 76: 1 --> 0
  Char. 83: 0 --> 1
  Char. 85: 0 --> 1
  Char. 90: 0 --> 1
Char. 98: 0 --> 1
Char. 104: 0 --> 1
Char. 106: 0 --> 1
Char. 108: 3 --> 2
Char. 114: 0 --> 1
Char. 139: 0 --> 1
Char. 145: 1 --> 0
Char. 148: 0 --> 1
Char. 149: 0 --> 1
Char. 154: 0 --> 1

Fumodelphodon:
All trees:
No autapomorphies:

Hoodootherium:
All trees:
Char. 3: 1 --> 0
Char. 34: 2 --> 1

Aquiladelphis:
All trees:
Char. 26: 2 --> 1
Char. 38: 0 --> 1

Scalaridelphys:
All trees:
No autapomorphies:

Dakotadens:
All trees:
Char. 33: 1 --> 0
Char. 34: 2 --> 1
Char. 35: 3 --> 2
Char. 54: 1 --> 0
Char. 55: 1 --> 0

Protolambda:
All trees:
Char. 1: 0 --> 1
Char. 4: 0 --> 1
Char. 59: 2 --> 1

Leptalestes:
All trees:
Char. 29: 1 --> 0

Herpetotherium:
All trees:
Char. 122: 1 --> 0
Char. 123: 2 --> 3
Char. 127: 1 --> 0
Some trees:
Char. 156: 0 --> 2
'Mimo_Peradectes' :
All trees:
Char. 2: 0 --> 1
Char. 31: 0 --> 1
Char. 49: 2 --> 1
Char. 59: 2 --> 0
Char. 83: 1 --> 0
Char. 143: 2 --> 1
Some trees:
Char. 16: 2 --> 1
Char. 44: 0 --> 1
Char. 53: 2 --> 1

Node 55 :
All trees:
No synapomorphies

Node 56 :
All trees:
Char. 0: 0 --> 1
Char. 35: 0 --> 1
Char. 39: 0 --> 1
Char. 41: 0 --> 1
Char. 60: 0 --> 1

Node 57 :
All trees:
Char. 14: 0 --> 1
Char. 24: 0 --> 2
Char. 25: 0 --> 2
Char. 48: 0 --> 1

Node 58 :
All trees:
Char. 16: 0 --> 1
Char. 25: 2 --> 1
Char. 32: 1 --> 0
Char. 36: 0 --> 1

Node 59 :
All trees:
Char. 46: 0 --> 1
Char. 59: 0 --> 2

Node 60 :
All trees:
Char. 15: 0 --> 1
Char. 34: 0 --> 1
Char. 35: 1 --> 2
Char. 49: 0 --> 1
Char. 53: 0 --> 1
Char. 75: 0 --> 1
Char. 76: 0 --> 1

Node 61 :
All trees:
  Char. 35: 2 \rightarrow 3
  Char. 50: 0 \rightarrow 1
  Char. 56: 0 \rightarrow 1

Node 62:
  All trees:
    Char. 19: 2 \rightarrow 0

Node 63:
  All trees:
    Char. 0: 1 \rightarrow 2
    Char. 5: 0 \rightarrow 2
    Char. 9: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 42: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 44: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 55: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 61: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 64: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 65: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 70: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 78: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 114: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 124: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 144: 0 \rightarrow 2
    Char. 146: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 149: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 151: 0 \rightarrow 2

Node 64:
  All trees:
    Char. 44: 1 \rightarrow 0

Node 65:
  All trees:
    Char. 47: 1 \rightarrow 0
    Char. 62: 0 \rightarrow 1

Node 66:
  All trees:
    Char. 49: 1 \rightarrow 0

Node 67:
  All trees:
    Char. 26: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 31: 0 \rightarrow 1
    Char. 40: 0 \rightarrow 1

Node 68:
  All trees:
    Char. 17: 0 \rightarrow 1

Node 69:
  All trees:
    Char. 36: 0 \rightarrow 1
Char. 53: 1 --> 0

Node 70:
All trees:
Char. 21: 0 --> 2
Char. 33: 1 --> 0

Node 71:
All trees:
Char. 5: 1 --> 2
Char. 31: 0 --> 1
Char. 59: 2 --> 0

Node 72:
All trees:
Char. 50: 1 --> 2
Char. 68: 0 --> 1
Char. 136: 0 --> 1

Node 73:
All trees:
Char. 5: 2 --> 1
Char. 35: 2 --> 3
Char. 37: 0 --> 1
Char. 41: 1 --> 0
Char. 49: 1 --> 2
Char. 59: 0 --> 2
Char. 67: 0 --> 1
Char. 93: 0 --> 1
Char. 94: 0 --> 1
Some trees:
Char. 53: 1 --> 2

Node 74:
All trees:
Char. 16: 0 --> 1
Char. 26: 0 --> 2
Char. 27: 1 --> 2
Char. 34: 1 --> 2
Char. 36: 0 --> 1
Char. 50: 0 --> 1
Char. 51: 1 --> 2
Char. 54: 0 --> 1
Char. 56: 0 --> 1

Node 75:
All trees:
Char. 15: 1 --> 0
Char. 19: 0 --> 2
Char. 23: 1 --> 0

Node 76:
All trees:
Char. 27: 2 --> 1
Some trees:
Node 77:
   All trees:
      Char. 13: 0 --> 1

Node 78:
   All trees:
      Char. 41: 0 --> 1

Node 79:
   All trees:
      Char. 1: 0 --> 1
      Char. 2: 0 --> 2
      Char. 4: 0 --> 1

Node 80:
   All trees:
      Char. 16: 1 --> 3

Node 81:
   All trees:
      Char. 23: 1 --> 2
      Char. 35: 3 --> 4

Node 82:
   All trees:
      Char. 28: 0 --> 1

Node 83:
   All trees:
      Char. 22: 0 --> 1
   Some trees:
      Char. 55: 0 --> 1

Node 84:
   All trees:
      Char. 1: 0 --> 1
      Char. 4: 0 --> 1

Node 85:
   All trees:
      Char. 50: 1 --> 2
   Some trees:
      Char. 8: 1 --> 0
      Char. 52: 0 --> 1
      Char. 108: 2 --> 1
      Char. 147: 0 --> 1
      Char. 148: 0 --> 1
      Char. 159: 0 --> 1

Node 86:
   All trees:
Char. 18: 1 --> 0

Node 87:
  All trees:
  Char. 21: 0 --> 2
  Char. 22: 0 --> 1
  Char. 28: 0 --> 1
  Char. 30: 0 --> 1

Node 88:
  All trees:
  Char. 8: 1 --> 0
  Char. 18: 1 --> 0
  Char. 24: 2 --> 1
  Char. 45: 0 --> 1
  Char. 54: 1 --> 0
  Char. 118: 2 --> 1
  Char. 141: 2 --> 0
  Char. 143: 2 --> 1
  Char. 156: 0 --> 1

Node 89:
  All trees:
  Char. 21: 0 --> 2
  Char. 50: 1 --> 2
  Char. 71: 0 --> 1
  Char. 76: 1 --> 0
  Some trees:
  Char. 130: 1 --> 2

Node 90:
  All trees:
  Char. 22: 0 --> 1
  Char. 103: 0 --> 1
  Char. 129: 0 --> 1
  Char. 161: 0 --> 1

Node 91:
  All trees:
  Char. 19: 0 --> 2
  Char. 34: 2 --> 0
  Char. 68: 0 --> 1
  Char. 86: 0 --> 1
  Char. 139: 1 --> 2
  Char. 154: 0 --> 1
  Some trees:
  Char. 16: 1 --> 2
  Char. 44: 1 --> 0

Node 92:
  All trees:
  Char. 16: 4 --> 1
  Char. 47: 2 --> 1
  Char. 74: 1 --> 0
  Char. 75: 1 --> 0
Node 93:
All trees:
  Char. 0: 1 --> 0
  Char. 10: 0 --> 1
  Char. 20: 0 --> 1
  Char. 125: 2 --> 1

Node 94:
All trees:
  Char. 16: 0 --> 4
  Char. 21: 0 --> 1
  Char. 33: 0 --> 1
  Char. 34: 1 --> 2
  Char. 60: 1 --> 0

Node 95:
All trees:
  Char. 43: 1 --> 0

Node 96:
All trees:
  Char. 11: 1 --> 2
  Char. 12: 0 --> 1
  Char. 108: 1 --> 3
  Char. 123: 2 --> 3
  Char. 126: 0 --> 1
  Char. 135: 0 --> 1

Node 97:
All trees:
  Char. 8: 1 --> 2
  Char. 25: 2 --> 1
  Char. 49: 1 --> 2
  Char. 71: 0 --> 1
  Char. 122: 0 --> 1

Node 98:
All trees:
  Char. 16: 1 --> 2
  Char. 35: 3 --> 4

Node 99:
All trees:
  Char. 18: 1 --> 2
  Char. 27: 1 --> 2
  Char. 50: 1 --> 2
  Char. 59: 2 --> 1

Node 100:
All trees:
  Char. 19: 0 --> 1
  Char. 21: 0 --> 1
  Char. 47: 1 --> 2
Appendix 8: Resulting Majority Rule Consensus Tree

Below is the resulting TNT output tree for a majority rule consensus tree with a 50% cutoff.

---

```
Strict consensus of 6 trees (0 taxa excluded)
```

---

1. Amphitherium
2. Peramus
3. Vinctelestes
4. Kielantherium
5. Kermaackia
6. Potamodeles
7. Holoclemensia
8. Aspangolestes
9. Bobolestes
10. Prokennalestes
11. Leptictida
12. Zalambdasteles
13. Kellasteles
14. Asioyctes
15. Sulesteles
16. Okotatheridium
17. Trogonicta
18. Nanocuris
19. Atokatheridium
20. Deltatheroides
21. Delatheridium
22. Pappotherium
23. Borhyaenids
24. Anchiostodelphys
25. Mayulestes
26. Jaskhelophus
27. Andinodelphys
28. Pucadelphys
29. Dromiciops
30. 'Nimo_Perebbopes'
31. Herpetotherium
32. Dasyuridae
33. Didelphis
34. Marmosa
35. Glaebius
36. Pedionys
37. Leptolestes
38. Protolambda
39. Pariadens
40. Gurlin
41. Mudootherium
42. Eodelphus
43. Fumodelphodon
44. Didelphodon
45. Asiatherium
46. Aenigmadelphys
47. Iugomortiferum
48. Kokopellia
49. Alphodon
50. Albertatherium
51. Turgidodon
52. Dakotadens
53. Scalaridelphys
54. Aquiladolphis
Appendix 9: Strict Consensus Tree with mapped synapomorphies.

Below is the resulting strict consensus tree with select synapomorphies mapped at associated nodes from our TNT output.
1. Three premolars; marked increase in molars posteriorly; staggered lower incisor; paraconid and metaconid are aligned; rotation of the last lower molar during eruption; deciduous canine; replacement of first and second deciduous premolars; cylindrical lower jaw condyle; tooth-like gomphoid fossa shape; sulcus for anterior distribution of transverse sinus is posterolateral to subarcuate fossa; foramen for ramus superior of stapedia artery is absent; sulcus for stapedia artery is absent; jugular foramen is separated from the opening for the inferior petrosal sinus; ascending canal is absent.

2. Stylist shelf is uniform in width but less than 50% of total transverse width; metacone is subequal or larger than paracone; metacone is lingual to paracone; conules are strong, labially placed, with ungulate cristae; procumbent protoconid; lower molar orificid obliquus is complete, labially placed, at base of protoconid; hypoconulid is lingually placed and “twinned” with entoconid; labial postcingulid is present; metaconid is transverse relative to the orientation to lower jaw axis.

3. Molars moderately increase in size posteriorly; posterior portion of protocone is expanded; protocone is tall; approaching para- and or metacone height; four lower incisors; talonid width is subequal or wider than trigonid; paraconid is shorter than metaconid; posterior shelf of masseteric fossa is present; palatal expansion behind last molar; postpalatine torus is present.

4. Metacone is approximately at the same level as paracone position.

5. Stylar cusp C is present.

6. Metacone and paracone are subtriangular with labial face flat.

7. Stylar cusp A is very small to indistinct; conules are absent; convex ventral margin behind tooth row continuous to cingulum on the lower jaw; hyomandibular sinus is present; hypobranchial sinus is formed by alisphenoid and petrosal; interparietal is present.
CHAPTER 3: NEW SPECIMENS OF THE LATE CRETACEOUS METATHERIAN *EODELPHIS* AND THE EVOLUTION OF HARD-OBJECT FEEDING IN THE STAGODONTIDAE
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3.2 ABSTRACT

The Stagodontidae include the largest metatherians known from the Cretaceous of North America. Of the recognized species of the stagodontid genus *Eodelphis*, *E. cutleri* is larger and has a more robust dentary, more inflated premolars, and third premolars specialized for crushing, as opposed to the more gracile *E. browni*. These differences have led to the hypothesis that an *E. cutleri*-like ancestor gave rise to *Didelphodon*—another, mostly younger, stagodontid, which has been interpreted as a durophagous predator-scavenger. If correct, *E. cutleri* would be expected to show more morphological adaptation toward durophagy than *E. browni* does. Here, we describe two new dentary fossils referable to *E. browni* and test the evolutionary hypothesis by applying
beam theory to estimate bending force capabilities of 22 dentaries of Cretaceous stagodontids and other metatherians. The resulting diversity of bending force profiles of the sampled dentaries implies that Cretaceous metatherians had a wide range of feeding behaviors. Among the stagodontids, *E. cutleri* has a mediolateral bending force profile of the dentary that is more similar to that of *Didelphodon* than it is to that of *E. browni*; whereas its dorsoventral bending force profile is more similar to that of *E. browni*. These results indicate that anteriorly the dentary of *E. cutleri* was capable of resisting high torsional stresses from hard-object feeding but lacked other dorsoventral buttressing associated with exceptionally high bite forces of *Didelphodon*. Our results imply that some morphological changes associated with durophagy evolved twice within this clade, independently in *E. cutleri* and *Didelphodon*. 
3.3 INTRODUCTION

The Metatheria, the stem-based clade of living marsupials and their closest relatives (Rougier et al. 1998), achieved substantial taxonomic and morphological diversity during the Late Cretaceous (ca. 100–66 million years ago [Ma]; Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004; Sánchez-Villagra 2013; Williamson et al. 2014; Wilson et al. 2016). Yet, surprisingly few studies have quantitatively investigated the paleoecologies implied by that diversity (Clemens 1966; Luo 2007; Wilson 2013; Grossnickle and Polly 2013; Wilson et al. 2016; Grossnickle and Newham 2016). In general, because of the preservational bias toward teeth in the mammalian fossil record, studies of the paleoecology of Mesozoic mammals have primarily focused on reconstructions of diets based on dental shape and functional morphology (e.g., Crompton and Kielan-Jaworowska 1978; Jernvall et al. 1996; Wilson et al. 2012). Analysis of the dentary can also be used in functional morphological studies to infer feeding behaviors in both extant and extinct mammals (Biknevicius and Ruff 1992a, b; Grossnickle and Polly 2013; Gill et al. 2014; Grossnickle 2017). For example, cross-sectional properties of the dentary, including cortical bone structure, reflect the biomechanical bending strength of the dentary and can help constrain dietary inferences (e.g., Therrien 2005; Binder et al. 2016; Wilson et al. 2016).

In this study, we quantify biomechanical properties of the dentary in the metatherian clade Stagodontidae, which includes some of the largest bodied mammals known from the Cretaceous of North America (body mass estimate of *Didelphodon vorax* UWBM 94084 = 5.2 kg; Wilson et al. 2016). The genera *Eodelphis* and *Didelphodon* are included in this clade, in addition to the recently described genera *Fumodelphodon* and *Hoodootherium* from the Straight Cliffs Formation of Utah (Cohen 2017); a fifth genus, *Pariadens*, has also been considered a basal stagodontid (Cifelli and Eaton 1987; Rougier et al. 2004, 2015; Wilson et al. 2016; Cohen
2017; but see Fox and Naylor 2006; Williamson et al. 2012, 2014). Furthermore, the Eocene
genus *Eobrasilia* of South America most recently has been proposed as a sister taxon to
*Didelphodon* (Carneiro and Oliveira 2017). In addition to larger body size, stagodontids are
characterized by several dental characters, including: (1) upper molars with a robust metacone, a
small paracone, well-developed conules near the paracone and metacone, but without a
metacingulum; (2) lower molars with mesiodistally compressed trigonids, a cristid obliqua that
meets the trigonid buccal to the protocristid notch, a reduced metaconid, an enlarged paraconid, a
carnassial notch, and paraconids and protoconids that are subequal in height; (3) as a result of
those modifications, prevallum/postvallid shearing is reduced and the postvallum/prevallid
shearing is emphasized; (4) enlarged upper and lower third premolars; and (5) three or fewer
lower incisors (Fox and Naylor 2006; Scott and Fox 2015).

Specifically, we describe two dentary fossils of the genus *Eodelphis*, and we incorporate
them and 20 other metatherian specimens into a biomechanical analysis based on beam theory
(Therrien 2005). We use the resulting bending strength profiles to test whether *Eodelphis cutleri*
and *Eodelphis browni*, like *D. vorax*, were capable of hard-object feeding (durophagy). We aim
to improve our understanding of the evolutionary steps toward durophagy within the
Stagodontidae and, more broadly, the diversification of feeding strategies within Metatheria
during the Late Cretaceous.

3.3.1 Background

The genus *Eodelphis* is represented in the fossil record by isolated teeth, dentary
fragments with teeth, and cranial fragments from localities in the Western Interior of North
America, most of them in Montana and Alberta (Fig. 3.1; Fox 1971; Sahni 1972; Rigby and Wolberg 1987; Eaton and Cifelli 1988; Fiorillo 1989; Montellano 1992; Peng and Russell 2001; DeMar and Breithaupt 2006; Scott and Fox 2015). These localities sample the Aquilan, Judithian, and possibly “Edmontonian” North American land mammal “ages” (NALMAs), which together correspond to a temporal range of ca. 84–70 million years ago (Ma) or late Santonian to early Maastrichtian of the Late Cretaceous (Cifelli et al. 2004; Wilson et al. 2010). Although the oldest specimen of this genus, referred to *Eodelphis* sp., was recovered from the Aquilan-age Deadhorse Coulee Member of the Milk River Formation in southern Alberta (Drees and Mhyr 1981; Fox and Naylor 2006), most specimens have been found at localities assigned a Judithian age (ca. 80–74 Ma; Wilson et al. 2010). Additionally, two very fragmentary dentaries that were recovered from Lane’s Little Jaw Site Quarry in the Hell Creek Formation of southeastern Montana were tentatively assigned to *Eodelphis* (Kelly 2014). There is some uncertainty in the taxonomic identifications of the specimens and the age of the locality; it might be Lancian (latest Cretaceous), Puercan (earliest Paleocene), or mixed in age (Kelly 2014). Regardless, if the specimens are correctly assigned, the temporal range of *Eodelphis* would be extended at least ca. 4–5 Ma into the Lancian (Kelly 2014).

The two species of *Eodelphis* (*E. browni* and *E. cutleri*) were once proposed as sexual dimorphs of a single species by Montellano (1992), but Fox and Naylor (2006) convincingly argued that the qualitative differences in their dentition and inferred dietary preferences exceed what would be expected within a single species. Compared to *E. browni*, *E. cutleri* is larger, has a more robust dentary, more inflated teeth, and larger third premolars (Fox 1981; Scott and Fox 2015). Williamson et al. (2012, 2014) also treated *E. browni* and *E. cutleri* as separate species.
and recovered them as sister taxa within the Stagodontidae in their cladistic analyses of Late Cretaceous and Paleogene metatherians.

The genus *Didelphodon* is known from the Judithian through Lancian (early Campanian–late Maastrichtian; ca. 80–66 Ma) in the northern Western Interior of North America (Alberta, Saskatchewan, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming). The Lancian species, *D. vorax*, is known from across this geographic range from many specimens, including dentulous dentary fragments and partial crania (Wilson et al. 2016); a second Lancian species, *D. padanicus*, is restricted to only those specimens from South Dakota (Clemens 1966); and a third species, *D. coyi*, is known from only a few specimens from the “Edmontonian” and Lancian of Alberta (Fox and Naylor 1986, 2006). Several other poorly preserved specimens of *Didelphodon* from the Judithian Dinosaur Park Formation and the “Edmontonian” St. Mary River Formation, both in Alberta, have not been assigned to species (Sloan and Russell 1974; Fox and Naylor 1986; Scott and Fox 2015).

Both *Eodelphis* and *Didelphodon* have carnivorous dental adaptations, including the reduction of prevallum/postvallid shearing and emphasis of postvallum/prevallid shearing, but *Didelphodon* has more robust dental and dentary morphology, leading to the interpretation that it was more durophagous relative to *Eodelphis* and a powerful predator/scavenger (Clemens 1966, 1968; Scott and Fox 2015; Wilson et al. 2016). Furthermore, on the basis of size, premolar morphology, and stratigraphic occurrence, the conventional hypothesis is that *Didelphodon* arose from an *E. cutleri*-like ancestor (Clemens 1966; Fox and Naylor 1986, 2006; Scott and Fox 2015; but see Fox 1981; Fox and Naylor 1986 for comments on using morphological comparisons of premolars instead of molars). In contrast, Cohen (2017) hypothesized that the newly described Turonian stagodontid *Fumodelphodon* is more closely related to *Didelphodon*.
than *Eodelphis* is to *Didelphodon*, on the basis of similarities in premolar morphology and the results of his phylogenetic analysis. This would imply a ghost lineage that pre-dates the evolutionary split proposed by the conventional hypothesis. Additionally, the phylogenetic analysis results of Carneiro and Oliveira (2017) concluded that *Fumodelphodon* is most closely related to *Hoodootherium*; this clade (*Fumodelphodon + Hoodootherium*) is proposed as sister to a clade including (*Eodelphis + [Didelphodon + Eobrasilia]*)). Nevertheless, we defer acceptance of the phylogenetic hypotheses of both Cohen (2017) and Carneiro and Oliveira (2017) until further evidence can be brought to bear on the association of the isolated premolars and molars referred to *Fumodelphodon*—presently, it is made primarily on the basis of size (Cohen 2017)—and on the proposed phylogenetic relationships between *Didelphodon* and *Fumodelphodon* and between *Didelphodon* and *Eobrasilia*. Because a detailed phylogenetic analysis of the Stagodontidae is beyond the scope of this paper, we proceed with the working hypothesis that *Didelphodon* arose from an *E. cutleri*-like ancestor (Clemens 1966; Fox and Naylor 1986, 2006; Scott and Fox 2015).

Accordingly, it might be expected that *E. cutleri* possessed morphology reflecting a trend toward durophagy, whereas *E. browni* would lack or have fewer of these adaptations. Indeed, the premolars of *E. cutleri*, like those of *Didelphodon*, are more robust than those of *E. browni* (Scott and Fox 2015). *Eodelphis cutleri* has thus been interpreted as having had a more scavenging or hard-object feeding habit, whereas *E. browni* has been interpreted as insectivorous or possibly carnivorous (Scott and Fox 2015). It follows that the feeding capabilities of these species should also be evident in the morphology of their dentaries; however, until now this hypothesis has not yet been quantitatively tested.
3.4 INSTITUTIONAL ABBREVIATIONS

AMNH, American Museum of Natural History, New York, New York, USA.; MOR, Museum of the Rockies, Bozeman, Montana, USA; NHMUK, Natural History Museum, United Kingdom, London, UK; OMNH, Oklahoma Museum of Natural History, Norman, Oklahoma, USA; RSMP, Palaeontological Collections of the Royal Saskatchewan Museum, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada; TMDC, Two Medicine Dinosaur Center, Bynum, Montana, USA; TMP, Royal Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology, Drumheller, Alberta, Canada; UALVP, University of Alberta Laboratory for Vertebrate Paleontology, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada; UCMP, University of California Museum of Paleontology, Berkeley, California, USA; UWBM, University of Washington Burke Museum, Seattle, Washington, USA.

3.5 SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

MAMMALIA Linnaeus, 1758

THERIA Parker and Haswell, 1897

METATHERIA Huxley, 1880

MARSUPIALIFORMES Vullo et al., 2009

STAGODONTIDAE Marsh, 1889

EODELPHIS Matthew, 1916

EODELPHIS cf. E. BROWNII Matthew, 1916 (Fig. 3.2a–f)

3.5.1 Holotype

AMNH 14169: parts of the left squamosal and left jugal, anterior region of right dentary, and an incomplete left dentary with i1–3, p1–3, m2–4, and m1 roots present (Matthew 1916).
The holotype was found in the Dinosaur Park Formation (Judithian; Late Cretaceous in age) in southeastern Alberta, Canada.

3.5.2 Referred Specimens

MOR 739, an incomplete right dentary with m2–4 and the alveoli of p2, p3, and m1 (Fig. 3.2a–c); TMDC TA2008.3.2, an incomplete right dentary with m1–4, fragments of p2–3, alveoli for p1, and an incomplete alveolus for the canine (Fig. 3.2d–f).

3.5.3 Locality Data

MOR 739 was found at MOR locality JR-120 “Long Time Waiting” in the Judith River Formation of Watson Coulee in Hill County, Montana. For more information regarding this locality, qualified researchers should contact the Museum of the Rockies. TMDC 2008.3.2 was found in the Judith River Formation of Kennedy Coulee in Hill County, Montana. For more information regarding this locality, qualified researchers should contact the Two Medicine Dinosaur Center.

3.5.4 Description

MOR 739 is a moderately well-preserved; right dentary; it includes most of the horizontal ramus, the anteroventral margin of the coronoid process, and the anterior part of the masseteric fossa, as well as m2–4 and the alveoli for p2, p3, and m1 (Fig. 3.2a–c). Most of the symphysis and the alveoli for the lower incisors, canine, and p1 are not preserved.
The horizontal ramus is long and gracile relative to that of *Didelphodon*. It is dorsoventrally deepest ventral to the m4 (10.55 mm) and tapers anteriorly (Fig. 3.2a, b). A slight bony projection on the ventral margin below the p2–p3 embrasure and fracturing along the lateral margins suggest that the specimen might have experienced mediolateral compressive deformation. An ovoid mental foramen occurs ventral to the level of the p3 alveoli; a second one is not present, but this absence may be due to the lack of preservation of the dentary ventral to the p1 posterior alveolus (Fig. 3.2a). The anterior edge of the coronoid process has a vertical orientation posterior to m4, as described for other dentaries of *E. browni* (Fox 1981). The preserved parts of the masseteric fossa are deep. Its anterior border does not extend onto the horizontal ramus of the dentary, but it does extend near to the ventral margin of the dentary, where there is a prominent masseteric line (Fig. 3.2a).

Alveoli for the p1 are not present, but a diastema anterior to the p2 alveoli provides some information about spacing of p1 relative to more posterior premolars (Fig. 3.2c). The p2 alveoli are subequal in size and laterally compressed (although some compression may be due to post-mortem deformation). The p3 alveoli are directly posterior to the p2 posterior alveolus; they are also larger than those of p2 and less laterally compressed (Table 3.1). The m1 alveoli are partly obscured by sediment infilling, but they are directly posterior to the p3 posterior alveolus; this differs from *Didelphodon* in which the anterior alveolus of m1 is buccal to the posterior alveolus of p3 (Clemens 1966).

The molar row of MOR 739 is slightly oblique to the long axis of the horizontal ramus (Fig. 3.2c). The molar crowns are well preserved, although some cusp apices, particularly those of the protoconids, are chipped or slightly worn. The m2 trigonid is narrower than the talonid and mesiodistally shorter than it. The trigonid angle is acute. The paraconid is taller than the
protoconid, although the latter has a broken apex (and was probably taller than the paraconid before breakage), and the metaconid is by far the smallest trigonid cusp, as is characteristic of stagodontids (Clemens 1966; Fox 1981; Scott and Fox 2015). The paracristid, which shows some wear, steeply descends from the apex of the paraconid forming a notch at the lingual base of the protoconid; additionally, a ridge juts out from the mesial aspect of the apex of the paraconid, and extends ventrally toward the base of the cusp. The precingulid extends buccoventrally from just below the middle of the paracristid to the base of the protoconid. In distal view, the protocristid is broad and V-shaped, rather than sharply notched; the lingual face of the trigonid is slightly convex; and the buccal and distal faces are nearly vertical. In occlusal view, the distal face is transverse, rather than oblique, to the mesiodistal axis of the crown. The talonid basin is broad and deepest mesiolingually. The entoconid and hypoconulid are “twinned” and taller than the hypoconid, although the hypoconid has a larger base. The entocristid, which shows some wear buccally, extends mesioventrally at a steep angle to make contact with the distal face of the trigonid at a sharp angle. The cristid obliqua extends from the hypoconid to contact the distal face of the trigonid buccal to the protocristid notch. Distally, the hypoconulid is braced between the precingulid and the mesial ridge of the paraconid of the m3, as seen in other specimens of *Eodelphis* and *Didelphodon* (e.g., Fox 1981).

The m3 and m4 differ only slightly in morphology from the m2 (Fig. 3.2c). The m3 is larger than the m2 (Table 3.2), and the trigonid and talonid are subequal in length and width. The morphology and arrangement of the m3 trigonid cusps differ from those of the m2 in that the trigonid angle is more acute and the paraconid shows greater apical wear. The m3 talonid also differs from that of m2 in that the basin is deeper and the entoconid and hypoconulid show less wear. On m4, the trigonid is wider than the talonid. The entoconid and hypoconulid are of
subequal height and almost as tall as the metaconid. All dental measurements are provided in Table 3.2.

TMDC TA2008.3.2 is a right dentary that preserves m1–4, fragments of p2 and p3, and alveoli for the p1 and canine (Fig. 3.2d–f). Overall, the dentary is like MOR 739, in having a gracile appearance compared to previously described specimens of *Didelphodon* and *E. cutleri*. It is deepest ventral to m4 (8.62 mm), and gently tapers in depth towards the canine. The anterior margin of the masseteric fossa is present, but the angular process, condyloid process, and most of the coronoid process are missing (Fig. 3.2e, f). The small portion of the anterior edge of the coronoid process that is preserved appears to steeply rise behind m4, as in MOR 739. At the anterior end, part of the symphysis is preserved, forming a roughened, raised, and oval surface (Fig. 3.2f). When the symphyseal region is oriented vertically, the anterior region of the dentary, the canine, and the premolars lean buccally—a condition that has also been observed in *Didelphodon* (Fig. 3.2d; Fox and Naylor 2006). There is an ovoid mental foramen ventral to m1, and another below the posterior alveolus of p1; this condition differs from that in MOR 739 in both the position and number of foramina, which may be due to individual variation (although see above for comments on non-preservation of the dentary ventral to the p1 posterior alveolus; Fig. 3.2e).

The posterior half of a large canine alveolus is preserved. The p1 alveoli are ovoid, with the anterior one smaller and positioned slightly more buccally than the posterior one, as in the holotype of *E. brownii* (Scott and Fox 2015). A slight diastema separates the posterior alveolus of p1 from the anterior alveolus of p2, as in MOR 739 (Fig. 3.2d).
The mesial root of p2 is preserved, but most of the crown and distal root are missing. The posterior alveolus of p2 is circular and is slightly larger than the anterior alveolus. The p3 alveoli are subequal in size and slightly larger than those of p2. The distal root of p3 and a fragment of the base of the crown are present, but the latter does not provide any coronal details. The mesial root of m1 is directly posterior to the distal root of p3, as in MOR 739 and other described specimens of *Eodelphis* (Fig. 3.2d; Clemens 1966).

The occlusal surfaces of m1–3 show substantial horizontal wear and exposed dentine, which prevent detailed description of the coronal morphology (Fig. 3.2d). The m4, in contrast, shows only slight apical wear on the trigonid cusps and along the paracristid and protocristid. The m4 protoconid is slightly taller than the paraconid, and the metaconid is the shortest and smallest of the trigonid cusps. These cusps form a more acute trigonid angle than what can be ascertained from the other molars. Some wear or damage on the talonid has made the individual cusps difficult to discern, although twinning of the entoconid and hypoconulid is evident (Fig. 3.2d). Overall, the minimal wear on m4 implies that this tooth erupted only shortly before death and the individual was a young adult. In contrast, the heavy wear on the m1–3 implies that this individual had a highly abrasive diet (Fox 1981) even before reaching dental maturity (eruption of m4). See Table 3.2 for dental measurements.

3.5.5 Remarks

The molar morphology (e.g., the small metaconid) of m2–m4 of MOR 739 and the m4 of TMDC TA2008.3.2, as well as the size of the molars and overall dentary morphology, support the referral of these specimens to the genus *Eodelphis*. Whereas molar morphology does not typically permit species-level identification of specimens of *Eodelphis*, premolar morphology,
especially that of p3, is more diagnostic (Scott and Fox 2015). Specifically, (i) the p3 crown is generally larger, more inflated, and less sectorial in *E. cutleri* than in *E. browni* (Fox 1981; Scott and Fox 2015); (ii) the roots of p2 and p3 are parallel and vertically oriented in *E. cutleri*, but ventrally divergent in *E. browni* (Clemens 1966); and (iii) the premolars are aligned and relatively uncrowded in *E. browni*, but crowded in *E. cutleri* (Clemens 1966; Clemens, pers. comm. 2017). Computed tomography (CT) scans reveal that TMDC TA2008.3.2 and MOR 739 have p2 and p3 alveoli or roots that are vertical and parallel—the condition ascribed to both *E. cutleri* and *Didelphodon* (3.13: Appendix 1; Clemens 1966). Both specimens described here have a small diastema between p1 and p2 (uncrowded), instead of having alveoli of successive premolars tightly packed (crowded) as seen in *E. cutleri* specimens (Clemens 1966: figure 36); additionally, the p1 and p2 alveoli of TMDC TA2008.3.2 are mainly anteroposteriorly aligned with the horizontal ramus, not strongly oblique to it as in the holotype of *E. cutleri* (Clemens 1966; Scott and Fox 2015). Following a thorough cleaning of the holotype of *E. browni* (AMNH 14169), Scott and Fox (2015) noted that the p1 anterior alveolus of this taxon is also oriented obliquely across the dentary, in-line with the trajectory of the tooth row (contra Clemens 1966). Further study of the intra- and interspecific variation of these premolar characters in *Eodelphis* is required to confirm their reliability in taxonomic diagnoses. The morphology of p3 remains as the most consistent way to discriminate between the species of *Eodelphis*. However, because the p3 is not preserved in our specimens, we rely on premolar alignment, tooth measurements, and robustness of the dentary to tentatively refer them to *E. browni*.

The morphological differences between TMDC TA2008.3.2 and MOR 739 are likely due to some combination of intraspecific variation, ontogenetic stage, sexual dimorphism, and differences in feeding behavior of the individuals represented. Both specimens represent dentally
mature individuals (fully erupted m4); however, the dentary and the teeth of MOR 739 are larger than those of TMDC TA2008.3.2 (Fig. 3.2; Tables 3.1 and 3.2), possibly reflecting sexual dimorphism, age, intraspecific variation, or some combination of those factors. Intriguingly, the molar wear pattern of MOR 739 runs counter to the interpretation that the larger individual is older. The m1–4 of MOR 739, the larger specimen, shows only minor apical wear and very little horizontal wear, in contrast to the pattern in TMDC TA2008.3.2, the smaller specimen. Extensive wear to m1–3 of TMDC TA2008.3.2 has formed a broad horizontal platform. The m4 is relatively unworn, suggesting that this tooth erupted only shortly before the individual died. This would imply that the individual was a young adult and that the extensive wear on m1–3 formed mostly during earlier ontogenetic stages. The m4 of MOR 739, the larger specimen, is relatively unworn as well, but no more so than its m1–3; thus, it probably represents an individual at a similar ontogenetic stage relative to TMDC TA2008.3.2. In their observations of dentulous dentary fragments of *Eodelphis*, Fox and Naylor (1995, 2006) inferred that juveniles of *Eodelphis* primarily employed molar shearing, and individuals shifted toward more crushing as wear leveled the cusps into broad platforms; this functional shift also implies a shift in diet. The molar wear patterns in our specimens imply that timing of this transition varies across individuals, such that some juveniles might have begun to emphasize crushing and hard-object feeding before dental maturity.

MOR 739 was found in deposits that include three stratigraphically distinct nesting horizons of the lambeosaurine dinosaur *Hypacrosaurus stebingeri* (Horner 1999). MOR 739 was found in the middle horizon of this stratigraphic sequence. Nest predation has been hypothesized as a factor in the extinction of non-avian dinosaurs (Benton 1990), and mammals have been proposed as possible predators of dinosaur eggs and hatchlings (e.g., Wilson et al. 2016; Bois and
Mullin 2017). Bois and Mullin (2017) also suggested that Late Cretaceous mammals, including *Didelphodon vorax* (5.2 kg; Wilson et al. 2016), *Bubodens magnus* (5.25 kg; Wilson 1987), and *Vintana sertichi* (9 kg; Krause et al. 2014), were all large enough to prey upon dinosaur eggs and hatchlings (also see Wilson et al. 2016 for discussion of dinosaur predation by *D. vorax*). We speculate that *Eodelphis* also might have preyed upon or scavenged the hatchlings and eggs of *H. stebingeri*, given the carnivorous adaptations of its molars, in general, and the juxtaposition of MOR 739 and the lambeosaurine nests. Certainly, *Eodelphis* would have been capable of cracking eggs open with its jaws and teeth or possibly by other egg-breaking strategies employed by extant egg predators (see Bois and Mullin 2017).

### 3.6 BENDING FORCE ANALYSIS OF METATHERIAN DENTARIES

#### 3.6.1 Methods

To further constrain the feeding behavior of stagodontids and other metatherians, we quantified the biomechanical properties of the dentary in a sample of taxa. Following the methods of Therrien (2005), we modeled the horizontal ramus of the dentary as an elliptical, solid beam (i.e., modeled as a cantilever in which the articular condyle is the fulcrum), and estimated the resistance of that beam to bending forces in the mediolateral and dorsoventral axes. A hollow beam model was not used because developing this model would require quantification of the cortical bone distribution in the horizontal ramus via CT scans of all specimens, and we did not have access to such data (Biknevicius and Ruff 1992a; Therrien et al. 2016). Although hollow beam models are more accurate in determining the exact values of bending strength along the dentary, Therrien et al. (2016) demonstrated that solid beam and hollow beam models are generally consistent in their depiction of relative patterns of change in bending force along the
dentary. Because we are interested in the relative patterns of bending force among taxa, the use of solid beam models is appropriate. The second moment of area, \( I \), is a measure of the distribution of bone around a given axis (i.e., \( I_x \) = distribution of bone about the mediolateral axis; \( I_y \) = distribution of bone about the dorsoventral axis) and is used to calculate the bending force of the dentary about each axis (i.e., \( Z_x \) = bending force about the mediolateral axis; \( Z_y \) = bending force about the dorsoventral axis; see Therrien 2005 for a review). The maximum force that the horizontal ramus of the dentary can withstand at any point is calculated as a ratio of the bending force (\( Z \)) over the distance separating the point of interest from the articular condyle (\( L \); the fulcrum). Relative bending force is calculated as a ratio of the bending force about the mediolateral axis (\( Z_x \)) over the bending force about the dorsoventral axis (\( Z_y \)) and reflects the overall shape of the horizontal ramus (Therrien 2005; Therrien et al. 2016).

We also assumed that the material properties of the dentary bone do not vary among these taxa and individuals (Biknevicius and Ruff 1992a; Therrien 2005; Therrien et al. 2016) and that the dentary is principally loaded in bending (Hylander 1979, 1981, 1984, 1985). We ignored other loading types at the symphyseal region because this region is more complex than the rest of the dentary, undergoes various types of stresses during the chewing cycle (Hylander 1981, 1984, 1985; Hylander et al. 1998; Ravosa and Hogue 2004), and is not preserved in most fossil specimens in our sample. As such, a dorsoventrally deep horizontal ramus is interpreted as better able to withstand forces in the dorsoventral axis, which largely result from bite forces exerted on food (Hylander 1979; Therrien 2005). A mediolaterally wide horizontal ramus is interpreted as better able to withstand forces in the mediolateral axis, which are associated with transverse or torsional stresses produced by struggling prey or feeding on hard-object foods (Hylander 1979; Therrien 2005). The ratio of the bending force in the dorsoventral direction to the bending force
in the mediolateral direction is a product of the cross-sectional shape of the dentary, and in large part reflects adaptation to load directions related to feeding habits (Biknevicius and Ruff 1992a; Therrien 2005; Therrien et al. 2016). Additionally, bending force of the dentary tends to scale with body size, such that larger bodied animals can generally withstand higher bending forces than smaller bodied animals can (Therrien 2005).

To construct force profiles of the dentaries, we took digital images of specimens using a Canon 5DS camera (Canon 100 mm Macro EF IS USM Lens), mounted on a high precision P-51 Cam-Lift system (Dun, Inc.), in standardized dorsal and lateral views with the same scale bar. We took measurements on those images and on published figures of specimens using ImageJ (Rasband 1997–2016). We measured dorsoventral depth and mediolateral width at six positions along the horizontal ramus, following the interdental gap scheme of Wilson et al. (2016; Fig. 3.3); this scheme was adapted from Therrien (2005) for use on metatherians, which in most cases have a different dental formula than eutherians. To gauge the effect of our approach versus direct measurement of the specimen, we compared measurements taken on a digital image of a cast (D. coyi TMP 84.64.1, cataloged as UCMP 152395) to those taken directly on the cast with digital calipers. The difference in measurements was minimal and did not affect the bending strength estimates for this specimen. To minimize error that could be introduced into our measurements by using published figures, we verified the orientation of specimens in the figures; if the orientation was oblique to the dorsal or lateral view, we did not include the image in our dataset. For stereopair images (seven included specimens), we selected a dorsal view image, if one had little to no lateral rotation. The resulting dataset is based on 22 specimens representing at least four extinct families (stagodontids, alphaodontids, pediomyids, deltatheriids), two extant families (didelphids, dasyurids), eight genera, and at least 11 species of metatherians, including the
measurements of *D. vorax*, *Didelphis virginiana*, and *Sarcophilus harrisii* from Wilson et al. (2016; measurements in that study were taken on actual specimens using digital calipers). See 3.13: Appendix 2 for details of the dataset (Fox 1979, 1981; Montellano 1988; Cifelli 1993; Fox and Naylor 2006; Fox et al. 2007; Scott and Fox 2015; Wilson et al. 2016) and 3.13: Appendix 3 for bending strength calculations.

Whereas relative bending forces (dorsoventral vs. mediolateral) can be assessed without a known distance of the interdental gap position to the articular condyle (Therrien 2005), estimates of the bending forces in a specific axis (dorsoventral or mediolateral) require that measurement. Because of the incomplete nature of the fossil record, a relatively complete dentary with the condyle is rarely preserved. Among specimens of *Eodelphis* used in this study, only the holotype of *E. browni* (AMNH 14169) preserves the articular condyle. Thus, for many specimens we were only able to estimate relative bending forces. To provide provisional estimates of the dorsoventral and mediolateral bending forces of the dentary of *E. cutleri* (a taxon critical to this study), we modeled the condyle position of the most complete *E. cutleri* specimen in this study (NHMUK M11532), first using a specimen of *E. browni* with an articular condyle as the model and second using a specimen of *D. vorax* with a condyle. The modeled condyle position of *E. cutleri* was calculated as follows:

\[
L_{E.cutleri,i} = \frac{\text{tooth row length}_{E.cutleri} \times L_{E.browni or D.vorax}}{\text{tooth row length}_{E.browni or D.vorax}},
\]

where \(L_{E.cutleri}\) is the modeled distance of the articular condyle to each interdental gap for *E. cutleri*, \(i\) represents the interdental gap position, \(L_{E.browni or D.vorax}\) is the actual distance of the articular condyle to that interdental gap in *E. browni* or *D. vorax*, and tooth row length is length from the posterior part of the canine alveolus to the distal end of the m4. The resulting distances
from both models were then used in calculations, which constrain the dorsoventral and mediolateral bending forces for \textit{E. cutleri}.

3.6.2 Data Availability Statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

3.6.3 Results

The dorsoventral force profiles (Zx/L; Fig. 3.4a, d) of the metatherian dentaries studied here are characterized as either: (1) a relatively steep, positive slope posteriorly (\textit{E. browni} and \textit{E. cutleri}), in which bending force is low at the canine and increases toward the molars; (2) a positive slope posteriorly (\textit{D. vorax} and \textit{Didelphis virginiana}), in which bending force is not as low at the canine and gently increases toward the molars; or (3) an initially negative slope at the anterior region of the dentary that becomes positive posteriorly towards a maximum value behind m4 (\textit{D. coyi} and \textit{Sarcophilus harrisii}). These results are mostly consistent with the predictions that the dentary typically encounters the greatest forces, in either the dorsoventral or mediolateral directions, at the posteriormost position (post m4) due to the mechanical advantage of short output levers (i.e., the distance to the fulcrum, which is the articular condyle), and that larger bodied taxa can generate and withstand higher dorsoventral bending forces (i.e., bite forces) than smaller bodied taxa can (Fig. 3.4a, d). For example, dorsoventral bending force values increase with body size from \textit{Alphadon halleyi} to \textit{E. browni} to \textit{Sarcophilus harrisii}. 
The mediolateral force profiles (Zy/L; Fig. 3.4b, e) of the studied metatherian dentaries are characterized as either: (1) a relatively steep, positive slope posteriorly (*E. browni*), in which bending force of the dentary is low at the canine and increases toward the molars; (2) an initially negative slope at the anterior region of the dentary that becomes positive posteriorly toward a maximum value behind m4 (*D. coyi* and *E. cutleri*); or (3) a relatively flat profile (*D. vorax* and *Didelphis virginiana*) or a broad U-shaped curve (*Sarcophilus harrisii*), in which bending force of the dentary at the canine is subequal or greater than values at the molars. The relatively high Zy/L values anteriorly on the dentary of *E. cutleri* indicate that it was better able to withstand mediolateral forces at the canine (i.e., from torsional stresses or hard-object feeding) than the dentary of *E. browni* was (Fig. 3.4b).

The relative bending force (Zx/Zy) profiles (Figs. 3.4c, f and 3.5; 3.13: Appendix 4) are characterized as either: (1) a relatively steep, positive slope posteriorly, in which relative bending force at the molars is more than double the values at the anterior region of the dentary (*Turgidodon praesagus*, *Nanocuris improvida*, and *Didelphis virginiana*); a pattern that implies a sharp increase posteriorly in the capability of these dentaries to withstand dorsoventral loading (as reflected by horizontal ramus depth) relative to mediolateral loading (as reflected by horizontal ramus width); (2) a broad inverted U-shaped curve, in which relative bending force at the canine is subequal to 1.00, considerably less than values at the molars (*Kokopellia juddi*, *D. vorax*, *D. coyi*, *Sarcophilus harrisii*, and *E. cutleri*); a pattern that indicates the capability of some of these dentaries to withstand greater dorsoventral loading anteriorly (e.g., at the level of the crushing premolars and anterior molars) than would be expected by lever mechanics alone; or (3) a relatively flat line in which relative bending force at anterior region of the dentary is subequal to the values posteriorly at the molars (*E. browni*); a pattern that implies a consistent
ratio of dorsoventral to mediolateral force along the horizontal ramus length, with the advantage in the dorsoventral direction. Note that the profiles of one specimen of *D. coyi* (Fig. 3.4c) and one specimen of *D. vorax* (3.13: Appendix 4) differ from that of all other specimens of *Didelphodon* (Fig. 3.5b and 3.13: Appendix 4); the relative bending force of the dentary at the canine for these specimens is greater than 1.00, rather than less than 1.00. This discrepancy implies that these individuals were not as well adapted for resisting mediolateral loads anteriorly (e.g., hard-object feeding) as other individuals of *Didelphodon* that we sampled—a result that might reflect ontogenetic variation in feeding behavior (Peng et al. 2017).

*?Protolambda clemensi* and *Alphadon halleyi* are represented by incomplete dentaries from which relative bending force at the canine could not be calculated. *Alphadon halleyi* has a broad, inverted U-shaped profile from the premolars to the molars, like that of *Didelphodon* (Fig. 3.4c, f). *?Protolambda clemensi* is unique in our sample in having a gentle negative slope posteriorly (i.e., *Zx*/*Zy* of the premolars > *Zx*/*Zy* of the molars), implying that the dentary becomes relatively wider posteriorly. Nevertheless, because both *Alphadon halleyi* and *?Protolambda clemensi* have relative bending force values greater than 1.00, each had a greater capacity to withstand dorsoventral loads, rather than mediolateral loads, along the dentary (Fig. 3.5a).

As for the specimens of *Eodelphis* described in this paper, the relative force profile of MOR 739 (*Eodelphis cf. E. browni*, see above in Systematic Paleontology) differs from all other specimens of *Eodelphis* and other metatherian taxa included in this study (Fig. 3.5b). It forms a broad U-shaped curve, in which the relative bending force value at the p2–p3 position is high (i.e., the dentary is over 2.5 times deeper than it is wide at this point in the dentary). The relative bending force values along the entire horizontal ramus are between 2.00 and 2.50—greater than
the relative bending force values of other *Eodelphis* specimens (<2.00). We interpret these anomalously high relative bending force values as being skewed toward dorsoventral loadings as a result of the post-mortem lateral compression experienced by MOR 739 (see Systematic Paleontology). For TMDC TA2008.3.2, despite gross morphological similarity to *E. browni*, it has a relative force profile of the dentary that is better aligned with that of *E. cutleri* (Figs. 3.4c and 3.5b). It forms a broad inverted U-shaped curve, in which relative bending force at the anterior region of the dentary is less than 1.00 (considerably lower than at the molars), as in *Didelphodon* and *E. cutleri*. Thus, anteriorly the dentary was better adapted to withstand forces in the mediolateral direction than in the dorsoventral direction. This profile could reflect intraspecific or ontogenetic variation, possibly resulting from a morphogenetic response to a more abrasive diet (recall the heavily worn m1–3; see Systematic Paleontology: Remarks).

### 3.7 THE EVOLUTION OF DUROPHAGY IN THE STAGODONTIDAE

In this study, we examined dentary shape with the goal of inferring function and feeding behavior in extinct metatherians, particularly stagodontids. Whereas considerable research has shown how tooth shape correlates with function and diet in extant mammals (e.g., see review by Evans 2013), the link between dentary shape, function, and diet has not been as well established. In fact, Ross and Iriarte-Diaz (2014) remarked that most studies have not shown a clear relationship between dentary morphology and diet (e.g., Brown 1997; Daeling and Grine 2006; Wright et al. 2009); notably, those studies were mainly focused on primates, a group in which the form-function relationship might be complicated by the transverse movement permitted at the jaw joint. In contrast, carnivorans, which have little to no transverse movement at their jaw joint, have a stronger correlation between dentary morphology and feeding behavior (Therrien 2005;
Therrien et al. 2016). The extant marsupials in our study, as well as the Cretaceous metatherians that are known by more complete fossils (e.g., *Didelphodon* and *Eodelphis*), have a jaw articulation that similarly did not permit much transverse movement (spool-shaped articular condyle that fits within a trough-like glenoid fossa). As such, we argue that inferring function and feeding behavior from the dentary morphology of these taxa should be tenable. Indeed, the bending force profiles of the two extant taxa in our sample, *Sarcophilus* and *Didelphis*, are consistent with their known feeding ecologies. Thus, we used the results of our bending force analysis to interpret functional and paleoecological changes within stagodontids and across Cretaceous metatherians.

3.7.1 Mediolateral Buttressing of the Dentary

The mediolateral force profile of *E. cutleri* is intermediate between that of *E. browni* and *D. vorax*. The mediolateral force values of the dentary of *E. browni* indicate that it was not able to withstand large torsional loads at the canine (Fig. 3.4b), such as those induced by struggling prey or by cracking hard objects; instead, it was better suited for dorsoventral loads, such as those incurred from large bite forces (Fig. 3.4c). Thus, *E. browni* likely preyed on insects and small vertebrates and was not capable of hard-object feeding (e.g., molluscs and bone). In contrast, the anterior region of the dentary of *E. cutleri* is mediolaterally buttressed (Fig. 3.4b). Although the associated mediolateral bending force values are less than those of *D. vorax*, they are subequal to those of *D. coyi* at both the canine and p2–p3 positions (the profiles then diverge from each other posteriorly). It follows that the dentary of *E. cutleri* could have withstood relatively large torsional loads anteriorly, but critically it lacked the mediolateral buttressing more posteriorly to withstand similar loads at the p3 crushing locus and the molars. In contrast,
both species of *Didelphodon* possessed this mediolateral buttressing posteriorly, suggesting a greater capacity for durophagous diets as compared to *E. cutleri*.

3.7.2 Dorsoventral Buttressing of the Dentary

The dorsoventral bending force values of the four stagodontid taxa included in this study are similar posteriorly (post m4 position) but differ anteriorly (Fig. 3.4a). In *E. browni*, which serves as a baseline, values are low anteriorly and steadily increase posteriorly as bite forces increase with decreasing distance to the fulcrum (i.e., the out lever becomes shorter). In comparison, the dorsoventral force profiles of *E. cutleri*, *D. coyi*, and *D. vorax* are increasingly shallower (in that order), reflecting increasingly greater bending force values at the anterior region of the dentary. This dorsoventral buttressing in the dentary of *E. cutleri* extends from the canine to the p2–p3 position; in *D. coyi*, it extends from the canine to the p3–m1 position; and in *D. vorax*, it extends the length of the dentary (canine to post m4 position) and the values are greater than in all other taxa. This pattern of dorsoventral buttressing implies that *E. cutleri* could have generated and withstood greater bite forces in the anterior region of the dentary than what *E. browni* could have, but less than what either species of *Didelphodon* could have. In *D. coyi*, the sharp uptick in dorsoventral bending force values at the p3–m1 position (and continuing more posteriorly in *D. vorax*) represents buttressing around the crushing locus, a feature that appears to be critical in hard-object feeding (Biknevicius and Ruff 1992a; Therrien 2005: figures 6 and 7). Eodelphis cutleri lacks this degree of dorsoventral buttressing, despite having a p3 that appears well suited for crushing (i.e., large, bulbous).

Thus, the results of our bending strength analysis support the hypothesis that *E. cutleri* was better suited for durophagy than *E. browni*, but less so than either species of *Didelphodon*.
In Didelphodon, the dentary was buttressed anteriorly and at the crushing locus to withstand the high torsional stresses and the high bite forces involved in hard-object feeding. Hyaenids and Sarcophilus harrisi similarly exhibit both dorsoventral and mediolateral buttressing, suggesting that buttressing both axes might be required for mammals that consume a high percentage of hard-object foods (Therrien 2005: figure 7). In turn, the lack of buttressing at the crushing locus (and posteriorly) of E. cutleri implies that its capacity for durophagy was less than that of Didelphodon, an interpretation that is consistent with other morphological differences between these taxa; for example, the cross-sectional shape of the canine of E. cutleri is not as round as that of Didelphodon, indicating that it would not have been as resistant to torsional stresses incurred when deep bites contacted bone or when adjacent premolars crushed hard objects (Wilson et al. 2016; Fig. 3.6).

3.7.3 Vertical Position of the Articular Condyle of the Dentary

The relative vertical position (i.e., elevation) of the articular condyle differs between Eodelphis and Didelphodon, and likely affected their relative durophagous capabilities. The articular condyle of Eodelphis is only preserved in anatomical position in the holotype of E. browni (AMNH 14169), where the vertical position of the articular condyle is dorsal to the level of the tooth row. In Didelphodon, it is more ventral, closer to the level of the tooth row. Such a difference in condyle position could impact the mechanical advantage of dentary rotation around a mediolaterally oriented axis (i.e., the pitch; Grossnickle 2017; Grossnickle pers. comm. 2017, 2018). Specifically, if we assume that (i) the axis of pitch rotation of the dentary passed through or near the articular condyles, (ii) the typical bite point (i.e., out-lever distance) does not differ significantly, and (iii) the relative coronoid height did not change substantially between these
taxa, then the relatively lower articular condyle of *Didelphodon* would have moved the axis of rotation farther from the force vector of the temporalis muscle—most likely the largest and most powerful masticatory muscle of these mammals (Turnbull 1970)—and would have thus increased the length of the moment arm of the temporalis muscle and enabled the temporalis to generate more force during pitch (Maynard Smith and Savage 1959). Such mechanical advantage would have been beneficial for crushing hard objects, especially at more anterior regions of the dentary.

The vertical position of the articular condyle also modifies the effect of gape angle on bite force. Large gape angles increase the stretch of jaw muscles, and thereby decrease bite forces generated (Herring and Herring 1974; Lindauer et al. 1993; Turkawski and van Eijden 2001; Dumont and Herrel 2003; Santana 2016). However, a more ventral position of the articular condyle of the dentary would effectively result in a lower vertical position of the zygomatic arch and the pterygoid of the cranium, where the superficial masseter and the medial pterygoid muscles originate, respectively. It follows that those muscles, which insert on the angular process of the dentary, would experience less stretch during wide gapes, and, thus, associated bite forces would be less diminished (as long as the position of the angular process is unchanged; Herring and Herring 1974). Indeed, extant carnivores, which often require a wide gape for consumption of large prey, tend to have a vertically lower articular condyle and small angular process (Maynard Smith and Savage 1959; Grossnickle and Polly 2013). Accordingly, we infer that the more ventral position of the articular condyle of *Didelphodon* would have permitted it to maintain higher bite forces at wide gape angles to consume bigger, harder food items than *Eodelphis* could have.
3.7.4 Evolutionary Scenarios

Taken together, we propose two possible scenarios for the evolution of durophagy within the Stagodontidae (Fig. 3.6). If the sister-taxon relationship of Didelphodon and Eodelphis is valid (Scenario I), then our results would imply that a suite of morphological changes associated with durophagy evolved twice within stagodontids, once in E. cutleri and once in the most recent common ancestor of D. coyi and D. vorax (we exclude D. padanicus from the discussion because it was not included in our analyses). These changes would have included (1) development of an enlarged, inflated ultimate premolar (p3) for crushing; (2) the relative reduction of horizontal ramus length (manifest as crowded premolars; Clemens 1966), which resulted in increased mechanical advantage via shorter out levers; (3) mediolateral buttressing of the anterior region of the dentary to withstand greater torsional stresses; and (4) dorsoventral buttressing of the anterior region of the dentary to withstand greater bite forces anteriorly. Subsequently, the most recent common ancestor of D. coyi and D. vorax would have also evolved features 1–4 as well as three other features related to durophagy: (5) dorsoventral buttressing of the dentary posterior to premolars to withstand greater bite forces associated with the crushing locus (Clemens 1966; Therrien 2005; Wilson et al. 2016); (6) rounded cross-sectional shape of the canines for resisting stresses incurred from deep bites that contact bone or from adjacent premolars crushing objects (Wilson et al. 2016); and (7) a lower vertical position of the articular condyle to generate greater force during pitch rotation of the dentary. Scenario II is a more parsimonious alternative. Under this scenario, features 1–4 would have evolved only once in the most recent common ancestor of E. cutleri and Didelphodon; however, it would also imply that Eodelphis is paraphyletic, a topology that has not previously been supported by species-level cladistic analyses (Williamson et al. 2012, 2014). Features 5–7 would have then evolved in the most recent common ancestor of
**D. coyi** and **D. vorax**, as in Scenario I. Additional morphological data are needed to resolve the phylogenetic relationships among species of *Eodelphis* and *Didelphodon* (as well as *Fumodelphodon* and *Hoodoootherium*) and to further test these scenarios for the evolution of durophagy within the Stagodontidae.

### 3.8 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we described two new dentary specimens of *Eodelphis*, and applied beam theory to a sample of 22 metatherian dentaries, an oft-neglected source of morphological data. The resulting bending strength profiles of the dentaries enabled us to investigate variation in the biomechanical capabilities of stagodontids and other metatherians. Our results point to two possible scenarios for the evolution of durophagy in stagodontids, one which requires considerable parallel evolutionary change within *Eodelphis* and *Didelphodon*, and the other which requires fewer evolutionary changes but a reconsideration of the monophyly of *Eodelphis*. Additional data and analyses will be required to discriminate between these two scenarios.

More broadly, our study highlights bending strength analysis of dentaries as a tool for constraining dietary inferences of extinct mammals independent of dental shape analyses (e.g., Evans 2013). Results from its novel application to a broad sample of fossil metatherians echo previous studies that have shown Mesozoic mammals were more ecomorphologically diverse than previously thought (e.g., Luo 2007; Wilson et al. 2012; Grossnickle and Polly 2013; Chen and Wilson 2015; Grossnickle and Newham 2016; Wilson et al. 2016). By way of example, the relative force profile of the mid-Cretaceous basal metatherian *Kokopellia juddi* is unexpectedly similar to those of taxa with durophagous capabilities (*Didelphodon, E, cutleri*, and *Sarcophilus harrisii*; Fig. 3.5a; Wilson et al. 2016). The relative force profile of the Campanian pediomyid
?Protolambda clemensi, in contrast, is unlike all other metatherian taxa included in this study—suggesting that posterior region of the dentary, rather than the anterior region, is better suited to withstand mediolateral loads. Furthermore, the relative force profile of the Lancian deltatheridiid Nanocuris improvida implies that this taxon was able to withstand high torsional stresses at the symphysis—such as those induced by struggling prey—corroborating previous interpretations of its carnivorous lifestyle (Fox et al. 2007; Wilson and Riedel 2010). In sum, this study has shed light on a broad range of morphologies of the dentary among Cretaceous metatherians that at minimum hint at a correspondingly broad range of biomechanical capabilities and feeding ecologies among these taxa, and that further study is merited.
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Figure 3.1 Map of *Eodelphis* fossil localities in western United States and Canada. Circles for *E. cutleri*, squares for *E. browni*, the star for both *E. cutleri* and *E. browni*, and triangles for
*Eodelphis* sp. 1 = Fossil Forest Quarry 1, Kirtland Fm., New Mexico; 2 = OMNH V5, Kaiparowits Fm., Utah; 3 = Fales Rocks/Barwin Quarry, Mesaverde Fm., Wyoming; 4 = Top Cat Quarry, Judith River Fm., Montana; 5 = Hidden Valley Quarry, Judith River Fm., Montana; 6 = Clambank Hollow Quarry, Judith River Fm., Montana; 7 = Coke’s Microsite (UCMP V-82165), Makela’s French 1 (UCMP V-77083), Put’s Plunder (UCMP V-81234), and Makela’s French 2 (UCMP V-77084), Judith River Fm., Montana; 8 = Hoodoo Site (RTMP L1126), Oldman Fm., Alberta; 9 = Pinhorn Range #1 (RTMP L1125), Foremost Fm., Alberta; 10 = Verdigris Coulee (UAMR-6 and UA-MR-8), Milk River Fm., Alberta; 11 = Manyberries, Oldman Fm., Alberta; 12 = Scabby Butte Site 3, St. Mary Fm., Alberta; 13 = Dinosaur Provincial Park, Oldman Fm., Alberta; 14 = Sand Creek (middle fork, AMNH), Dinosaur Park Fm., Alberta; 15 = 6.4 m below mouth of Berry Creek (Little Sand Creek), Oldman Fm., Alberta; 16 = Onetree Creek, Oldman Fm., Alberta; 17 = 7 miles northwest of Rumsey, Edmonton Group, Alberta. Locality marker colors correspond to NALMA: black = Aquilan, white = Judithian, and gray = “Edmontonian” (Fox 1971; Sahni 1972; Rigby and Wolberg 1987; Eaton and Cifelli 1988; Fiorillo 1989; Montellano 1992; Peng and Russell 2001; DeMar and Breithaupt 2006; Scott and Fox 2015)
Figure 3.2 MOR 739, an incomplete right dentary of *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni* (a–c1–2) TMDC TA2008.3.2, an incomplete right dentary of *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni*, specimen (d1–2–4). a, e buccal views; b, f lingual views; c1–2, d1–2 stereo occlusal views
Figure 3.3 Dorsoventral (a) and mediolateral (b) measurement scheme for bending strength analysis of metatherians dentaries following Therrien (2005) and Wilson et al. (2016). Measurements (thick lines) were taken at interdental gaps (canine, p2-p3, p3-m1, m1-m2, m2-m3, post m4) and perpendicular to the central axis of the dentary (gray line), except for the
canine. The measurement at the canine was taken from the posterolingual aspect of the symphysis to the posterobuccal margin of the canine alveolus (Therrien 2005; Therrien et al. 2016). Specimen figured is UWBM 6640 (*Didelphis virginiana*)
Figure 3.4 Dorsoventral (a, d), mediolateral (b, e), and relative (c, f) bending force profiles of metatherian dentaries. Dentary cross-sections that correspond with relative bending force values are plotted in c and f (gray ovals with dorsoventral and mediolateral axes).

a–c, stagodontid force profiles (black squares = Didelphodon vorax UWBM 102139; black triangles = Didelphodon coyi TMP 84.64.1; dark gray squares = Eodelphis browni AMNH14169; dark gray triangles = Eodelphis cutleri NHMUK M11532 in c). In a and b, plotted values for E. cutleri are based on the E. browni model (see Methods section; the D. vorax model resulted in a similar profile).

d–f, other metatherian force profiles (light gray circles = extant Sarcophilus harrisii UWBM 20671; light gray diamonds = extant Didelphis virginiana UWBM 12555; white stars = Alphadon halleyi MOR 250). Zx = section modulus or bending strength about the mediolateral axis; Zy = section modulus or bending strength about the dorsoventral axis; L = distance from the articular condyle (fulcrum of the cantilever) to each studied interdental gap (Therrien 2005)
**Figure 3.5** Relative bending force profiles of additional metatherian dentaries. **a** non-stagodontid metatherians (gray circles = *Nanocuris improvida* RSM P2523.260; light gray diamonds = *Kokopellia juddi* OMNH 26361; white stars = *Turgidodon praesagus* UALVP 670; black Xs = *Protolambda clemensi* UALVP 14810) and **b** stagodontids (black triangles = *Didelphodon coyI* TMP90.12.29; gray squares = *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni* TMDC TA2008.3.2; gray triangles = *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni* MOR 739). Dentary cross-sections that correspond with relative bending force values are plotted in **a** and **b** (gray ovals with dorsoventral and mediolateral axes).
Figure 3.6 Possible scenarios for the evolution of durophagy in the Stagodontidae. In Scenario I, the evolution of durophagy traits is mapped, using parsimony, on the accepted tree of stagodontids (Clemens 1966; Fox and Naylor 1986, 2006; Scott and Fox 2015). In Scenario II, the evolution of durophagy traits is mapped on a tree that was constructed to minimize independent the evolution of these traits in stagodontids. The morphological traits are: 1 = an
enlarged, convex ultimate premolar (p3) for crushing; 2 = reduced length of the horizontal ramus (manifest as crowded premolars), resulting in increased mechanical advantage via shorter out levers; 3 = mediolateral buttressing of the anterior region of the dentary capable of withstanding greater torsional stresses; 4 = dorsoventral buttressing of the anterior region of the dentary capable of withstanding greater bite forces anteriorly; 5 = dorsoventral buttressing of the dentary posterior to premolars capable of withstanding greater bite forces associated with the crushing locus; 6 = rounded cross-sectional shape of the canines capable of withstanding stresses incurred from deep bites that contact hard objects such as bone or from adjacent premolars crushing objects; and 7 = lower position of the articular condyle relative to the tooth row. Gray bars represent the temporal range of fossil occurrences for each taxon. Black stars represent the evolution of the numbered morphological traits.
Table 3.1 Measurements of lower premolar alveoli of *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni*. Abbreviations: ant = anterior alveolus; L = length; post = posterior alveolus; W = width. All measurements in millimeters (mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>p1 alveoli</th>
<th>p2 alveoli</th>
<th>p3 alveoli</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ant. post.</td>
<td>ant. post.</td>
<td>ant. post.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOR 739</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TMDC TA2008.3.2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2 Measurements of lower molars of *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni*. Abbreviations: DW = distal width; L = length; MW = mesial width. All measurements in millimeters (mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m1</th>
<th>m2</th>
<th>m3</th>
<th>m4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOR 739</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>6.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TMDC TA2008.3.2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.13 APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Computed Tomography of *Eodelphis* Specimens

Computed tomography slices of *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni* specimens (a) MOR 739 and (b) TMDC TA2008.3.2. The red lines outline the alveoli shape of p2 and p3 in both specimens.
Appendix 2: Dataset for Bending Strength Analysis

List of publications, with corresponding specimens and specimen numbers, from which published photographs or figures were used to take measurements for bending force calculations of metatherian dentaries. Measurements used for mandibular bending force calculations were taken directly from Wilson et al. 2016. Daggers denoted extinct species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Specimen Number</th>
<th>Publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eodelphis browni†</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>AMNH 14169</td>
<td>Scott and Fox 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eodelphis browni†</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>ROM 11715</td>
<td>Fox 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eodelphis cf. E.browni†</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>UALVP 7011</td>
<td>Scott and Fox 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eodelphis cutleri†</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>ROM 701</td>
<td>Fox 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eodelphis cutleri†</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>NHMUK M11532</td>
<td>Scott and Fox 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphodon vorax†</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>UWBM 102139</td>
<td>Wilson et al. 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphodon vorax†</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>UCMP 159909</td>
<td>Wilson et al. 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphodon coyi†</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>TMP 91.161.1</td>
<td>Fox and Naylor 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphodon coyi†</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>TMP 90.12.29</td>
<td>Fox and Naylor 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?Protolambda clemensi†</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>UALVP 14810</td>
<td>Fox 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokopellia juddi†</td>
<td>incertae sedis</td>
<td>OMNH 26361</td>
<td>Cifelli 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanocuris improvida†</td>
<td>Deltatheridiidae</td>
<td>RSM P2523.260</td>
<td>Fox et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon halleyi†</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>MOR 250</td>
<td>Montellano 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon praesagus†</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>UALVP 670</td>
<td>Fox 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcophilus harrisii</td>
<td>Dasyuridae</td>
<td>UWBM 20671</td>
<td>Wilson et al. 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis virginiana</td>
<td>Didelphidae</td>
<td>UWBM 12555</td>
<td>Wilson et al. 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3: Bending Strength Calculations

Relative bending force calculations of the dentaries of *Eodelphis* and other taxa based on the equations of Therrien (2005). DV = dorsoventral, Ix = distribution of bone about the labiolingual axis (cm$^4$), Iy = distribution of bone about the dorsoventral axis (cm$^4$), ML = mediolateral, Zx = bending strength in the dorsoventral plane (or about the labiolingual axis, in cm$^3$), and Zy = bending strength in the labiolingual plane (or about the dorsoventral axis, in cm$^3$). All measurements are reported in cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Tooth position</th>
<th>Distance to condyle (L)</th>
<th>DV radius (a)</th>
<th>ML radius (b)</th>
<th>Ix</th>
<th>Zx</th>
<th>DV bending force (Zx/L)</th>
<th>Iy</th>
<th>Zy</th>
<th>ML bending force (Zy/L)</th>
<th>Relative force (Zx/Zy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Nanocuris improvida</em>†</td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSM P2523.260</td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kokopellia juddi</em>†</td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMNH 26361</td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>?Protolambda clemensi</em>†</td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UALVP 14810</td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alphadon halleyi†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR 250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-2.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-2.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-2.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-2.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turgidodon praesagus†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UALVP 670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eodelphis browni†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH 14169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-2.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eodelphis browni†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM 11715</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-2.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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|                | m2-m3 | post m4 | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 |
|----------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| **Eodelphis cf. E. browni†** UALVP 7011 | 0.39  | 0.42  | 0.47  | 0.37  | 0.40  | 0.46  | 0.42  | 0.40 | 0.42  | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.54  | 0.57  |       |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |
| **Eodelphis cf. E. browni†** MOR 739    | 0.42  | 0.42  | 0.42  | 0.40  | 0.45  | 0.54  | 0.57  | 0.57 | 0.57  | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57  | 0.57  |       |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |
| **Eodelphis cf. E. browni†** TMDC TA2008.3.2 | 0.23  | 0.32  | 0.34  | 0.39  | 0.39  | 0.41  | 0.45  | 0.45 | 0.45  | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45  | 0.45  |       |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |
| **Eodelphis cutleri†** UALVP 671         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |
| **Eodelphis cutleri†** UALVP 671         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>post m4</th>
<th>–</th>
<th>0.35</th>
<th>0.22</th>
<th>0.01</th>
<th>0.02</th>
<th>–</th>
<th>0.00</th>
<th>0.01</th>
<th>–</th>
<th>1.60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eodelphis cutleri†</strong></td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eodelphis cutleri†</strong></td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eodelphis cutleri†</strong></td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-2.14</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-2.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.25</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-2.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.24</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eodelphis cutleri†</strong></td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-2.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-2.24</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-2.17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.38</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.90</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.92</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Tooth Type</td>
<td>Tooth Size 1</td>
<td>Tooth Size 2</td>
<td>Tooth Size 3</td>
<td>Tooth Size 4</td>
<td>Tooth Size 5</td>
<td>Tooth Size 6</td>
<td>Tooth Size 7</td>
<td>Tooth Size 8</td>
<td>Tooth Size 9</td>
<td>Tooth Size 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eodelphis cf. E. cutleri†</em></td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP 2002.012.0007</td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphodon vorax†</em></td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCMI 2002.113.1</td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphodon vorax†</em></td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWBM 102139</td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphodon vorax†</em></td>
<td>canine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCMP 159909</td>
<td>p2-p3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<p>| Species              | Tooth Type | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 | canine | p2-p3 | p3-m1 | m1-m2 | m2-m3 | post m4 |
|----------------------|------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Didelphodon coyi†    | canine     | 6.21   | 0.50  | 0.35  | 0.03  | 0.07  | -1.96  | 0.02   | 0.05  | -2.12 | 1.44  |
|                      | p2-p3      | 5.87   | 0.45  | 0.31  | 0.02  | 0.05  | -2.08  | 0.01   | 0.03  | -2.24 | 1.45  |
|                      | p3-m1      | 5.10   | 0.57  | 0.35  | 0.05  | 0.09  | -1.77  | 0.02   | 0.05  | -1.98 | 1.63  |
|                      | m1-m2      | 4.85   | 0.56  | 0.33  | 0.05  | 0.08  | -1.78  | 0.02   | 0.05  | -2.01 | 1.72  |
|                      | m2-m3      | 4.41   | 0.61  | 0.34  | 0.06  | 0.10  | -1.65  | 0.02   | 0.05  | -1.91 | 1.80  |
|                      | post m4    | 3.48   | 0.63  | 0.42  | 0.08  | 0.13  | -1.43  | 0.04   | 0.09  | -1.60 | 1.49  |
| Didelphodon coyi†    | canine     | -      | 0.31  | 0.58  | 0.01  | 0.04  | -      | 0.05   | 0.08  | -     | 0.54  |
|                      | p2-p3      | -      | 0.52  | 0.34  | 0.04  | 0.07  | -      | 0.02   | 0.05  | -     | 1.52  |
|                      | p3-m1      | -      | 0.52  | 0.34  | 0.04  | 0.07  | -      | 0.02   | 0.05  | -     | 1.55  |
|                      | m1-m2      | -      | 0.57  | 0.32  | 0.05  | 0.08  | -      | 0.01   | 0.05  | -     | 1.80  |
|                      | m2-m3      | -      | 0.58  | 0.32  | 0.05  | 0.08  | -      | 0.01   | 0.05  | -     | 1.81  |
|                      | post m4    | -      | 0.58  | 0.37  | 0.05  | 0.09  | -      | 0.02   | 0.06  | -     | 1.58  |
| Didelphodon coyi†    | canine     | -      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -      | -      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -      | -      | -      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -      | -      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -      | -      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -      | -      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -      | -      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     |
|                      | p2-p3      | -      | 0.55  | 0.34  | 0.04  | 0.08  | -      | 0.02   | 0.05  | -     | 1.59  |
|                      | p3-m1      | -      | 0.58  | 0.38  | 0.06  | 0.10  | -      | 0.03   | 0.07  | -     | 1.53  |
|                      | m1-m2      | -      | 0.63  | 0.35  | 0.07  | 0.11  | -      | 0.02   | 0.06  | -     | 1.77  |
|                      | m2-m3      | -      | 0.62  | 0.36  | 0.07  | 0.11  | -      | 0.02   | 0.06  | -     | 1.72  |
|                      | post m4    | -      | 0.66  | 0.41  | 0.09  | 0.14  | -      | 0.04   | 0.09  | -     | 1.62  |
| Sarcophilus harrisii | canine     | 9.51   | 0.96  | 0.97  | 0.67  | 0.70  | -1.13  | 0.68   | 0.70  | -1.13 | 0.99  |
| UWBM 20671           | p2-p3      | 8.42   | 1.02  | 0.47  | 0.40  | 0.39  | -1.33  | 0.09   | 0.18  | -1.67 | 2.16  |
|                      | p3-m1      | 7.57   | 1.06  | 0.44  | 0.41  | 0.39  | -1.29  | 0.07   | 0.16  | -1.67 | 2.42  |
|                      | m1-m2      | 6.57   | 1.03  | 0.48  | 0.41  | 0.40  | -1.22  | 0.09   | 0.18  | -1.56 | 2.17  |
|                      | m2-m3      | 5.48   | 1.03  | 0.57  | 0.50  | 0.48  | -1.06  | 0.15   | 0.27  | -1.31 | 1.80  |
|                      | post m4    | 4.13   | 1.20  | 0.53  | 0.72  | 0.60  | -0.84  | 0.14   | 0.26  | -1.19 | 2.28  |
| Didelphis virginiana | canine     | 9.27   | 0.57  | 0.54  | 0.08  | 0.14  | -1.82  | 0.07   | 0.13  | -1.85 | 1.06  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UWBM 12555</th>
<th>p2-p3</th>
<th>7.83</th>
<th>0.72</th>
<th>0.34</th>
<th>0.10</th>
<th>0.14</th>
<th>-1.75</th>
<th>0.02</th>
<th>0.07</th>
<th>-2.08</th>
<th>2.13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-1.71</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-2.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m1-m2</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-1.71</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m2-m3</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-2.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post m4</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 4: Bending Strength Profiles of other *Eodelphis* and *Didelphodon* Specimens

Relative bending force profiles of other (a) *Eodelphis* and (b) *Didelphodon* specimens not included in Figures 4 or 5. Squares and gray connecting lines represent *Didelphodon* specimens, circles and black connecting lines represent *E. cutleri* specimens, and triangles and black connecting lines represent *E. browni* specimens. Specimen numbers are listed in the legend. Gray circles next to the y-axis of relative bending force profiles represent cross-sections of a hypothetical mandibles and dorsoventral and mediolateral planes (represented by the gray lines inside the circles) to demonstrate how mandibular cross-sectional shapes changes with the values on the y-axis; as values increase, mandibles become taller (dorsoventral plane) than they are wide (mediolateral plane)
CHAPTER 4: DENTAL ECOMORPHOLOGY AND MACROEVOLUTIONARY PATTERNS OF LATE CRETACEOUS NORTH AMERICAN METATHERIANS
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4.2 ABSTRACT
Metatherian mammals were taxonomically rich and abundant in Late Cretaceous faunas of North America. Although much attention has been paid to metatherian taxonomy, a comprehensive, quantitative study on the ecomorphology of this clade is lacking. Here, we utilize three-dimensional dental topographic analysis to predict the diets of a large sample of metatherians to try to understand macroevolutionary patterns in dental morphology and dietary diversity. Contrary to their taxonomic diversity, our results show that dental disparity and dietary diversity did not significantly change throughout the Late Cretaceous and that most metatherians were
insectivorous. Nevertheless, we also found that metatherians occupied a wide range of dietary niches and were arguably the most dietarily diverse of any mammalian clade of the Late Cretaceous. Regarding the timing of metatherian ecomorphological diversification, our results indicate that this ecological diversification began prior to the ecological diversification of angiosperms and was more correlated in time with the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution and the mid-Cretaceous taxonomic diversification of angiosperms.

4.3 Plain Language Summary

Metatherian mammals (the group of mammals that includes living marsupials and their closest relatives) were species rich and evolutionarily successful during the Late Cretaceous (ca. 100–66 million years ago). Theoretical models and empirical data indicate taxonomic radiation is often accompanied by diversification in ecology and anatomy, but this has not been explicitly demonstrated for metatherian mammals of the Late Cretaceous. Previous studies have noted that Late Cretaceous metatherians were more ecologically diverse than previously recognized, but studies using three-dimensional anatomical data within a broad phylogenetic context are lacking. We examined the three-dimensional tooth morphology of Late Cretaceous metatherians to infer diet in these fossil taxa, quantify dental morphological diversity and disparity of these metatherians, and evaluate the resulting patterns of ecomorphological diversity and disparity through time relative to corresponding patterns of taxonomic richness. We found that dental disparity and dietary diversity did not significantly change throughout the Late Cretaceous and that most metatherians were insectivorous. Nevertheless, we also found that metatherians occupied a wide range of diets and had greater dietary diversity than contemporaneous mammal groups. Our results also indicate that this ecological diversification of metatherians began prior
to the ecological diversification of angiosperms (flowering plants) (ca. 85–80 million years ago) and was more correlated in time with the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution (ca. 125–80 million years ago) and the mid-Cretaceous taxonomic diversification of angiosperms.
4.4. INTRODUCTION

Metatherian mammals (the stem-based clade of extant marsupials and their closest relatives; e.g., Rougier et al., 1998) were evolutionarily successful during the Late Cretaceous (ca. 100–66 million years ago [Ma]). They were geographically widespread, occupying all northern landmasses and possibly some southern ones (Rougier et al., 1998; Krause, 2001; Kielan-Jaworowska et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2005; Vullo et al., 2009; Averianov et al., 2010; Williamson et al., 2014; Goin et al., 2016). Late Cretaceous metatherians were also numerically abundant, making up as much as 45% of all mammalian fossil individuals within local faunas (e.g., Cifelli, 2004; Wilson, 2014), and were taxonomically rich (Bennett et al., 2018) — at least 68 species are known worldwide from the Late Cretaceous (Williamson et al., 2014). It has been hypothesized that during the early Late Cretaceous (Albian-Cenomanian–late Santonian; 100–85 Ma), metatherians underwent a taxonomic radiation that led to at least five major lineages (Glasbiidae, Pediomyidae, Alphadontidae, Stagodontidae, and Marsupialia; Clemens, 1966; Davis, 2007; Johanson, 1996; Wilson et al., 2016; see Benson et al., 2013; Newham et al., 2014; Grossnickle and Newham, 2016; and Bennett et al., 2018 for discussion on the possible effect of fossil sampling on mammalian taxonomic diversity patterns in the Late Cretaceous). Despite theoretical models and empirical data that indicate taxonomic radiation is often accompanied by ecomorphological diversification (e.g., Rabosky and Adams, 2012; Ramírez-Barahona et al., 2016), this pattern has never been explicitly demonstrated for Late Cretaceous metatherians.

Several studies, mostly qualitative in nature and focused on individual taxa, have highlighted ecomorphological diversity among Late Cretaceous metatherians. The postcranial fossil record of these taxa is sparse, so few studies have measured their locomotor diversity and substrate use (Szalay, 1994; Szalay and Trofimov, 1996; Szalay and Sargis, 2006; DeBey and
Wilson, 2017); instead, most studies have used the abundant craniodental fossil record to reconstruct feeding ecology. For example, the highly distinctive, broad-basined, bunodont molars of *Glasbius* have prompted interpretations that it was frugivorous (e.g., Clemens, 1966, 1979); the large, bulbous premolars of *Didelphodon* and its broad-basined molars with enhanced shearing facets and robust skull morphology have led to inferences of carnivory, omnivory, and durophagy (Clemens, 1966, 1968, 1979; Fox and Naylor, 1986, 2006); and the elongated, buccolingually compressed molars of *Nanocuris* with their exaggerated postvallum-prevallid shearing crest and a reduced talonid indicate adaptation to carnivory (Fox et al., 2007; Wilson and Riedel, 2010).

Other studies have taken more quantitative approaches to investigating metatherian dental ecomorphology. Gordon (2003) used three-dimensional (3D) geometric morphometrics and shearing-crest measurements to examine dietary morphospace of 10 fossil mammal species (five metatherian species) in relation to a sample of extant mammals; she found that most metatherians and eutherians from the Lancian North American land mammal ‘age’ (NALMA; ca. 69–66 Ma; Woodburne, 2004) largely overlapped in morphospace with extant insectivores. Using 2D geometric morphometrics, Wilson (2013) quantified the morphological disparity of mammalian (including metatherian) teeth immediately before and after the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) boundary. He found that metatherians exploited a wide range of body sizes and feeding ecologies in the Lancian, but that local extinction contributed to a loss in ecological endmembers within the Hell Creek mammalian fauna. Grossnickle and Newham (2016) took a more synoptic approach by investigating dental morphological disparity through time on a global scale and found that metatherian disparity increased throughout the Late Cretaceous. Nonetheless, studies
utilizing 3D morphological data in concert with broader taxonomic sampling of Metatheria within a phylogenetic context are lacking.

Here, we quantify the ecomorphological diversity of metatherians through the Late Cretaceous, using North America’s densely sampled dental fossil record (Cifelli et al., 2004; Williamson et al., 2014). We (i) apply dental topographic analyses (e.g., Boyer, 2008; Pampush et al., 2016; López-Torres et al., 2017) to upper molars of 42 species of Late Cretaceous metatherians; (ii) map and quantify the resulting dental morphological diversity and disparity of these metatherians by time bin and taxonomic family; (iii) infer diet in these fossil taxa, by comparing their dental topographic values to those of 30 extant mammalian species with known diets; and (iv) evaluate the resulting patterns of ecomorphological diversity and disparity through time relative to corresponding patterns of taxonomic richness and to possible evolutionary drivers, such as the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution (KTR; Lloyd et al., 2008), the ecological rise of angiosperms (e.g., Wing and Boucher, 1998), and the Cretaceous-Paleogene mass extinction (e.g., Simpson, 1937).

4.5 BACKGROUND

Two hypotheses provide a framework to discuss the timing of metatherian taxonomic and ecomorphological diversification (see Grossnickle et al., 2019 for review). “The Early Rise Hypothesis,” coined by Grossnickle et al. (2019), is related to the ecological radiation of crown-group angiosperms (flowering plants), which began after the KTR (ca. 85–80 Ma). Angiosperms experienced a taxonomic radiation during the KTR (125–80 Ma; Wing and Boucher, 1998; Anderson et al., 2005; Magallón et al., 2013; Magallón et al., 2015), but the Early Rise Hypothesis is more closely linked to the post-KTR ecological (not taxonomic) rise of
angiosperms (beginning by ca. 85–80 Ma), which may have been a more critical driver of
increases in mammalian diversity (Meredith et al., 2011; Eriksson, 2016), as evidenced by
mammals (Grossnickle and Polly, 2013; Grossnickle and Newham, 2016; Chen et al., 2019),
such as multituberculates (Wilson et al., 2012), which increased both their taxonomic and
ecomorphological diversity during the late Late Cretaceous (ca. 83–66 Ma; see Grossnickle et
al., 2019 for review). Specifically, this radiation likely spurred co-evolution and diversification
of insects (Grimaldi, 1999) and provided novel food sources—such as new fruits and social
insects—for mammals. Angiosperms also evolved to provide a complex canopy structure by the
Late Cretaceous or early Paleogene (Wing and Boucher, 1998; Crifó et al., 2014), allowing for
more arboreal lifestyles among mammals (Chen et al., 2019). Thus, under the Early Rise
Hypothesis, we predict that beginning in the late Late Cretaceous metatherians increased both the
disparity (magnitude of morphological differences) of their dental morphologies and the
diversity of their diets (number of dietary categories).

Alternatively, the downstream effects of the KTR might have manifested among non-
therian mammals only (e.g., multituberculates; Wilson et al., 2012), and despite the increasing
diversity of food resources and novel evolutionary adaptations of tribosphenic molars (e.g.,
increased grinding capabilities), therians were ecomorphologically constrained until the
extinction of non-avian dinosaurs (e.g., Simpson, 1937; Van Valen and Sloan, 1977; Archibald,
1983, 2011; Stucky, 1990; Alroy, 1999; Grossnickle et al., 2019). This hypothesis, called “the
Suppression Hypothesis” (Grossnickle and Newham, 2016), is supported by evidence of sharp
increases in origination rates (Alroy, 1999), body size (Alroy, 1999; Smith et al. 2010), and
morphological disparity (Halliday and Goswami, 2016) in early Cenozoic mammalian faunas.
For this hypothesis, we predict that throughout the Late Cretaceous the disparity of dental morphologies and the diversity of dietary categories remained low and stable for metatherians.

4.6 METHODS

4.6.1 Previous methodological approaches

Diet is a critical component of an animal’s ecology and informs trophic relationships within ecosystems (e.g., Pineda-Munoz et al., 2016). Tooth shape correlates with diet (e.g., Kay, 1975; Boyer, 2008; Bunn and Ungar, 2009; Ungar, 2010; Evans, 2013), and a variety of methods have been developed to investigate this relationship. Here, we use dental topographic analysis (DTA; López-Torres et al., 2017) to quantify the shape of three-dimensional models of entire tooth crown surfaces. Our application of DTA encompasses three metrics—relief index (RFI; Ungar and M’Kirera, 2003; Boyer, 2008), Dirichlet normal energy (DNE; Bunn et al., 2011; Winchester, 2016), and orientation patch count rotated (OPCR; Evans et al., 2007; Evans and Jernvall, 2009), all of which have been shown to correlate with diet in extant mammals. Much of the research that has applied these dental topographic measures has focused on placental mammals, mainly Primates (e.g., Boyer, 2008; Boyer et al., 2010; Bunn et al., 2011; Winchester et al., 2014; Pampush et al., 2016; López-Torres et al., 2017), but also carnivorans (Evans et al., 2007; Evans and Jernvall, 2009), bats (Santana et al., 2011), rodents (Evans et al., 2007; Evans and Jernvall, 2009; Prufrock et al., 2016; Spradley, 2017), and other euarchontans (Boyer, 2008; Selig et al., 2019). Dietary interpretations of some fossil taxa, including multituberculates (Wilson et al., 2012) and meridiolestidans (Harper et al., 2018), have also been proposed using DTA. Metatherians (including marsupials) have been undersampled and understudied in DTA studies (but see Smits and Evans, 2012; Spradley, 2017; Smith, 2017); nevertheless, studies have
shown that dental topographic metrics also correlate with diet in metatherians in a way that is consistent with the patterns seen in primates and other placentals (e.g., frugivores have lower DNE values than folivores and insectivores do; Smith, 2017; Spradley, 2017).

4.6.2 Extant sampling

To provide a modern analog, we collected dental surface data for 56 upper molar specimens (and a few upper fourth premolars) representing eight taxonomic orders, 27 genera and 30 species of extant marsupials and placentals (Table 4.1; Figure 4.1). The species in our dataset were selected in order to provide diverse representation of dental morphology, diet, and phylogeny. We sampled only adult specimens and, whenever possible, both male and female specimens from each species. We tried to avoid specimens of captive individuals, but due to availability of specimens, this was unavoidable for some taxa. Any effects of captive diets on tooth morphology should be minimal because we selected teeth with little to no wear (see below). Because we are interested in the dental morphology and diet of Late Cretaceous metatherians with tribosphenic molars, extant mammals with derived dental morphology or dental formulae were not considered for our extant sample (i.e., homodont dentitions, enamel-less teeth) as we assumed these morphologies would not be informative for our fossil sample. We primarily sampled small-bodied extant mammals (all but six species are ≤ 5 kg) because most fossil metatherians in our sample were also relatively small (≤ 5 kg), thus minimizing potential biases related to differences in body sizes. Likewise, we chose not to include folivores in our extant sample because small-bodied folivorous mammals typically have extremely derived teeth (e.g., Phloeomys, the giant cloud rat) and there tends to be a lower body-size limit on folivory and almost all of the fossil metatherian taxa sampled here have been predicted to have
body sizes below this threshold (Kay, 1975, 1984). Although our study focuses on metatherian mammals, we included placental mammals in our sample to increase both the sample size and range of diets (Smith, 2017). By including placentals, we form an extant phylogenetic bracket (Witmer, 1995) around our sample of fossil metatherians. Although placentals and marsupials possess dental morphological differences, the metrics used in our DTA are homology-free and based on overall crown shape (e.g., Evans et al., 2007; Boyer, 2008; Bunn et al., 2011; Evans, 2013; Berthaume et al., 2019), so including placental mammals in our extant sample should not negatively impact our interpretations.

4.6.3 Tooth position

Some DTA studies have assessed complete post-canine tooth rows (e.g., Evans et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2012; Pineda-Munoz et al., 2016). That approach treats the post-canine tooth row as a functional unit and captures morphological differences along it that may help to more accurately determine feeding ecology (e.g., bone-cracking premolar morphology versus reduced upper molar morphology of hyenas; Figueirido et al., 2013). Nevertheless, obtaining a complete cheek tooth row for fossil taxa can be challenging—the fossil record for many extinct species included in this study does not include teeth from all post-canine tooth positions. To maximize our taxonomic sample, we chose to sample one tooth position, but we acknowledge that this choice might impact the resolution and potentially the accuracy of our results; we note this issue for individual cases where it might be relevant. Whereas most DTAs have focused on lower molars, specifically the lower second molar (m2) or penultimate lower molar (e.g., Boyer, 2008; Selig et al., 2019), we focus on the penultimate upper molar (most commonly M3 in metatherians and M2 in eutherians; Tables 4.1–4.2). We chose this tooth position because it is
heavily involved in mechanical food processing and tends to be more representative of the
general molar morphology of a taxon than are the first or last molars (Wilson, 2013). As the
penultimate molar position, the M2 of eutherians and the M3 of metatherians occupy
functionally analogous positions in the jaw (Janis, 1990; Wilson, 2013). Moreover, the M2 of
eutherians (the stem-based clade of living placentals and their closest relatives; Wible et al.,
2007) may be homologous to the M3 of metatherians (McKenna, 1975; Luckett, 1993; O’Leary
et al., 2013), despite the predominant dental-formula convention. There were two special
instances in our tooth-position sampling in which the M2 of eutherians was not sampled: those
species with a specialized carnassial pair and those species with a reduced dental formula. For
those with a specialized carnassial pair, the ultimate premolar (part of the carnassial pair) was
sampled because it is heavily involved in food processing (Van Valkenburg, 2007); in both
instances of species with a carnassial pair (Crocuta crocuta and Lynx rufus), the ultimate
premolar is also the penultimate tooth. For those species with a reduced dental formula, either
the penultimate tooth (e.g., Procyon lotor) or the only molar (e.g., Spilogale putorius) was
sampled—in both cases the M1 was sampled (Table 4.1).

For both extant and fossil taxa, we selected upper molars with as little wear as possible to
avoid artifacts or possible confounding signals in dietary interpretations caused by dental wear
(Selig et al., 2019). Although some extant mammal species have teeth in which dental wear is
important for food processing function (e.g., ungulates; Fortelius, 1985)—and it has been
hypothesized that some fossil species changed dietary habits as excessive amounts of dental wear
accumulated (e.g., stagodontid metatherians; Fox and Naylor, 1995, 2006)—we assumed that
unworn teeth would most accurately reflect the average lifetime dietary ecologies of both the
extant and fossil taxa sampled here. We did not include in our sample any extant mammal
species with teeth that have, to our knowledge, secondary wear-induced functionality. Specimens with cusps missing due to breakage were also excluded.

4.6.4 Dietary categories

Each extant species in our dataset was classified into one of six dietary categories: carnivory (carn), animal-dominated omnivory (ado), plant-dominated omnivory (pdo), frugivory (frug), insectivory (ins), or soft-insect specialists (sis) (Table 4.1). We chose to include six dietary categories because it avoids the oversimplification and loss of information that studies are subjected to when using the classic three-diet classification of carnivory, omnivory, and herbivory (Pineda-Munoz and Alroy, 2014). Following Pineda-Munoz and Alroy (2014), we classified diets of each species, with emphasis on its primary food resource. A species was classified as a specialist (i.e., non-omnivore) if one food resource makes up 50% or more of its total diet. We used online archives of diet information (e.g., EltonTraits [Wilman et al., 2014] and Mammal DIET [Kissling et al., 2014]) supplemented by the primary literature and natural history compendia (Nowak, 1999) when species-level information was extrapolated from genus-level information in the online archives (see Kissling et al., 2014; Table 4.1).

We acknowledge that our decision to use six dietary categories rather than the classic ‘carnivore-omnivore-herbivore’ trophic classification could lead to greater overlap of categories in the morphospace and less power to predict diet. Also, note that we classified the feeding behavior of some extant taxa in our sample differently than previous studies have. For example, *Nasua narica* (white-nosed coati) is known to eat insects, but it is strictly frugivorous when fruit is available (e.g., Nowak, 1999). Although some studies classified its diet as plant-dominated omnivory (Smith, 2017), we followed EltonTraits, which records its diet as 70% fruit, and
considered this taxon a frugivore. We recognize that in this and any large-scale study of mammalian feeding behaviors, decisions that are made to distill the complexities of dietary data into discrete categories have an impact on the results.

4.6.5 Fossil sampling

We sampled 71 isolated upper molars of 42 species (22 genera; six major clades) of North American Late Cretaceous (NALK) metatherians from the Western Interior region (Table 4.2). Our sample includes two stagodontids, one deltatheriid, two glasbiids, eight pediomyids, six taxa classified as incertae sedis, four herpetotheriids, and 19 alphadontids. To increase our taxonomic sampling of Cretaceous metatherians, we substituted the M2 (which tends to be morphologically very similar to the M3) for some species that did not have an available M3, and we used upper molar specimens of uncertain position (i.e., “Mx”) for some species that did not have definitive M2 or M3 specimens available (see Table 4.2 for details). Our sample includes 62% of the known species of NALK metatherians (42 of 68 known species; Case et al., 2005; Williamson et al., 2014; Cohen, 2018; Cohen et al., 2020). Some species were omitted from our sample for the lack of either a well-preserved upper molar in the fossil record or the availability of an appropriate specimen for loan; in particular, our sampling of deltatheriids and stagodontids is limited. We estimate that the absence of some of these taxa in our analyses artificially reduced the morphological disparity values and the occupied regions of the morphospace (e.g., Nanocuris has been interpreted as a specialized carnivore), especially during the pre-Aquilan and Lancian time bins (see below); whereas the absence of other taxa likely had a negligible effect on the results because their morphologies are approximated by other sampled taxa (e.g., the absence of
the pediaomyid genus *Aquiladelphis* is likely accounted for by the pediaomyid genera in our sample).

We assigned each fossil species in our sample to one (or more) of our four time bins depending on the known temporal range of each species (Williamson et al., 2014), using a range-through approach. Three of our bins are Cretaceous NALMA (Woodburne, 2004): Aquilan (ca. 86–79 Ma), Judithian (79–69 Ma), and Lancian (69–66 Ma). We binned the eight specimens from geologic units that pre-date the Aquilan NALMA, into a “pre-Aquilan” time bin (ca.126–86 Ma). Most taxa that we assigned to the pre-Aquilan time bin are from 100–86 Ma, but we also include *Atokatheridium*, which has a range of ca. 126–100 Ma. Because the “Edmontonian” NALMA is poorly characterized and not well sampled (Cifelli et al., 2004), we lumped the “Edmontonian” taxa into the Judithian bin. We recognize that these time bins are uneven in duration and that the longer duration bins could artificially inflate measures of disparity and diversity; however, we were unable to more finely and precisely bin our data due to uneven sample sizes across time bins and the lack of high-precision ages for certain geologic units.

### 4.6.6 3D data collection

Three-dimensional digital models of the sampled teeth were created using micro-computed tomography (µCT) scan data. We scanned original specimens of teeth, molds of teeth, and epoxy casts of teeth (Tables 4.1–4.2). López-Torres et al. (2017) found that OPCR values of epoxy casts tend to be higher than those from their original specimens, due to potential for artificially rougher surfaces on the casts (both DNE and RFI are more robust to this effect). Thus, we interpret OPCR results for the relatively few casts in our sample (15 of 71 specimens) with caution. Specimens were scanned using either a Bruker Skyscan 1172, Skyscan 1173, or NSI
X5000 scanner—all of which are housed on the University of Washington campuses. We also downloaded image stacks (TIFF format) of scan data for eight extant specimens (Table 4.1) from MorphoSource (morphosource.org) to bolster our modern comparative dataset (4.14: Appendix 1). For detailed information regarding scanner types and scan settings, see 4.14: Appendix 2. Molds of extant teeth were made using Coltene President Plus polyvinylsiloxane (type 2, medium consistency), and epoxy casts were collected from the UWBM, University of California Museum of Paleontology, and Sam Noble Oklahoma Museum of Natural History collections. For specimens scanned with Bruker Skyscan scanners, scan data were reconstructed using NRecon (Bruker microCT, Belgium); scans completed using the NSI X5000 were reconstructed using efX Reconstruction (North Star Imaging, Inc.). We segmented raw scan data using Avizo Lite 9.2.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). We then removed artifacts (“cleaning”), cropped, and oriented tooth models using GeoMagic Studio (3DS Systems). Specimen models were cropped to include the entire enamel cap (EEC cropping method; see Berthaume et al., 2019 for details) and oriented such that the occlusal plane is perpendicular to the Z-axis. We exported the cleaned and oriented 3D tooth models from GeoMagic Studio as PLY files. These PLY files were imported back into Avizo Lite 9.2.0, and the 3D tooth models were simplified to 20,000 faces using the Simplification Editor tool. We then used the “Remesh Surface” function to downsample the tooth models to ~10,000 faces. The remesh function was used because it reduces the chance that surfaces with extremely disparate polygon mesh face-sizes are produced during simplification (Spradley, personal comm., 2018). We then used the “Smooth Surface” function with 25 iterations and lambda = 0.6 (Spradley et al., 2017; Spradley, personal comm., 2018). Because the consistency of model creation and processing is extremely important for producing comparable DTA results (Spradley et al., 2017; Berthaume et al., 2019), we used the same workflow for the
creation of all models in this study. The resulting smoothed tooth models were saved as PLY files and used in our DTA analyses.

4.6.7 Dental topographic analyses (DTA)

We computed RFI, DNE, and OPCR for all 3D tooth models using the molaR_Batch function from the package molaR, version 4.2 (Pampush et al., 2016), in R version 3.3.3 (R Core Team, 2017). RFI is the ratio between the 3D surface area of a tooth crown and the 2D “footprint” area of a tooth (Ungar and M’Kirera, 2003). We use a modified version of this ratio in which the entire tooth crown is more accurately taken into account (Boyer, 2008). The modified RFI calculation is: 

\[
RFI = \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{A_{3D}}}{\sqrt{A_{2D}}} \right)
\]

(A3D = 3D embedded surface area of the tooth crown, A2D = 2D tooth crown footprint area in occlusal view; Boyer, 2008; López-Torres et al., 2017). DNE represents the curvature of the tooth crown by calculating the sum energy values across the entire occlusal surface (Bunn et al., 2011; Winchester et al., 2014; Winchester, 2016). OPCR measures tooth crown complexity by calculating the total number of patches, or “tools”, on the crown of a tooth. A patch is a contiguous group of pixels that face the same cardinal direction on the tooth model (Evans et al., 2007; Evans and Jernvall, 2009; Wilson et al., 2012). Parameters for each metric were set as follows: RFI—alpha = 0.15; DNE—boundary discard = “Vertex”; and OPCR—step size = 8 and minimum patch size = 3 pixels (Evans et al., 2007; Pampush et al., 2016; Smith, 2017; Spradley, 2017). We ran a second DTA with the OPCR minimum patch size = 5 pixels to minimize any “noise” that might artificially inflate values for our extant and fossil samples, which include molds and casts, respectively (Winchester, 2016; López-Torres et al., 2017).
We log-transformed our DTA data to reduce skew. We generated scatter biplots of all possible combinations of the dental metrics to visualize morphospace occupation of extant dietary groups. We then plotted our fossil metatherian DTA values within the same morphospace of the extant dataset to examine both how fossil morphospace occupation compared to extant mammal morphospace occupation and how fossil morphospace occupation changed through time. We also tested for correlation between our DTA metrics by calculating Spearman’s rho and using least-squares linear regressions.

4.6.8 Dietary inference

To quantitatively infer diet in our sample of fossil metatherians, we conducted a discriminant function analysis (DFA) using the function lda() from the package MASS (Venables and Ripley, 2002). We first used the extant comparative dataset and a leave-one-out cross validation to assess the accuracy of discriminant functions in predicting diet (see MASS package documentation for more information). We then applied this DFA to the fossil metatherian DTA data (with fossils treated as having unknown diets). In a second permutation, we conducted a DFA on the extant comparative dataset using both the DTA data and mean body mass (compiled from the primary literature) to see if we could significantly improve the discriminatory power of our model (Winchester et al., 2014). Because the resulting accuracy did not significantly improve discrimination, we only report the results from the first permutation. For fossil species that had more than one sampled specimen, we summed the posterior probabilities of each dietary category across all specimens and assigned the taxon to the dietary category with the highest sum value.
4.6.9 Dental disparity

We calculated morphological disparity in our sample of fossil metatherians as: i) intra-family disparity and ii) total disparity per time bin. We did not calculate the intra-family disparity per time bin because sample sizes were too small. All disparity calculations used mean species values of each standardized, log-transformed DTA metric. We measured disparity as both the variance of each DTA metric and the sum of variances (Ciampaglio et al., 2001) using the morphol.disparity function in the geomorph package in R (Adams et al., 2020), which calculates a simulation-based p-value for statistical comparison between groups (i.e., between families or between time bins). We generated 95% confidence intervals using a custom bootstrapping function in R with 1,000 replicates.

4.6.10 Phylogenetic signal

We tested for phylogenetic signal in the DTA results of our extant comparative dataset using a phylogenetic tree that we generated from timetree.org (Kumar et al., 2017). We calculated Blomberg’s K (Blomberg et al., 2003) and Pagel’s lambda (Pagel, 1992) using the phylosig function in the package phytools in R (Revell, 2012). We did not test for phylogenetic signal in the DTA results of our fossil taxa because the most recent species-level phylogeny that includes all of the fossil taxa in our sample (Williamson et al., 2014) is highly unresolved with a large polytomy.
4.7 RESULTS

4.7.1 Phylogenetic signal

Only OPCR shows a significant phylogenetic signal (Table 4.3); both DNE and RFI have a moderate but insignificant phylogenetic signal ($p > 0.05$ for Blomberg’s K and Pagel’s lambda for both). The detected phylogenetic signal is likely due to the inclusion in our extant dataset of many species of Didelphimorphia, which have molars of similar gross tooth morphology despite differing in dietary ecologies. Spradley (2017) also noted this gross morphological similarity among didelphimorphians molars but still found them to be informative extant analogs for inferring dietary habits of fossil taxa.

4.7.2 Dental topographic analyses

In our extant dataset, DNE values are positively correlated with both RFI and OPCR values (Table 4.4), which is consistent with the results of Spradley (2017). The dietary patterns for raw DNE data and the raw RFI data are more similar to each other than they are for the raw OPCR data (Figure 4.2; 4.14: Appendix 3). In both DNE and RFI, mean values are highest in insectivores and soft-insect specialists, which seems to be driving the correlation between these dental metrics. The other dietary categories have overlapping DNE ranges, with the exception of carnivores that have the lowest mean DNE values (Figure 4.2).

The RFI values are only correlated with the DNE values. Mean RFI is lowest in frugivores and highest in soft-insect specialists. Mean RFI generally increases with the percentage of animal material in the diet; however, we did not include folivores in our sample which are known to also have high RFI values (e.g., Boyer, 2008; Winchester, 2014).
Insectivores and soft-insect specialists have the highest mean RFI values, which is consistent with the tall, pointy cusps on their crowns (Figure 4.2).

The pattern of OPCR values is not as clear as those of the two other DTA metrics, and the ranges of values for most dietary categories overlap. Mean OPCR is lowest in carnivores, as in other studies (Figure 4.2; Evans et al., 2007; Spradley, 2017) and highest in insectivores (Bunn et al., 2011), which contrasts with most studies in which frugivores typically have the highest OPCR (e.g., Santana et al., 2010; Pineda-Munoz et al., 2017). It appears that OPCR is most useful in distinguishing carnivory from other dietary categories.

In our fossil dataset, the most densely sampled clades (alphadontids, pediomyids, and herpetotheriids) have similar mean values and ranges for all three metrics. Mean DNE values of the fossil clades are similar to soft-insect specialists, but their DNE ranges overlap with insectivores as well (Figure 4.2; 4.14: Appendix 4). Fossil clade RFI ranges are not as large as DNE or OPCR ranges, and they overlap mostly with insectivore and soft-insect specialists. However, fossil clade RFI values also slightly overlap with extant carnivores. The OPCR ranges of the fossil clades overlap with ranges of almost all of the dietary categories, except carnivores.

4.7.3 Morphospace occupation

In the bivariate scatterplots and the 3D scatterplot of the log-transformed DTA values of our extant sample, there is moderate separation between some dietary categories (Figure 4.3). Carnivores, with a combination of low DNE and OPCR values and wide range of RFI values, form a loose cluster that is mostly segregated from other groups, although some specimens overlap with plant-dominated omnivores and frugivores. Plant-dominated omnivores and animal-dominated omnivores largely overlap with each other, with intermediate values of all three dental
topography metrics—we explore the effects of this overlap among the omnivore categories on our DFA (see below). Insectivores and soft-insect specialists, with high DNE and RFI values and mid-range OPCR values, occupy similar regions of the morphospace. Frugivores largely overlap with the two omnivore categories but segregate on the basis of generally lower RFI values and some higher OPCR values. Consistent with other DTA studies (e.g., Smith, 2017), some areas of the morphospace are unoccupied, including the region with low DNE, high OPCR, and high RFI values and the region with high DNE, low OPCR, and low RFI values.

The NALK metatherians occupy a more restricted region of the morphospace than the extant sample does (Figure 4.4). Most NALK metatherian taxa have mid to high OPCR values, mid to high RFI values, and high DNE values and accordingly overlap with extant insectivores and soft-insect specialists in the morphospace. A smaller proportion plot in the morphospace occupied by plant-dominated and animal-dominated omnivores. The specimens of *Glasbius* have mid-range DNE, OPCR, and RFI values and thus fall among plant-dominated omnivores and frugivores. The two specimens of *Didelphodon vorax* plot in regions occupied by the extant animal-dominated omnivores and insectivores. *Iugomortiferum thoringtoni* and *Dakotadens morrowi* plot away from the main cluster of NALK metatherians near the edge of the omnivore-carnivore region of the morphospace.

4.7.4 Discriminant function analysis (DFA)

The DFA correctly classified the diets of 58.9% (33 of 56) of the extant specimens (Table 4.5). Animal-dominated omnivores were most frequently misclassified (11 of 23 misclassifications; 48%) as either frugivores, insectivores, or plant-dominated omnivores (Table 4.5). Frugivores and carnivores were misclassified at the next highest rates (40% and 33.3%,
respectively). For many of the incorrectly classified specimens (14 of 23), their DFA-predicted diet had a low posterior probability (< 0.50) that was often only slightly higher than the posterior probability for their true diet (Table 4.6).

Our DFA predicted the diets of the fossil taxa with about the same certainty as extant taxa, with 35.2% of fossil specimens classified with posterior probabilities > 0.60 for their predicted diet (Table 4.7; 33.9% of extant specimens had posterior probabilities of > 0.60, of which three specimens were misclassified). Almost all of the fossil specimens classified with posterior probabilities > 0.60 were classified as insectivores. Of the 41 fossil species sampled here, 20 species had more than one specimen sampled; ten species with more than one specimen sampled were classified with two different diets by the DFA. Classifications for these species mostly overlap between insectivores, soft-insect specialists, and plant-dominated omnivores (Table 4.7). Of the total 71 fossil specimens sampled, 46.5% were classified as insectivores, 28.2% were classified as soft-insect specialists, and 22.5% were classified as plant-dominated omnivores. One specimen of Didelphodon vorax was classified as an animal-dominated omnivore. Iugomortiferum thoringtoni was identified as the sole carnivore in the sample (although we urge caution about this assignment, see discussion below), and no frugivores were identified. The only deltatheriid, a specimen of Atokatheridium boreni, was identified as a soft-insect specialist, and all four glasbiid specimens sampled were identified as plant-dominated omnivores. The DFA that used the OPCR data with a minimum patch count = 5 (rather than 3) (4.14: Appendix 5; Winchester, 2016; López- Torres et al., 2017) was slightly less accurate than our original DFA (OPCR minimum patch count = 3). The second DFA correctly classified 57.1% of the extant specimens whereas the original DFA correctly classified 58.9% of the extant specimens. We compared the diet predictions between the two DFAs for both extant and fossil
taxa and found minimal differences (Tables 4.6–4.7; 4.14: Appendix 5). Hereafter, we focus only on the results of the analyses of the first DFA (OPCR minimum patch count = 3).

4.7.5 NALK metatherian dental disparity and diet diversity through time

Metatherian dental disparity, as calculated by the variance of each DTA metric and the sum of variances, does not significantly change throughout the Late Cretaceous (Figure 4.5; Table 4.8). The lnDNE, lnOPCR, and sum of variance values decrease from the Aquilan to Lancian bins, but in most cases these changes were not significant (Table 4.8). The dental disparity values of alphadontids are greater than the corresponding values of pediomyids, but again the differences are not statistically significant, except for lnDNE.

Our DFA results suggest that the diversity of diets among NALK metatherians differs through the Late Cretaceous. Insectivores, soft-insect specialists, and plant-dominated omnivores occur in all four Cretaceous time bins but in variable proportions (Table 4.9). The patterns of dietary category count and relative abundance are generally congruent before and after interpretation adjustments, but we focus here on the raw values and relative abundances of diet categories after taking previous interpretations and other posterior probabilities into account (Table 4.9; see discussion of dietary interpretations below). The raw number of plant-dominated omnivore species does not vary much across time bins (from one to three), but their relative abundance is high in the pre-Aquilan and low from the Aquilan through Lancian. The number of insectivore species is high in the Aquilan and younger Late Cretaceous time bins; likewise, their relative abundance (75%) is very high in the Aquilan but slightly lower thereafter. The number of soft-insect specialists is low until the Judithian time bin, and their relative abundance follows
a similar pattern. The only animal-dominated omnivore, *Didelphodon vorax*, occurs in the Lancian time bin and has the lowest relative abundance of all diet categories.

### 4.8 DISCUSSION

North American metatherians reached substantial taxonomic diversity during the Late Cretaceous (Williamson et al., 2014; Bennet et al., 2018). However, there is uncertainty surrounding the dietary diversity and ecomorphological patterns of NALK metatherians. It has been hypothesized that the novel food sources and habitats that arose with the angiosperm ecological diversification in the late Late Cretaceous (starting ca. 85–80 Ma) catalyzed an ecomorphological diversification (e.g., Grossnickle et al., 2019) and possibly a taxonomic diversification (Williamson et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2016) of metatherians and additional mammal groups (e.g., Wilson et al., 2012; Grossnickle and Newham, 2016). Others contend that most mammalian clades, including metatherians, remained ecomorphologically constrained until the extinction of non-avian dinosaurs at the K-Pg boundary (e.g., Alroy, 1999). Below, we discuss the results of our dental topographic analyses (DTA) and how they and associated disparity measures, ecomorphospace plots, and dietary inferences shed light on those differing viewpoints of the evolutionary ecology of NALK metatherians.

#### 4.8.1 Extant mammal DTA metrics and DFA performance

Dental topographic analyses are still relatively new, having only been applied for the last 15 years, and few DTA studies have utilized upper molars (Santana et al., 2011; Smits and Evans, 2012; Pineda-Munoz et al., 2017). Our study provides a test of the validity and utility of applying those DTA methods to isolated upper molars and a predominantly marsupial sample,
and our results are mostly congruent with previous studies on lower molars. Both types of insectivores in our extant sample (i.e., ‘insectivores’ and ‘soft-insect specialists’) show characteristically high RFI and high DNE values (Figure 4.2; Boyer, 2008; Bunn et al., 2011; Winchester et al., 2014; López-Torres et al., 2017; Smith, 2017; Spradley, 2017), reflecting the tall, sharp cusps and high shearing-crest-lengths used to puncture insect carapaces and shear soft-bodied insects (Strait, 1993, 1997). Omnivores plot in the middle of the morphospace with low to mid-range values for DNE and mid-range values for RFI and OPCR, which is consistent with lower DTA values of lower molars in Boyer (2008), Bunn et al. (2001), Winchester et al. (2014), López-Torres et al. (2017), and Smith (2017). The intermediate values of omnivores reflect a morphology that is adapted to process a wide variety of food materials through a balance of shearing, crushing, and grinding (Ungar, 2010). The general congruence of our results from upper molars and others’ results from lower molars and the separation of diets via the DTA metrics lend support to the use of upper molars in ecomorphological analyses on fossils of unknown diets.

Some of our DTA results differ from those of previous studies. Although the low OPCR values and low to mid-range RFI values of our extant carnivore sample are consistent with other studies (Figure 4.2; Evans et al., 2007; Evans and Jernvall, 2009; Pineda-Munoz et al., 2016; Smith, 2017), the relatively low DNE values of our carnivores are more similar to the values of hard-object feeders in other studies (Bunn et al., 2011; Winchester et al., 2014; Smith, 2017). Although this discrepancy could reflect different DTA patterns in upper and lower molars, we believe that this discrepancy is likely due to the idiosyncrasies of our carnivore sample. Two of the six carnivore taxa (Crocuta crocuta, the spotted hyena and Sarcophilus harrisii, the Tasmanian devil) are known for their bone-cracking/durophagous habits (e.g., Werdelin, 1989;
Wroe et al., 2005), and another taxon (*Eira barbara*, the tayra) supplements its carnivorous diet with fruit and honey (Bisbal, 1986), which may be skewing our carnivore results. Increasing the sampling of hypercarnivorous taxa may add clarity to DTA patterns for carnivores and subsequent DFA carnivore classifications. Additionally, the DNE and OPCR values of our frugivore sample differ from those of previous studies: they are slightly higher and more variable (Bunn et al., 2011; Winchester et al., 2014). This discrepancy also likely reflects differences in taxon sampling. Whereas previous studies heavily sample primate frugivores, our sample includes one primate and four other taxa from Chiroptera, Carnivora, and Cetartiodactyla. Most of these other taxa incorporate small amounts of foods besides fruit into their diet (e.g., *Pecari tajacu*, the collared peccary, incorporates roots, insects, and small vertebrates in addition to fruit [Nowak, 1999; Desbiez et al., 2009]). The higher DNE and OPCR values in our frugivore sample may reflect dental adaptations, such as rugosities, for processing these other food materials (Santana et al., 2011; Smith, 2017), or other specialized features for processing poorly documented fallback foods (food consumed less often but are critical for survival during times of environmental stress)—an example of Liem’s paradox (e.g., Ungar, 2010).

Among the diet categories, our DFA had the highest success rate in correctly classifying insectivores and soft-insect specialists in our extant sample (Table 4.5). All misclassified insectivore specimens were classified as soft-insect specialists and vice versa. In contrast, our DFA did not as reliably predict animal-dominated omnivores, which included specimens misclassified as frugivores, plant-dominated omnivores, and one as an insectivore. Frugivores had two specimens classified as plant-dominated omnivores, one specimen misclassified as a carnivore, and one specimen misclassified as an animal-dominated omnivore, whereas plant-dominated omnivores had one specimen misclassified as an animal-dominated omnivore and one
specimen misclassified as a frugivore. Often the true diet had the second highest posterior probability. These misclassifications likely stem in part from the overlap in DTA ranges and, in turn, morphospace occupation among these dietary categories (Figures 4.2–4.3), which perhaps reflects similar dental adaptations among the animals in our extant sample, the incomplete dietary data available, or the imperfect nature of the diet categorizations.

We also note that there were nine instances in which two specimens of the same species were classified into different dietary categories by the DFA, and multiple fossil species also have specimens that were classified differently (Tables 4.6–4.7). In most of these cases (seven out of nine), there were very slight differences in wear among the specimens, which may have led to the different dietary assignments. In the other two cases, there were no apparent differences in the amount of wear between the specimens of the same species. However, in both of those cases, one specimen was classified as an insectivore and the other as a soft-insect specialist. The degree of overlap in morphospace between these two insect-eating diets illustrates the difficulty in distinguishing them (Figure 4.3). This intraspecific variation in diet assignment implies that studies should, whenever possible, attempt to account for this variation by sampling more than one specimen per species and by controlling for wear across taxa; it also highlights the need for further standardization and ground truthing DTA methods.

4.8.2 Dietary inferences and dietary diversity of NALK metatherians

Although most Mesozoic mammals have conventionally been portrayed as small-bodied, terrestrial insectivores (e.g., Van Valen and Sloan, 1977; Kielan-Jaworowska et al., 2004), recent fossil discoveries and ecomorphological analyses have provided counterexamples, both among non-therians and therians, implying a much broader range of ecologies (e.g., Luo, 2007; Wilson
et al., 2012; Grossnickle and Polly, 2013; Chen et al., 2019). Our quantitative study of dental ecomorphology in part reinforces the conventional view by reconstructing most NALK metatherians (81%, 34 of 42 species) as either insectivores or soft-insect specialists (Tables 4.7, 4.9; Figure 4.6). These results are consistent with previous inferences from other studies (Gordon, 2003; Wilson, 2013; Williamson et al., 2014; Grossnickle and Newham, 2016) and with the observation that the most taxonomically rich families of Cretaceous metatherians (e.g., alphadontids and pediomyids) have conservative tribosphenic molar morphologies. Nevertheless, our DFA diet reconstructions predicted that a few NALK metatherians had diets beyond insectivory, indicating that NALK metatherians as a whole achieved greater dietary diversity than is conventionally portrayed. For example, our DFA reconstructed *Glasbius* as a plant-dominated omnivore, a prediction that is in line with previous interpretations that this taxon was either herbivorous or frugivorous (Clemens, 1966, 1979; Gordon, 2003; Kielan-Jaworowska et al., 2004; Wilson, 2013; Williamson et al., 2014). Overall, we see evidence of the following diets in NALK metatherians: insectivory, carnivory, animal- and plant-dominated omnivory (including durophagy), and likely frugivory.

We also found that the dietary predictions for several taxa in our study conflicted with diet inferences from previous studies. To provisionally resolve each discrepancy until additional analyses can be undertaken, we considered the diet classification with the second highest posterior probability in our DFA. When that diet category agreed with the interpretations from primary literature, we revised our initial dietary inferences. Below we explain our decisions on these taxa and these decisions are summarized here: (i) *Iugomortiferum thoringtoni* as a plant-dominated omnivore, (ii) *Apistodon exiguus* as an insectivore, (iii) *Alphadon halleyi, Alphadon*
Wilsoni, and Protalaphadon foxi as soft-insect specialists; and (iv) Didelphodon vorax as an animal-dominated omnivore.

Seven taxa (Pariadens kirklandi, Eoalphadon lillegrevani, Apistodon exiguus, Alphadon halleyi, Alphadon wilsoni, Turgidodon lillegrevani, Protalaphadon foxi) were reconstructed as plant-dominated omnivores. Qualitatively, most of these taxa lack most of the morphological features (e.g., large talonid basin, large protocone, bunodont cusps) characteristic of the crushing and grinding function necessary for most plant-based diets. Instead, most of these taxa have the conservative tribosphenic molar morphology (e.g., sharp shearing crests and unexpanded protocones) that is typically found among insectivores (e.g., Cifelli, 1990; Johanson, 1996; Davis, 2007; Williamson et al., 2014; Cohen, 2018). Such discrepancies between our diet reconstruction and those from previous studies are expected, considering the difficulty that the DFA model had in correctly predicting animal-dominated omnivory, and to a lesser extent, plant-dominated omnivory, frugivory, and carnivory. We provisionally accept the plant-dominated omnivore interpretation for Pariadens kirklandi, Eoalphadon lillegrevani, and Turgidodon lillegrevani. The second highest posterior probabilities for these taxa were for the animal-dominated omnivore category, and posterior probabilities of other dietary categories were much lower (Table 4.7). Conversely, we modify the DFA diet reconstructions for Apistodon exiguus, Alphadon halleyi, Alphadon wilsoni, and Protalaphadon foxi. We interpret Apistodon exiguus as an insectivore, which is consistent with its very small size, previous interpretations of its gross dental morphology (Williamson et al., 2014), and with insectivory having the second highest posterior probability for this taxon in our DFA. We interpret both Alphadon halleyi and Alphadon wilsoni as soft-insect specialists, in line with analyses of the jaw morphology (Grossnickle and Polly, 2013; Brannick and Wilson, 2020; Morales-García et al., 2021), gross
dental morphology (Gordon, 2003; Wilson, 2013; Grossnickle and Newham, 2016), and that this dietary category had the second highest posterior probability for both of these species in our DFA. Finally, we re-classify *P. foxi* as a soft-insect specialist, which is its dietary classification in a similar DTA study on lower molars (Smith, 2017) and the diet with the second highest posterior probability (within 0.10 of the highest posterior probability) in our DFA.

Our DFA reconstructed the relatively large-bodied *Didelphodon* as an insectivore overall (based on the total posterior probabilities of the two *D. vorax* specimens); however, this conflicts with previous interpretations that it was a predator-scavenger with durophagous capabilities (Clemens, 1966, 1968, 1979; Fox and Naylor, 1986, 2006; Wilson et al., 2016; Brannick and Wilson, 2020) or an omnivore as indicated by dental microwear (Wilson et al., 2016). One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that we used relatively unworn teeth (earlier ontogenetic wear stage) of *Didelphodon* in our analysis. It has been hypothesized that *Didelphodon* and other stagodontids experienced an ontogenetic shift in diet that tracks body size (Fox and Naylor, 1995, 2006; Peng et al., 2017). Younger individuals show more faunivorous adaptations (e.g., molars with enhanced postvallum/prevallid shear and dentary shapes more capable of withstanding dorsoventral bending forces), whereas older individuals show more indications of omnivory/durophagy (e.g., horizontally worn grinding platforms and dentary shapes more capable of withstanding mediolateral forces; Fox and Naylor, 1995, 2006; Peng et al., 2017; Brannick and Wilson, 2020). Moreover, having analyzed only molar morphology, we have in some cases overlooked critical dietary data from other tooth positions (Wilson, 2013; Smith, 2017). *Didelphodon* has highly distinctive bulbous premolars that are well suited for crushing hard objects, like bone and shells (Clemens, 1966; Fox and Naylor, 1995, 2006; Wilson et al., 2016; Cohen, 2018). Additionally, it is important to note that one of the two specimens of
*Didelphodon* analyzed here was individually reconstructed as an animal-dominated omnivore by our DTA (Table 4.7). Taking all of these points and previous interpretations into account in conjunction with the second highest posterior probability in our DFA for *Didelphodon* overall, we re-classify *D. vorax* as an animal-dominated omnivore. We also suggest that future studies more deeply explore potential biases by comparing dietary inferences from DTA on a single tooth position to those from larger functional units like cheek tooth rows (Evans et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2012). Another productive line of inquiry for other taxa would be to compare dietary inferences from DTA to those from other quantitative methods that are independent of gross morphology of teeth (e.g., microwear, isotopic analyses, mandibular bending strength), as has been done for *Didelphodon* (Wilson et al., 2016; Brannick and Wilson, 2020).

Although our DFA classified *Iugomortiferum thoringtoni* as a carnivore, this taxon has low-crowned molar morphology with inflated cusps and weakly developed conules (Cifelli, 1990), all of which is inconsistent with interpretation of carnivory (de Muizon and Lange-Badré, 1997). The DNE value of *I. thoringtoni* is within the range of extant carnivores, plant-dominated omnivores, and frugivores, whereas its RFI value is within the range of extant carnivores, plant-dominated omnivores, and insectivores. In contrast, its low OPCR value is within the range of extant carnivores and insectivores and is likely driving its DFA classification as a carnivore. The OPCR value of *I. thoringtoni* may be underestimated because we used an epoxy cast of the specimen (OMNH 20936) and the small size of the specimen might have amplified any infidelities of the cast (although see discussion of cast fidelity and OPCR values in López-Torres et al., 2017). Taking qualitative observations and previous interpretations into account, we use the second highest posterior probability from the DFA to classify *I. thoringtoni* as a plant-dominated omnivore.
4.8.3 Metatherian ecomorphology through the Late Cretaceous

By the beginning of the Late Cretaceous (ca. 100 Ma) metatherians in North America had diversified into at least four clades (Deltatheriidae, Stagodontidae, Aquiladephidae, Alphadontidae, and possibly Glasbiidae, Pediomyidae, and Marsupialia were also present, see Wilson et al., 2016). This higher-level taxonomic diversification was associated with moderate dietary diversity—three of the six dietary categories that we recognize here (plant-dominated omnivory, insectivory, and soft-insect specialists; Figure 4.6; Tables 4.7, 4.9). Raw species richness peaked in the Judithian (32 recognized species) and stayed relatively high in the Lancian leading up to the K-Pg mass extinction (22 species), although this peak might shift earlier in time or flatten if we account for differential sampling intensity through the Late Cretaceous (e.g., Grossnickle and Newham, 2016; Cohen, 2018; Bennet et al., 2018; Cohen et al., 2020). Nevertheless, according to our results, dental morphological disparity did not significantly change throughout the Late Cretaceous and only in the Lancian did ecological diversity (number of diet categories) increase slightly to include animal-dominated omnivory (Figures 4.5–4.6). Indeed, over 80% of the taxa sampled (34 of 42) were either insectivores or soft-insect specialists (Table 4.9; Figure 4.6). A literal reading of our results would thus suggest that ecomorphological diversity and disparity did not track increases in taxonomic richness of NALK metatherians. This decoupled pattern may be a common phenomenon because it has also been found in other taxonomic groups, such as anomodont therapsids (Ruta et al., 2013), graptoloids (Bapst et al., 2012), and angiosperms (e.g., Wing and Boucher, 1998; Lupia et al., 1999). That said, we caution that additional sampling might change this pattern. We were unable to sample several important stagodontids, including the middle Turonian (pre-Aquilan) Hoodootherium, and
*Fumodelphodon*, the Aquilan through possibly “Edmontonian” *Eodelphis*, and Judithian and “Edmontonian” members of *Didelphodon*. These taxa, which have previously been interpreted as carnivores and animal-dominated omnivores (e.g., Scott and Fox, 2015; Cohen, 2018; Brannick and Wilson, 2020), would have likely pushed back the appearance of those diet categories and increased disparity values earlier in the Late Cretaceous. The Lancian deltatheriid *Nanocuris*, which has also been considered carnivorous on the basis of its distinctive, sectorial molars with carnassial notches (Fox et al., 2007; Wilson and Riedel, 2010), would have further added to the range of Lancian ecomorphologies and likely increased disparity values. We also did not sample the middle Turonian *Scalaridelphys* and Aquilan *Aquiladelphis*, respectively, both pediomyoids which have both been interpreted as plant-dominated omnivores (Cohen et al., 2020). Thus, we underscore that our results should be taken as minimum estimates both for the magnitude of dietary diversity and dental morphological disparity achieved by NALK metatherians and for when they achieved it.

The oldest known dental fossils of metatherians, which date ca. 110 Ma (Davis et al., 2008; Davis and Cifelli, 2011 and see Williamson et al., 2014; Bi et al., 2018 for discussion regarding *Sinodelphys szalayi* and the earliest eutherians), strongly suggest that insectivory was plesiomorphic for the clade (e.g., Williamson et al., 2014; Grossnickle and Newham, 2016). Our dietary inferences and published interpretations of taxa that we were not able to sample indicate that by the early Late Cretaceous (ca. 100 Ma) metatherians were exploiting other food sources beyond insects (Cohen, 2018; Cohen et al., 2020). Notably, the dietary shifts toward omnivory (plant-dominated and animal-dominated omnivory) and carnivory largely occurred in metatherian subclades other than the most taxonomically prolific clades (the Alphadontidae and Pediomyidae) (Figure 4.6). Plant-dominated omnivory first appeared by the late Cenomanian (ca.
96 Ma) in the Stagodontidae (*Pariadens kirklandi*) and possibly Aquiladelphidae (*Dakotadens morrowi*, see discussion of phylogenetic relationships in Cohen et al., 2020). Later in the middle Turonian, stagodontids began their more thorough exploration of the carnivore and animal-dominated omnivore regions of ecomorphospace, culminating in the Lancian with the relatively large-bodied, durophagous predator-scavenger *Didelphodon vorax*. Glasbiidae is another group that shows up in the fossil record only at the very end of the Cretaceous (last 300–500 ky; Wilson, 2005); this sister taxon to Pediomyidae has only two species (*Glasbius twitchelli* and *Glasbius intricatus*), but they are the most morphologically distinctive examples of plant-dominated omnivory-frugivory among NALK metatherians. Finally, deltatheroidans were likely the most carnivorous among the NALK metatherians—although some Albian–Aptian members had relatively larger talonids and less reduction of the metaconid, which are indicative of diets other than strict carnivory (Rougier et al., 2015)—and culminated in the highly specialized carnivore *Nanocuris* in the Lancian (Fox et al., 2007; Wilson and Riedel, 2010).

In contrast, the two most taxonomically rich clades of NALK metatherians, the Alphadontidae and Pediomyidae, show relatively little dietary diversity (Figure 4.6). Alphadontids originated by at least the Cenomanian (but probably earlier; Wilson et al., 2016) and peaked in taxonomic richness in the Judithian (15 species, including alphadontids not sampled here). The oldest known pediomyids are from the Santonian, but like alphadontids, probably originated earlier and reached their highest taxonomic richness in the Judithian (5 species, including pediomyids not sampled here) and sustained that level through the Lancian. Many of these alphadontid and pediomyid species were sympatric; for example, *Protalphadon lulli*, *Alphadon marshi*, *Alphadon wilsoni*, *Turgidodon rhaister*, *Pediomys elegans*, *Leptalestes cooki*, *Leptalestes krejci*, *Protolambda florencae*, and *Protolambda hatcheri* are all found in the
Lance Formation (see Williamson et al., 2014 a tabulation of species occurrences per locality). Although previous studies have hypothesized that pediomyids had greater crushing and grinding capacity relative to other metatherian groups and, in turn, likely incorporated more plant material into their diets (Wilson, 2013; Cohen et al., 2020), our DFA shows that both pediomyids and alphadontids mainly fed on insects. Diet partitioning within the insectivore adaptive zone may help explain how alphadontids and pediomyids were able to maintain their tremendous taxonomic richness (e.g., eight species in the Hell Creek fauna) (Hardin, 1960). As more pediomyid taxa appear in the Judithian, alphadontids appear to experience a dietary shift from insectivory to soft-insect specialization, whereas pediomyids were mostly insectivores (Table 4.9; Figure 4.6). It is possible that further dietary differences, such as specialization for particular species of insects, drove the niche partitioning, but that level of diet specificity cannot be detected by the methods utilized here. Other potential explanations of niche or resource partitioning include spatial separation (using different habitats), temporal avoidance, or separation along an ecological axis different from diet, such locomotor mode or body size (e.g., Schoener, 1975; Keddy, 1989). For example, the two pediomyid species Protolambda florencae and Pediomys elegans are contemporaneous (Lance and Hell Creek faunas) and were both reconstructed by our DFA as insectivores. Resource partitioning may have occurred along the axis of body size (i.e., P. florencae is larger and so probably consumed larger insect than Pediomys elegans), which might have enabled these pediomyids to co-exist. However, these other potential ecological axes on which partitioning might have occurred are difficult to discern in this fossil record.

During the Late Cretaceous in North America, metatherians shared the ecospace with other mammalian groups, including eutriconodontans, multituberculates, spalacotheriods, and
their sister taxon eutherians. Among those groups, metatherians were arguably the most dietarily diverse, having occupied up to five categories: insectivory, carnivory, animal- and plant-dominated omnivory, and likely frugivory. It has been suggested that the non-tribosphenic dentitions of most non-therian mammals were more morphologically constrained than tribosphenic dentitions, and, consequently, non-therians attained less dietary diversity than therians did (Chen et al., 2019; but see Harper et al., 2019 on dryolestoids). For instance, spalacotherioids and eutriconodonts were likely restricted to insectivory and faunivory, respectively (Hu et al., 2005; Grossnickle and Polly, 2013; Chen et al., 2019; Morales-García et al., 2021).

Multituberculates were the most dietarily diverse non-therian mammal group. Their diets ranged from insectivory to animal- and plant-dominated omnivory, and by the late Late Cretaceous (ca. 84 Ma) even herbivory (Wilson et al., 2012; Grossnickle and Polly, 2013; Weaver et al., 2019). Still, metatherians probably had a broader dietary range than multituberculates and attained that diversity earlier in the Cretaceous. However, unlike multituberculates, metatherians did not continue to diversify in North America after the K-Pg mass extinction (Wilson, 2014; Williamson et al., 2014). The early eutherians, which include many lineages that retain the plesiomorphic tribosphenic molar morphology, were mostly insectivorous during the Late Cretaceous, although some of the larger-bodied taxa, such as *Altacreodus magnus* (formerly *Cimolestes magnus*), were likely faunivorous (e.g., Wilson, 2013; Grossnickle and Newham, 2016; Chen et al., 2019). Additionally, zhelestid (Harper, 2012; Gheerbrant and Astibia, 2012; Harper et al., 2019) and gypsonictopid eutherians (Crompton and Kielan-Jaworowska, 1978), which both first appear in North America in the Campanian, and the Lancian taeniodont *Schowalteria* (Fox and Naylor, 2003), are inferred to have included plant-
material in their diets based on their tooth morphology. Archaic ungulates, which appear in the very latest Cretaceous but very rarely, and plesiadapiform primates, which have lineages that are believed to extend back into the Late Cretaceous, have both been interpreted as animal- and plant-dominated omnivores (e.g., Archibald et al., 2011; Fox and Scott, 2011; Wilson Mantilla et al., 2021). Whereas Late Cretaceous eutherians ranged from insectivory, faunivory, and animal- and plant-dominated omnivory, they were less dietarily diverse compared to contemporaneous metatherians and did not expand beyond insectivory until the Campanian (at least in North America; Harper, 2012; Harper et al., 2019), well after metatherians had.

Thus, our study does not exclusively support either the Suppression Hypothesis or the Early Rise Hypothesis. The Suppression Hypothesis predicts that the ecomorphological diversity (number of diets) and disparity (magnitude of morphological difference) in metatherians was low and stable throughout the Late Cretaceous. Whereas our quantitative results of dental disparity and ecomorphological diversity are consistent with this hypothesis—that is, dental disparity does not significantly change through the Late Cretaceous and most metatherians were insectivores and soft-insect specialists (Figure 4.6); we posit that inclusion of, for example, the middle Turonian stagodontids (*Fumodelphodon* and *Hoodootherium*) and aquiladelphids (*Scalaridelphys*) and the Lancian *Nanocuris* would likely increase dental disparity and diversity of dietary categories recorded for at least those intervals. Moreover, the ecomorphological diversity and disparity values are likely greater than those of other contemporary mammalian clades, which exhibit a smaller range of diets and dental morphologies.

The Early Rise Hypothesis predicts that rapid increases in ecomorphological diversity and disparity of metatherians began in the late Late Cretaceous. Although our DFA shows that metatherians were mostly insectivores and soft-insect specialists, it also shows that by the pre-
Aquilan—prior to the ecological radiation of angiosperms—they had begun to exploit other diets as well, including plant-dominated omnivory (Figures 4.6–4.7). Whereas dietary diversity and disparity were both stable throughout the Late Cretaceous, they were elevated relative to contemporary mammalian groups; we hypothesize that the diversification that produced this relatively high dietary diversity and dental disparity arose during the late Early Cretaceous. As such, we would suggest that the ecomorphological expansion of NA metatherians was not temporally correlated with the ecological rise of angiosperms but perhaps with their earlier taxonomic diversification (Cohen et al., 2020), which occurred during the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution (ca. 125–80 Ma). As new species of angiosperms appeared during their taxonomic diversification, they may have provided new food resources for metatherians to exploit, thus catalyzing the ecomorphological expansion of metatherians. Or perhaps other possible co-occurring factors during the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution, such as the extinction of eutriconodontans and spalacotherioids (Grossnickle and Polly, 2013; Cohen et al., 2020), allowed metatherians to expand into newly vacated niches. Future studies should test this hypothesis by applying DTA to samples of Early Cretaceous metatherians; however, to achieve this additional field work should be undertaken to bolster the sparse fossil record from this interval.

4.9 SUMMARY

Our study is the most comprehensive study to date to apply dental topographic analysis to a large sample of metatherian molars from the Late Cretaceous of North America. Our aim was to provide a more detailed, quantitative understanding of the macroevolutionary patterns of dental morphology and diet during the early history of this important clade. Although dietary
inferences from our DTA suggest that many NALK metatherians were insectivorous, the analyses also indicate that early metatherians exhibited a broad range of diets, including insectivory, soft-insect specialists, carnivory, animal- and plant-dominated omnivory, and likely frugivory. Our morphological disparity results show that dental disparity did not significantly increase in the Late Cretaceous. However, our results do not meet predictions of the Suppression Hypothesis (i.e., that mammalian ecological diversity was suppressed until the K-Pg mass extinction event), as our diet reconstructions and those from previous studies of taxa not sampled in our study show that NALK metatherians diversified into a wider range of dietary niches—more so than any other contemporary mammalian clade. We argue that relative to other mammalian clades, both dental disparity and dietary diversity of metatherians were moderately high and stable throughout the Late Cretaceous. Our results indicate a pre-K-Pg ecological diversification that is distinct from that predicted by the Early Rise Hypothesis because it began prior to the diversification of angiosperms and was more correlated in time with the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution and the mid-Cretaceous taxonomic diversification of angiosperms.
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4.12 FIGURES
**Figure 4.1.** Phylogenetic tree of our extant comparative sample generated using timetree.org. Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.
**Figure 4.2.** Boxplots of Dirichlet normal energy (DNE), relief index (RFI), and orientation patch count rotated (OPCR) across extant mammals classified by diet and a subset of fossil groups.

Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.

Figure 4.3. Bivariate scatter plots of log-transformed Dirichlet normal energy (lnDNE), relief index (lnRFI), and orientation patch count rotated (lnOPCR) values, and a 3D scatterplot of all three DTA metrics (bottom right) for our extant comparative sample. Shapes correspond to our true diet categorizations. See Table 4.1 for taxonomic names. Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.
Figure 4.4. Bivariate scatter plots of log-transformed Dirichlet normal energy (lnDNE), relief index (lnRFI), and orientation patch count rotated (lnOPCR) values, and a 3D scatterplot of all three DTA metrics (bottom right) for our fossil sample. Colored polygons are regions of the morphospace occupied by extant mammals in our dietary categories. Shapes correspond to fossil groups. See Table 4.2 for taxonomic names. Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.
**Figure 4.5.** Morphological disparity of NALK metatherians, calculated as the variance of each DTA metric and the sum of variances. The 95% confidence intervals were generated using a custom bootstrapping function with 1,000 replicates. Top row: all-metatherian disparity for each time bin. Sample size for each time bin is shown in parentheses at the bottom of the far-left plot: pre-Aquilan (preA) = 7; Aquilan = 8; Judithian = 18; Lancian = 18. Bottom row: disparity of alphadontids vs. disparity of pediomyids. Sample size for each taxon is shown in parentheses at the bottom of the far-left plot: Alphadontidae = 19 and Pediomyidae = 8. See Table 4.8 for \( p \)-values.
**Figure 4.6.** Patterns of taxonomic diversity and dietary diversity of NALK metatherians. Top: Known taxonomic diversity (gray squares and line) of NALK metatherians versus the metatherian diversity sampled in this study (black circles and line). Middle: Dietary diversity of NALK metatherians through time (out of six dietary categories). Bottom: Hypothesized phylogenetic relationships of NALK metatherians sampled in this study (the deltatheriid *Atokatheridium boreni* was removed). Thick horizontal bars represent the known temporal range of each species. Bar colors represent the dietary categories assigned to each taxon in this study. Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist. Abbreviations for NALMAs: Aquil = Aquilan; La = Lancian. Hypothesized phylogeny modified from Williamson et al. (2014), Wilson et al. (2016), and Cohen et al. (2020).
**Figure 4.7.** Scatterplots of lnDNE versus lnRFI of NALK metatherians through time. Points represent species averages for each DTA metric. Time proceeds upward with the oldest time bin (pre-Aquilan) at the bottom and the youngest time bin (Lancian) at the top. Colored polygons are regions of the morphospace occupied by extant mammals in our dietary categories. Markers correspond to fossil groups. Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.
4.13 TABLES

**Table 4.1.** Extant mammalian comparative dataset. The online archives EltonTraits (Wilman et al., 2014) and Mammal DIET (Kissling et al., 2014) and a natural history compendium (Nowak, 1999) were used as the main source for determining diets, but we supplemented this data with information from the primary literature. Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Tooth position</th>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Supplemental diet source(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antechinus stuartii</td>
<td>Dasyuromorphia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>Fox and Archer 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus lituratus</td>
<td>Chiroptera</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>Zortéa and Mendes, 1993; Parolin et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caluromys sp.</td>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>Robinson and Redford, 1986; Casella and Cáceres, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caluromys derbianus</td>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>Steiner, 1981; Robinson and Redford, 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheirogaleus medius</td>
<td>Primates</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>Fietz and Ganzhorn, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chironectes minimus</td>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>Monodolfi and Padilla, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crocuta crocuta</td>
<td>Carnivora</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>Kruuk, H. 1972; Cooper et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasyurus maculatus</td>
<td>Dasyuromorphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>Belcher et al., 2007; Andersen et al., 2017; Linley et al., 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis albiventris</td>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>Cáceres, 2002; Cantor et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis marsupialis</td>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>Robinson and Redford, 1986; Julien-Laferriere and Atramentowicz, 1990; Medellin, 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Order</td>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>Tooth</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Reference(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis virginiana</em></td>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>Sandidge, 1953; Hopkins and Forbes, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eira barbara</em></td>
<td>Carnivora</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>Bisbal 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eptesicus fuscus</em></td>
<td>Chiroptera</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>Whitaker 1995; Agosta and Morton 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lynx rufus</em></td>
<td>Carnivora</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>Fritts and Sealander 1978; Rose and Prange 2015; Sánchez-González et al. 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Metachirus nudicaudatus</em></td>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>Santori et al., 1995; Lessa and Geise, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Monodelphis domestica</em></td>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>Streilein, 1982; de Carvalho et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nasua narica</em></td>
<td>Carnivora</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>Gompper, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nyctinomops macrotis</em></td>
<td>Chiroptera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>Easterla and Whitaker, 1972; Debelica et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paradoxurus hermaphroditus</em></td>
<td>Carnivora</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>Joshi et al., 1995; Nakashima et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pecari tajacu</em></td>
<td>Cetartiodactyla</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>Desbiez et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Perameles nasuta</em></td>
<td>Peramelemorphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>Scott et al., 1999; Thums et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Philander opossum</em></td>
<td>Didelphimorphia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>Hall and Dalquest, 1963; Charles-Dominique et al., 1981; Atramentowicz, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plecotus auritus</em></td>
<td>Chiroptera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>Rostovskaya et al., 2000; Whitaker and Karatas, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Procyon lotor</em></td>
<td>Carnivora</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>Schoonover and Marshall, 1951; Bartoszewicz et al. 2008; Rulison et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sarcophilus harrisii</em></td>
<td>Dasyuromorphia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>Jones and Barmuta, 1998; Pemberton et al., 2008; Andersen et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Order</td>
<td>Subfamily</td>
<td>Tooth</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapanus orarius</td>
<td>Soricomorpha</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>Moore, 1933; Glendenning, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorex vagrans</td>
<td>Soricomorpha</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>Clothier 1955; McCracken, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spilogale putorius</td>
<td>Carnivora</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>Crabb, 1941; Baker and Baker, 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamias townsendii</td>
<td>Rodentia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>Trombulak, 1985; Carey et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thylamys elegans</td>
<td>Didelphimorpha</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>Meserve, 1981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.2. Fossil metatherian dataset. Specimen type refers to whether the original fossil specimen or a cast of the fossil specimen was µCT scanned and subsequent tooth model was used in our analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Tooth position</th>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>NALMA</th>
<th>Specimen type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Aenigmadelphys archeri</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20160</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aenigmadelphys archeri</em></td>
<td>OMNH 23328</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Albertatherium primum</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29611</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Albertatherium primum</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29612</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Albertatherium secundum</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29534</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon halleyi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 130501</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon marshi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 52450</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon marshi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 53097</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon sahnii</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20114</td>
<td>M2 or M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon wilsoni</em></td>
<td>UALVP 3532</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalphadon clemensi</em></td>
<td>MNA.V.5387</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>pre-Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalphadon lillegraveni</em></td>
<td>MNA.V.5835</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>pre-Aquilan</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalphadon woodburnei</em></td>
<td>UMNH VP 12842</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>pre-Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protalaphadon foxi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 109031</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protalaphadon lulli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47446</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protalaphadon lulli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47475</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon lillegraveni</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20117</td>
<td>M2(?)</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon madseni</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20538</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon praesagus</em></td>
<td>UALVP 55849</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon praesagus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 122168</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon praesagus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 131345</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species Name</th>
<th>Repository</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon rhaister</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47366</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon russelli</em></td>
<td>UALVP 55852</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon russelli</em></td>
<td>UALVP 6983</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varalaphadon creber</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29525</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varalaphadon creber</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29527</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varalaphadon creber</em></td>
<td>UALVP 5529</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varalaphadon wahweapensis</em></td>
<td>UALVP 5544</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Alphadontidae</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Atokatheridium boreni</em></td>
<td>OMNH 61623</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Deltatheridiidae</td>
<td>pre-Aquian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius intricatus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 102111</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Glasbiidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius twitchelli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 153679</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Glasbiidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius twitchelli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 156143</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Glasbiidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius twitchelli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 224090</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Glasbiidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nortedelphys intermedius</em></td>
<td>UCMP 134776</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Herpetotheriidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nortedelphys jasoni</em></td>
<td>UCMP 174506</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Herpetotheriidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nortedelphys jasoni</em></td>
<td>UCMP 177838</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Herpetotheriidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nortedelphys magnus</em></td>
<td>UA 2846</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Herpetotheriidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nortedelphys minimus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 52715</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Herpetotheriidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nortedelphys minimus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 72211</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Herpetotheriidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anchistodelphys archibaldi</em></td>
<td>OMNH 21033</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>incertae sedis</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Apistodon exiguus</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29693</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>incertae sedis</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dakotadens morrowi</em></td>
<td>OMNH 49450</td>
<td>Mx</td>
<td>incertae sedis</td>
<td>pre-Aquian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hatcheritherium alpha</em></td>
<td>YPM.VP.014911</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>incertae sedis</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hatcheritherium alpha</em></td>
<td>YPM.VP.014912</td>
<td>M1(?)</td>
<td>incertae sedis</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Iugomortiferum thoringtoni</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20936</td>
<td>M1(?)</td>
<td>incertae sedis</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kokopellia juddi</em></td>
<td>OMMH 33248</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>incertae sedis</td>
<td>pre-Aquian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen Name</th>
<th>Repository</th>
<th>Molar</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Iqualadelphis lactea</em></td>
<td>UALVP 22827</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Iqualadelphis lactea</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29676</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes cooki</em></td>
<td>UCMP 46306</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes cooki</em></td>
<td>UCMP 48351</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes cooki</em></td>
<td>UCMP 51344</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes krejcii</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47061</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes krejcii</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47552</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes krejcii</em></td>
<td>UCMP 52761</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes prokrejcii</em></td>
<td>UCMP 131341</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pediomys elegans</em></td>
<td>UCMP 168701</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pediomys elegans</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47558</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pediomys elegans</em></td>
<td>UCMP 51335</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda clemensi</em></td>
<td>UALVP 55855</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda clemensi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 122179</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda clemensi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 131340</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Judithian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda florencae</em></td>
<td>UCMP 186770</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda florencae</em></td>
<td>UCMP 48331</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda florencae</em></td>
<td>UCMP 51389</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda florencae</em></td>
<td>UCMP 52323</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda hatcheri</em></td>
<td>UCMP 46232</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda hatcheri</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47262</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda hatcheri</em></td>
<td>UCMP 52404</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Pediomyidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphodon vorax</em></td>
<td>UCMP 187607</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphodon vorax</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47304</td>
<td>M3(?)</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>Lancian</td>
<td>cast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pariadens kirklandi</em></td>
<td>MNA.V.5843</td>
<td>M3(?)</td>
<td>Stagodontidae</td>
<td>pre-Aquilan</td>
<td>fossil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.3. Phylogenetic correlation in our log-transformed DTA data for our extant comparative dataset. We report Blomberg’s K and Pagel’s lambda. P-values associated with each measurement are also reported here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Blomberg’s K</th>
<th>K, p-value</th>
<th>Pagel’s λ</th>
<th>λ, p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lnDNE</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnRFI</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnOPCR</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4. Correlations among DTA metrics in our extant comparative dataset. DTA metric data are log-transformed. Linear regression R² values are reported in the upper right cells of this table, with associated p-values in parentheses. Significant p-values are in bold (p < 0.05). Spearman’s rho values are reported in the lower left cells of the table, with associated p-values in parentheses. Significant p-values are in bold (p < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>lnDNE</th>
<th>lnRFI</th>
<th>lnOPCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lnDNE</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.22 (2.51e-04)</td>
<td>0.29 (1.49e-05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnRFI</td>
<td>0.47 (2.27e-04)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.04 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnOPCR</td>
<td>0.51 (5.75e-05)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.48)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 4.5.** Contingency table visualization of extant mammal dietary classifications by our DFA. Rows represent the true diet classification, whereas the columns represent the classifications made by our DFA. Correctly classifications are highlighted in dark gray along the diagonal. Incorrect classifications are highlighted in light gray. Note the number of incorrect classifications of animal-dominated omnivores and other diets as plant-dominated omnivores. Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ado</th>
<th>carn</th>
<th>frug</th>
<th>ins</th>
<th>pdo</th>
<th>sis</th>
<th>% correctly classified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ado</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frug</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.6. Posterior probabilities of dietary categories resulting from discriminant function analysis (DFA) of our extant mammal dataset. The highest posterior probability representing the diet identified by DFA is in bold. Posterior probabilities < 0.001 are not reported. Other posterior probabilities that are within 0.10 to the highest posterior probability are marked with an asterisk.

Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>diet</th>
<th>frug</th>
<th>pdo</th>
<th>ado</th>
<th>carn</th>
<th>ins</th>
<th>sis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Antechinus stuartii</em></td>
<td>UWBM 68899</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Antechinus stuartii</em></td>
<td>UWBM 68915</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>0.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Antechinus stuartii</em></td>
<td>UWBM 68916</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.455*</td>
<td>0.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Artibeus lituratus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 62023</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.428*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Artibeus lituratus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 62030</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.440*</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Artibeus lituratus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 62034</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Caluromys derbianus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 32255</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.251*</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Caluromys sp.</em></td>
<td>EA 181</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cheirogaleus medius</em></td>
<td>DLC 1607</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cheirogaleus medius</em></td>
<td>DLC 1636</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cheirogaleus medius</em></td>
<td>DLC 3640</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chironectes minimus</em></td>
<td>MVZ 173550</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crocuta crocuta</em></td>
<td>UWBM 33257</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dasyurus maculatus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 68901</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.265*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis albiventris</em></td>
<td>UWBM 38710</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis marsupialis</em></td>
<td>UWBM 39942</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.382*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>PDO</td>
<td>PDD</td>
<td>PDP</td>
<td>SPO</td>
<td>SPO</td>
<td>SPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis marsupialis</em></td>
<td>UWBM 44459</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td><strong>0.346</strong></td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis virginiana</em></td>
<td>UWBM 39628</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.427*</td>
<td><strong>0.434</strong></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis virginiana</em></td>
<td>UWBM 76104</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td><strong>0.420</strong></td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis virginiana</em></td>
<td>UWBM 76135</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td><strong>0.444</strong></td>
<td>0.390*</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eira barbara</em></td>
<td>UWBM 39436</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.383*</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td><strong>0.444</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eptesicus fuscus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 66977</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.723</strong></td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eptesicus fuscus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 66980</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.827</strong></td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eptesicus fuscus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 79331</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.730</strong></td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lynx rufus</em></td>
<td>OUVC 9576</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>1.000</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Metachirus nudicaudatus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 35439</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td><strong>0.541</strong></td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Metachirus nudicaudatus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 35440</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.347*</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td><strong>0.439</strong></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Metachirus nudicaudatus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 35438</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td><strong>0.372</strong></td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.279*</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Monodelphis domestica</em></td>
<td>AMNH 261241</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td><strong>0.384</strong></td>
<td>0.380*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nasua narica</em></td>
<td>UWBM 41687</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td><strong>0.363</strong></td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nasua narica</em></td>
<td>UWBM 41688</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td><strong>0.462</strong></td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nyctinomops macrotis</em></td>
<td>UMMZ 113271</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.682</strong></td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paradoxurus hermaphroditus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 14711</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td><strong>0.770</strong></td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pecari tajacu</em></td>
<td>UWBM 20670</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td><strong>0.484</strong></td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Perameles nasuta</em></td>
<td>UWBM 82259</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td><strong>0.532</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Philander opossum</em></td>
<td>UWBM 44451</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.331*</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td><strong>0.390</strong></td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Philander opossum</em></td>
<td>UWBM 44452</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td><strong>0.497</strong></td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Philander opossum</em></td>
<td>UWBM 44469</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td><strong>0.417</strong></td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plecotus auritus</em></td>
<td>UMMZ 111012</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td><strong>0.554</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Procyon lotor</em></td>
<td>UWBM 32812</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td><strong>0.450</strong></td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.398*</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>UWBM</td>
<td>Specimen</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.389*</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Procyon lotor</em></td>
<td>32814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Procyon lotor</em></td>
<td>32819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sarcophilus harrisii</em></td>
<td>20671</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scapanus orarius</em></td>
<td>64808</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scapanus orarius</em></td>
<td>64811</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scapanus orarius</em></td>
<td>64820</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sorex vagrans</em></td>
<td>58640</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sorex vagrans</em></td>
<td>58646</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sorex vagrans</em></td>
<td>60640</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spilogale putorius</em></td>
<td>20192</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spilogale putorius</em></td>
<td>76080</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tamias townsendii</em></td>
<td>20077</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tamias townsendii</em></td>
<td>43787</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tamias townsendii</em></td>
<td>44335</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thylamys elegans</em></td>
<td>49007</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thylamys elegans</em></td>
<td>49057</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.7. Posterior probabilities of dietary categories resulting from the discriminant function analysis (DFA) of our fossil metatherian sample. The highest posterior probability representing the diet identified by DFA is in bold. Posterior probabilities < 0.001 are not reported. Other posterior probabilities that are within 0.10 to the highest posterior probability are marked with an asterisk. Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>pred. diet</th>
<th>frug</th>
<th>pdo</th>
<th>ado</th>
<th>carn</th>
<th>ins</th>
<th>sis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aenigmadelphys archeri</td>
<td>OMNH 20160</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aenigmadelphys archeri</td>
<td>OMNH 23328</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albertatherium primum</td>
<td>UALVP 29611</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albertatherium primum</td>
<td>UALVP 29612</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albertatherium secundum</td>
<td>UALVP 29534</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon halleyi</td>
<td>UCMP 130501</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon marshi</td>
<td>UCMP 52450</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon marshi</td>
<td>UCMP 53097</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon sahnii</td>
<td>OMNH 20114</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon wilsoni</td>
<td>UALVP 3532</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eoalphadon clemensi</td>
<td>MNA.V.5387</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eoalphadon lillegraveni</td>
<td>MNA.V.5835</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.394*</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eoalphadon woodburnei</td>
<td>UMNH VP 12842</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protalphadon foxi</td>
<td>UCMP 109031</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.307*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protalphadon lulli</td>
<td>UCMP 47446</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.292*</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protalphadon lulli</td>
<td>UCMP 47475</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.328*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>PDO</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>T4</td>
<td>PDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon lillegraveni</td>
<td>OMNH 20117</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon madseni</td>
<td>OMNH 20538</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon praesagus</td>
<td>UALVP 55849</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon praesagus</td>
<td>UCMP 122168</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon praesagus</td>
<td>UCMP 131345</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon rhaister</td>
<td>UCMP 47366</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.249*</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon russelli</td>
<td>UALVP 55852</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.227*</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.277*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon russelli</td>
<td>UALVP 6983</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.278*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalphadon creber</td>
<td>UALVP 29525</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalphadon creber</td>
<td>UALVP 29527</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalphadon creber</td>
<td>UALVP 5529</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalphadon wahweapensis</td>
<td>UALVP 5544</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atokatheridium boreni</td>
<td>OMNH 61623</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasbius intricatus</td>
<td>UCMP 102111</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.375*</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasbius twitchelli</td>
<td>UCMP 153679</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasbius twitchelli</td>
<td>UCMP 156143</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.383*</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasbius twitchelli</td>
<td>UCMP 224090</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.331*</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys intermedius</td>
<td>UCMP 134776</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys jasoni</td>
<td>UCMP 174506</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.279*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys jasoni</td>
<td>UCMP 177838</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.423*</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys magnus</td>
<td>UA 2846</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>0.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys minimus</td>
<td>UCMP 52715</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys minimus</td>
<td>UCMP 72211</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchistodelphys archibaldi</td>
<td>OMNH 21033</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apistodon exiguus</td>
<td>UALVP 29693</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.242*</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Museum</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>PDO</th>
<th>1st Tri.</th>
<th>2nd Tri.</th>
<th>3rd Tri.</th>
<th>4th Tri.</th>
<th>5th Tri.</th>
<th>6th Tri.</th>
<th>7th Tri.</th>
<th>8th Tri.</th>
<th>9th Tri.</th>
<th>10th Tri.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dakotadens morrowi</td>
<td>OMNH 49450</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td><strong>0.374</strong></td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.346*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatcheritherium alpha</td>
<td>YPM.VP.014911</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td><strong>0.414</strong></td>
<td>0.366*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatcheritherium alpha</td>
<td>YPM.VP.014912</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.783</strong></td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iugomortiferum thoringtoni</td>
<td>OMNH 20936</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td><strong>0.632</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokopellia juddi</td>
<td>OMMH 33248</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td><strong>0.407</strong></td>
<td>0.405*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iqualadelphis lactea</td>
<td>UALVP 22827</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.651</strong></td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iqualadelphis lactea</td>
<td>UALVP 29676</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.253*</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td><strong>0.245</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.299</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes cooki</td>
<td>UCMP 46306</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.801</strong></td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes cooki</td>
<td>UCMP 48351</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td><strong>0.343</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.432</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes krejcii</td>
<td>UCMP 51344</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td><strong>0.408</strong></td>
<td>0.398*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes krejcii</td>
<td>UCMP 47061</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td><strong>0.626</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes krejcii</td>
<td>UCMP 47552</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.451</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.533</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes krejcii</td>
<td>UCMP 52761</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td><strong>0.651</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes prokrejcii</td>
<td>UCMP 131341</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td><strong>0.592</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediomys elegans</td>
<td>UCMP 168701</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.749</strong></td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediomys elegans</td>
<td>UCMP 47558</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td><strong>0.538</strong></td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediomys elegans</td>
<td>UCMP 51335</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td><strong>0.489</strong></td>
<td>0.376*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda clemensi</td>
<td>UALVP 55855</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.824</strong></td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda clemensi</td>
<td>UCMP 122179</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.602</strong></td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda clemensi</td>
<td>UCMP 131340</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.659</strong></td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 48331</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.590</strong></td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 51389</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td><strong>0.553</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 186770</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>0.700</strong></td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 52323</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td><strong>0.380</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.388</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda hatcheri</td>
<td>UCMP 46232</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td><strong>0.427</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.430</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protolambda hatcheri</strong></td>
<td>UCMP 47262</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protolambda hatcheri</strong></td>
<td>UCMP 52404</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Didelphodon vorax</strong></td>
<td>UCMP 187607</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.323*</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Didelphodon vorax</strong></td>
<td>UCMP 47304</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pariadens kirklandi</strong></td>
<td>MNA.V.5843</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td><strong>0.493</strong></td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.8. Simulation-based $p$-values using the morphol.disparity function in the geomorph package in R (Adams et al., 2020) for calculations of dental disparity for each DTA metric and the sum of variances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>lnDNE</th>
<th>lnRFI</th>
<th>lnOPCR</th>
<th>Sum of variances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intraclade disparity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadontidae v. Pediomyidae</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disparity through time</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-Aquilan v. Aquilan</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-Aquian v. Judithian</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-Aquilan v. Lancian</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquilan v. Judithian</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquilan v. Lancian</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judithian v. Lancian</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.676</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.9. Dietary categories present in each time bin as determined by the DFA. At the top of each column, the number of species sampled in each time bin is in parentheses. Table cells include the number of taxa assigned to each diet category and the corresponding percentage rounded to the nearest tenth (in parentheses) for that time bin. Adjusted columns include the number of taxa assigned to each diet category and corresponding percentage after adjusting for interpretations from the primary literature and the second highest posterior probability (see Discussion). Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>pre-Aquilan (7)</th>
<th>pre-Aquilan adjusted</th>
<th>Aquilan (8)</th>
<th>Aquilan adjusted</th>
<th>Judithian (18)</th>
<th>Judithian adjusted</th>
<th>Lancian (18)</th>
<th>Lancian adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frug</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
<td>3 (42.8)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>3 (16.7)</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
<td>4 (22.2)</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ado</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carn</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ins</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>5 (62.5)</td>
<td>6 (75.0)</td>
<td>9 (50.0)</td>
<td>9 (50.0)</td>
<td>8 (44.4)</td>
<td>7 (38.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sis</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>5 (27.8)</td>
<td>7 (38.9)</td>
<td>6 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.14 APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Specimens included in this study that were downloaded from MorphoSource.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>species</th>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>MorphoSource media number</th>
<th>DOI</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Caluromys</em></td>
<td>sp.</td>
<td>DU:EA181</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>000028492</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M28492">https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M28492</a></td>
<td>Duke University, MorphoSource.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cheirogaleus</em></td>
<td>medius</td>
<td>DLC 1607</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>000014380</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M14380">https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M14380</a></td>
<td>The Duke Lemur Center provided access to these data, originally appearing in Yapuncich et al. (2019), the collection of which was funded by NSF BCS 1540421 to Gabriel S. Yapuncich and Doug M. Boyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cheirogaleus</em></td>
<td>medius</td>
<td>DLC 1636</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>000014389</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M14389">https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M14389</a></td>
<td>The Duke Lemur Center provided access to these data, originally appearing in Yapuncich et al. (2019), the collection of which was funded by NSF BCS 1540421 to Gabriel S. Yapuncich and Doug M. Boyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cheirogaleus</em></td>
<td>medius</td>
<td>DLC 3640</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>000015246</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M15246">https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M15246</a></td>
<td>The Duke Lemur Center provided access to these data, originally appearing in Yapuncich et al. (2019), the collection of which was funded by NSF BCS 1540421 to Gabriel S. Yapuncich and Doug M. Boyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Tooth</td>
<td>Specimen ID</td>
<td>DOI</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynx rufus</td>
<td></td>
<td>OUVC 9576</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>000067438</td>
<td>no DOI available</td>
<td>WitmerLab at Ohio University provided access to these data originally appearing on the Visible Interactive Bobcat website, the collection of which was funded by NSF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodelphis domestica</td>
<td>AMNH 261241</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>000023879</td>
<td>no DOI available</td>
<td>Eric Delson and the AMNH Department of Mammalogy provided access to these data originally appearing in the collection of which was funded by AMNH and NYCEP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyctinomops macrotis</td>
<td>UMMZ 113271</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>000035462</td>
<td>no DOI available</td>
<td>Shi et al. 2018 and the University of Michigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plecotus auritus</td>
<td>UMMZ 111012</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>000035566</td>
<td>no DOI available</td>
<td>Shi et al. 2018 and the University of Michigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2: Scanner information and settings for all specimens (extant and fossil) used in this study. Specimens with an asterisk (*) were downloaded from MorphoSource—please contact MorphoSource for more information regarding scanner information and scanner settings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Scanner</th>
<th>Voltage (kV)</th>
<th>Current (uA)</th>
<th>Image pixel size (um)</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Exposure (ms)</th>
<th>Rotation Step</th>
<th>Frame Averaging</th>
<th>Random Movement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antechinus</td>
<td>stuartii</td>
<td>UWBM 68899</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antechinus</td>
<td>stuartii</td>
<td>UWBM 68916</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antechinus</td>
<td>stuartii</td>
<td>UWBM 68915</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus</td>
<td>lituratus</td>
<td>UWBM 62023</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus</td>
<td>lituratus</td>
<td>UWBM 62030</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus</td>
<td>lituratus</td>
<td>UWBM 62034</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Scanning Center</td>
<td>Scanning Parameters</td>
<td>X-ray Material</td>
<td>X-ray Thickness</td>
<td>X-ray Dose</td>
<td>Energy (kVp)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caluromys</td>
<td><em>derbianus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 32255_B</td>
<td>SkyScan 1172</td>
<td>12.0 Al 0.5mm</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caluromys</td>
<td><em>sp.</em></td>
<td>EA 181</td>
<td>Nikon XTH 225</td>
<td>45.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheirogaleus</td>
<td><em>medius</em></td>
<td>DLC 1607</td>
<td>Nikon XTH 225</td>
<td>29.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheirogaleus</td>
<td><em>medius</em></td>
<td>DLC 1636</td>
<td>Nikon XTH 225</td>
<td>30.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheirogaleus</td>
<td><em>medius</em></td>
<td>DLC 3640</td>
<td>Nikon XTH 225</td>
<td>23.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chironectes</td>
<td><em>minimus</em></td>
<td>MVZ 173550</td>
<td>NSI X5000</td>
<td>42.5 none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crocuta</td>
<td><em>crocuta</em></td>
<td>UWBM 33257</td>
<td>SkyScan 1173</td>
<td>19.8 Al 1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasyurus</td>
<td><em>maculatus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 68901</td>
<td>SkyScan 1173</td>
<td>15.9 Al 1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Species Name</th>
<th>Catalogue No.</th>
<th>Scan Equipment</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Mm</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>Height (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Energy (kV)</th>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis</td>
<td><em>albiventris</em></td>
<td>UWBM 38710</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis</td>
<td><em>marsupialis</em></td>
<td>UWBM 44459</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis</td>
<td><em>marsupialis</em></td>
<td>UWBM 39942</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis</td>
<td><em>virginiana</em></td>
<td>UWBM 39628</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis</td>
<td><em>virginiana</em></td>
<td>UWBM 76104</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis</td>
<td><em>virginiana</em></td>
<td>UWBM 76135</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eira</td>
<td><em>barbara</em></td>
<td>UWBM 39436</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eptesicus</td>
<td><em>fuscus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 66977</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eptesicus</td>
<td><em>fuscus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 66980</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eptesicus</td>
<td><em>fuscus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 79331</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynx</td>
<td>rufus*</td>
<td>OUVC 9576</td>
<td>TriFoil Imaging eXplore CT 120</td>
<td>SkyScan 1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>Al 1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metachirus</td>
<td>nudicaudatus</td>
<td>UWBM 35438</td>
<td></td>
<td>SkyScan 1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>Al 1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metachirus</td>
<td>nudicaudatus</td>
<td>UWBM 35439</td>
<td></td>
<td>SkyScan 1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>Al 1.0mm</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metachirus</td>
<td>nudicaudatus</td>
<td>UWBM 35440</td>
<td></td>
<td>SkyScan 1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>Al 1.0mm</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodelphis</td>
<td>domestica*</td>
<td>AMNH 261241</td>
<td>General Electric phoenix v\tome\x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasua</td>
<td>narica</td>
<td>UWBM 41687</td>
<td></td>
<td>SkyScan 1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>Al 1.0mm</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasua</td>
<td>narica</td>
<td>UWBM 41688</td>
<td></td>
<td>SkyScan 1173</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>Al 1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyctinomops</td>
<td>macrotts*</td>
<td>UMMZ 113271</td>
<td>Nikon XT H 225ST (Duke)</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Catalog Number</td>
<td>CT System</td>
<td>Slice</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Al Thickness</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>FOV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradoxurus</td>
<td></td>
<td>UWBM 14711</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecari</td>
<td>tajacu</td>
<td>UWBM 20670</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perameles</td>
<td>nasuta</td>
<td>UWBM 82259</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philander</td>
<td>opossum</td>
<td>UWBM 44469</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philander</td>
<td>opossum</td>
<td>UWBM 44451</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philander</td>
<td>opossum</td>
<td>UWBM 44452</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plecotus</td>
<td>auritus*</td>
<td>UMMZ 111012</td>
<td>Scanco Medical µCT 100</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procyon</td>
<td>lotor</td>
<td>UWBM 32812</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procyon</td>
<td>lotor</td>
<td>UWBM 32814</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procyon</td>
<td>lotor</td>
<td>UWBM 39819</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Flute Depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcophilus</td>
<td>harrisii</td>
<td>UWBM 20671_B</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapanus</td>
<td>orarius</td>
<td>UWBM 64808</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapanus</td>
<td>orarius</td>
<td>UWBM 64811</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapanus</td>
<td>orarius</td>
<td>UWBM 64820</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorex</td>
<td>vagrans</td>
<td>UWBM 58640</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorex</td>
<td>vagrans</td>
<td>UWBM 58646</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorex</td>
<td>vagrans</td>
<td>UWBM 60640</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spilogale</td>
<td>putorius</td>
<td>UWBM 20192</td>
<td>SkyScan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spilogale</td>
<td>putorius</td>
<td>UWBM 76080</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>1.0mm</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamias</td>
<td>townsendii</td>
<td>UWBM 20077</td>
<td>NSI X5000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Catalogue</td>
<td>X-ray System</td>
<td>NSI</td>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>Z-Axis</td>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamias</td>
<td>townsendii</td>
<td>UWBM 43787</td>
<td>X5000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamias</td>
<td>townsendii</td>
<td>UWBM 44335</td>
<td>X5000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thylamys</td>
<td>elegans</td>
<td>UWBM 49057</td>
<td>X5000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thylamys</td>
<td>elegans</td>
<td>UWBM 49007</td>
<td>SkyScan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aenigmadelphys</td>
<td>archeri</td>
<td>OMNH 20160</td>
<td>SkyScan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aenigmadelphys</td>
<td>archeri</td>
<td>OMNH 23328</td>
<td>SkyScan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albertatherium</td>
<td>primum</td>
<td>UALVP 29611</td>
<td>SkyScan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albertatherium</td>
<td>primum</td>
<td>UALVP 29612</td>
<td>SkyScan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albertatherium</td>
<td>secundum</td>
<td>UALVP 29534</td>
<td>SkyScan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon</td>
<td>halleyi</td>
<td>UCMP 130501</td>
<td>SkyScan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Catalogue</td>
<td>Scan ID</td>
<td>1st Date</td>
<td>2nd Date</td>
<td>3rd Date</td>
<td>4th Date</td>
<td>5th Date</td>
<td>6th Date</td>
<td>7th Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon</td>
<td>marshi</td>
<td>UCMP 52450</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon</td>
<td>marshi</td>
<td>UCMP 53097</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon</td>
<td>sahni</td>
<td>OMNH 20114</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon</td>
<td>wilsoni</td>
<td>UALVP 3532</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchistodelphys</td>
<td>archibaldi</td>
<td>OMNH 21033</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apistodon</td>
<td>exigus</td>
<td>UALVP 29693</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atokatheridium</td>
<td>boreni</td>
<td>OMNH 61623</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakotadens</td>
<td>morrowi</td>
<td>OMNH 49450</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphodon</td>
<td>vorax</td>
<td>UCMP 187607</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphodon</td>
<td>vorax</td>
<td>UCMP 47304</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>Subspecies</td>
<td>Catalog</td>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Slice N</td>
<td>Slice Thk</td>
<td>Mag</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalphadon</em></td>
<td>clemensi</td>
<td>MNA.V.5387</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalphadon</em></td>
<td>lillegravi</td>
<td>MNA.V.5835</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalphadon</em></td>
<td>woodburnei</td>
<td>UMNH VP</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>12842</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius</em></td>
<td>intricatus</td>
<td>UCMP 102111</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius</em></td>
<td>twitchelli</td>
<td>UCMP 153679</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius</em></td>
<td>twitchelli</td>
<td>UCMP 156143</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius</em></td>
<td>twitchelli</td>
<td>UCMP 224090</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hatcheritherium</em></td>
<td>alpha</td>
<td>YPM.VP.014911</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hatcheritherium</em></td>
<td>alpha</td>
<td>YPM.VP.014912</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Iqualadelphis</em></td>
<td>lactea</td>
<td>UALVP 22827</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Catalogue No.</td>
<td>Facility Code</td>
<td>Slice No.</td>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>FOV</td>
<td>Progression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Iqualadelphis</em></td>
<td>lactea</td>
<td>UALVP 29676</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Iugomortiferum</em></td>
<td>thoringtoni</td>
<td>OMNH 20936</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kokopellia</em></td>
<td>juddi</td>
<td>OMNH 33248</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>none</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes</em></td>
<td>cooki</td>
<td>UCMP 48351</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes</em></td>
<td>cooki</td>
<td>UCMP 51344</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes</em></td>
<td>cooki</td>
<td>UCMP 46306</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes</em></td>
<td>krejci</td>
<td>UCMP 47061</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes</em></td>
<td>krejci</td>
<td>UCMP 47552</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes</em></td>
<td>krejci</td>
<td>UCMP 52761</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes</em></td>
<td>prokrejci</td>
<td>UCMP 131341</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Catalog</td>
<td>Instrument</td>
<td>Slices</td>
<td>Thickness (µm)</td>
<td>Section Thickness (µm)</td>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>Localization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys</td>
<td>intermedius</td>
<td>UCMP 134776</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm 1170</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys</td>
<td>jasoni</td>
<td>UCMP 174506</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm 1300</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys</td>
<td>jasoni</td>
<td>UCMP 177838</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm 750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys</td>
<td>magnus</td>
<td>UALVP 2846</td>
<td>Skyscan 1173</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>none 1500</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys</td>
<td>minimus</td>
<td>UCMP 52715</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm 1170</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys</td>
<td>minimus</td>
<td>UCMP 72211</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm 1170</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pariadens</td>
<td>kirklandi</td>
<td>MNA.V.5843</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm 750</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediomys</td>
<td>elegans</td>
<td>UCMP 47558</td>
<td>Skyscan 1173</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm 1170</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediomys</td>
<td>elegans</td>
<td>UCMP 51335</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm 680</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediomys</td>
<td>elegans</td>
<td>UCMP 168701</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>none 200</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Catalogue</td>
<td>Instrument</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Angle</td>
<td>Bending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protalphadon</td>
<td>foxi</td>
<td>UCMP 109031</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protalphadon</td>
<td>lulli</td>
<td>UCMP 47446</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protalphadon</td>
<td>lulli</td>
<td>UCMP 47475</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>clemensi</td>
<td>UALVP 55855</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>clemensi</td>
<td>UCMP 122179</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>clemensi</td>
<td>UCMP 131340</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 186770</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 48331</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 51389</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 52323</td>
<td>Skyscan</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Subspecies</td>
<td>Collection Code</td>
<td>Scanning Setup</td>
<td>Slice</td>
<td>Spacing</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>Slice</td>
<td>Spacing</td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>hatcheri</td>
<td>UCMP 46232</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>hatcheri</td>
<td>UCMP 47262</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda</td>
<td>hatcheri</td>
<td>UCMP 52404</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon</td>
<td>lillegraveni</td>
<td>OMNH 20117</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon</td>
<td>madseni</td>
<td>OMNH 20538</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon</td>
<td>praesagus</td>
<td>UALVP 55849</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon</td>
<td>praesagus</td>
<td>UCMP 122168</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>680</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon</td>
<td>praesagus</td>
<td>UCMP 131345</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon</td>
<td>rhaister</td>
<td>UCMP 47366</td>
<td>Skyscan 1173</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon</td>
<td>russelli</td>
<td>UALVP 55852</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.5mm</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon</td>
<td>russelli</td>
<td>UALVP 6983</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalphadon</td>
<td>creber</td>
<td>UALVP 29525</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalphadon</td>
<td>creber</td>
<td>UALVP 29527</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalphadon</td>
<td>creber</td>
<td>UALVP 5529</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalphadon</td>
<td>wahweapensis</td>
<td>UALVP 5544</td>
<td>Skyscan 1172</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>Al 0.5mm</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 3: Dental topographic analysis (DTA) values for sample of extant mammals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Specimen type</th>
<th>DNE</th>
<th>RFI</th>
<th>OPCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Antechinus stuartii</em></td>
<td>UWBM 68899</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>371.95</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>115.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Antechinus stuartii</em></td>
<td>UWBM 68915</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>404.43</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>109.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Antechinus stuartii</em></td>
<td>UWBM 68916</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>414.91</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>84.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Artibeus lituratus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 62023</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>287.69</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>129.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Artibeus lituratus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 62030</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>303.45</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>159.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Artibeus lituratus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 62034</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>224.44</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>84.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Caluromys derbianus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 32255</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>293.24</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>100.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Caluromys sp.</em></td>
<td>EA 181</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>MorphoSource</td>
<td>203.07</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>104.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cheirogaleus medius</em></td>
<td>DLC 1607</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>MorphoSource</td>
<td>191.07</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>92.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cheirogaleus medius</em></td>
<td>DLC 1636</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>MorphoSource</td>
<td>177.66</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>123.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cheirogaleus medius</em></td>
<td>DLC 3640</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>MorphoSource</td>
<td>166.49</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>66.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chironectes minimus</em></td>
<td>MVZ 173550</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>184.84</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>84.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crocuta crocuta</em></td>
<td>UWBM 33257</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>154.21</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>46.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dasyurus maculatus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 68901</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>244.61</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>74.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis albiventris</em></td>
<td>UWBM 38710</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>247.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>87.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis marsupialis</em></td>
<td>UWBM 39942</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>231.12</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>103.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis marsupialis</em></td>
<td>UWBM 44459</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>193.54</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>99.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis virginiana</em></td>
<td>UWBM 39628</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>272.11</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>124.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis virginiana</em></td>
<td>UWBM 76104</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>262.56</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>104.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphis virginiana</em></td>
<td>UWBM 76135</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>237.79</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>107.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eira barbara</em></td>
<td>UWBM 39436</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>131.75</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>63.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eptesicus fuscus</em></td>
<td>UWBM 66977</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>454.92</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>101.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Museum Accession</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eptesicus fuscus</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 66980</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>original</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>566.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eptesicus fuscus</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 79331</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>original</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>456.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lynx rufus</strong></td>
<td>OUVC 9576</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>MorphoSource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>118.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metachirus nudicaudatus</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 35439</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>189.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metachirus nudicaudatus</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 35440</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>251.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metachirus nudicaudatus</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 35438</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>154.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monodelphis domestica</strong></td>
<td>AMNH 261241</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>MorphoSource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>330.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nasua narica</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 41687</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>171.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nasua narica</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 41688</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>167.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nyctinomops macrotis</strong></td>
<td>UMMZ 113271</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>MorphoSource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>380.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paradoxurus hermaphroditus</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 14711</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>264.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pecari tajacu</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 20670</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perameles nasuta</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 82259</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Philander opossum</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 44451</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>227.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Philander opossum</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 44452</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>213.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Philander opossum</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 44469</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>261.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plecostus auritus</strong></td>
<td>UMMZ 111012</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>MorphoSource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>274.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procyon lotor</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 32812</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>266.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procyon lotor</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 32814</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>245.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procyon lotor</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 32819</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>201.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sarcophilus harrisii</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 20671</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>144.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scapanus orarius</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 64808</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>290.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scapanus orarius</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 64811</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>406.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scapanus orarius</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 64820</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>original</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>343.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sorex vagrans</strong></td>
<td>UWBM 58640</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>554.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Catalogue Number</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sorex vagrans</em></td>
<td>UWBM 58646</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>509.66</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>109.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sorex vagrans</em></td>
<td>UWBM 60640</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>415.48</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>120.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spilogale putorius</em></td>
<td>UWBM 20192</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>200.23</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>82.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spilogale putorius</em></td>
<td>UWBM 76080</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>mold</td>
<td>182.53</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>95.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tamias townsendii</em></td>
<td>UWBM 20077</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>214.20</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>80.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tamias townsendii</em></td>
<td>UWBM 43787</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>203.30</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>80.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tamias townsendii</em></td>
<td>UWBM 44335</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>190.31</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>75.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thylamys elegans</em></td>
<td>UWBM 49007</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>367.46</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thylamys elegans</em></td>
<td>UWBM 49057</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>original</td>
<td>331.34</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>98.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 4: Dental topographic metrics (DTA) values for sample of NALK metatherians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Specimen type</th>
<th>DNE</th>
<th>RFI</th>
<th>OPCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Aenigmadelphys archeri</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20160</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>392.30</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>99.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aenigmadelphys archeri</em></td>
<td>OMNH 23328</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>422.14</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>115.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Albertatherium primum</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29611</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>444.77</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>129.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Albertatherium primum</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29612</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>407.47</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>118.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Albertatherium secundum</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29534</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>388.22</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>117.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon halleyi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 130501</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>268.97</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>91.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon marshi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 52450</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>425.40</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>126.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon marshi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 53097</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>362.59</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>113.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon sahnii</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20114</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>484.49</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>125.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon wilsoni</em></td>
<td>UALVP 3532</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>285.11</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>96.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalpahdon clemensi</em></td>
<td>MNA.V.5387</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>290.47</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>89.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalpahdon lillegraveni</em></td>
<td>MNA.V.5835</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>285.35</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>113.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalpahdon woodburnei</em></td>
<td>UMNH VP 12842</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>375.32</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>116.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protalpahdon foxi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 109031</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>277.24</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>93.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protalpahdon lulli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47446</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>304.42</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>102.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protalpahdon lulli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47475</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>261.10</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>84.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon lillegraveni</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20117</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>259.44</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>90.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon madseni</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20538</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>458.15</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>127.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon praesagus</em></td>
<td>UALVP 55849</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>305.44</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>95.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon praesagus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 122168</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>399.86</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>128.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon praesagus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 131345</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>310.35</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>98.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon rhaister</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47366</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>303.98</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>98.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Catalogue Number</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon russelli</td>
<td>UALVP 55852</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>316.17</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgidodon russelli</td>
<td>UALVP 6983</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>297.67</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalaphadon creber</td>
<td>UALVP 29525</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>397.10</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>111.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalaphadon creber</td>
<td>UALVP 29527</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>362.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>102.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalaphadon creber</td>
<td>UALVP 5529</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>353.74</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>110.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varalaphadon wahweapensis</td>
<td>UALVP 5544</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>324.02</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atokatheridium boreni</td>
<td>OMNH 61623</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>251.57</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>70.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasbius intricatus</td>
<td>UCMP 102111</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>226.32</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>93.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasbius twitchelli</td>
<td>UCMP 153679</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>190.53</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>78.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasbius twitchelli</td>
<td>UCMP 156143</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>273.98</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>105.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasbius twitchelli</td>
<td>UCMP 224090</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>234.74</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>86.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys intermedius</td>
<td>UCMP 134776</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>322.45</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys jasoni</td>
<td>UCMP 174506</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>292.72</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>97.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys jasoni</td>
<td>UCMP 177838</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>337.70</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>102.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys magnus</td>
<td>UA 2846</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>367.75</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>104.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys minimus</td>
<td>UCMP 52715</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>345.55</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>117.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys minimus</td>
<td>UCMP 72211</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>397.74</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>113.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhistodelphys archibaldi</td>
<td>OMNH 21033</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>452.47</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>125.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apistodon exiguus</td>
<td>UALVP 29693</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>315.13</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>110.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakotadens morrowi</td>
<td>OMNH 49450</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>197.22</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>72.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatcheritherium alpha</td>
<td>YPM.VP.014911</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>326.99</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>131.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatcheritherium alpha</td>
<td>YPM.VP.014912</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>415.27</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>119.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iugomortiferum thoringtoni</td>
<td>OMNH 20936</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>178.56</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>66.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokopellia juddi</td>
<td>OMMH 33248</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>330.91</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>103.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iqualadelphis lactea</td>
<td>UALVP 22827</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>422.06</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>105.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Iqualadelphis lactea</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29676</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>304.89</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>100.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes cooki</em></td>
<td>UCMP 46306</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>431.69</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>123.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes cooki</em></td>
<td>UCMP 48351</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>315.06</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>95.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes cooki</em></td>
<td>UCMP 51344</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>329.62</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>102.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes krejci</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47061</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>302.44</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>84.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes krejci</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47552</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>365.78</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>97.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes krejci</em></td>
<td>UCMP 52761</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>302.57</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>80.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptalestes prokrejci</em></td>
<td>UCMP 131341</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>297.55</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>82.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pediomys elegans</em></td>
<td>UCMP 168701</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>400.49</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>129.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pediomys elegans</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47558</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>353.77</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>110.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pediomys elegans</em></td>
<td>UCMP 51335</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>339.73</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>100.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda clemensi</em></td>
<td>UALVP 55855</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>452.89</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>123.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda clemensi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 122179</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>382.09</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>109.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda clemensi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 131340</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>409.48</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>99.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda florencae</em></td>
<td>UCMP 48331</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>367.11</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>102.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda florencae</em></td>
<td>UCMP 51389</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>347.66</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>91.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda florencae</em></td>
<td>UCMP 186770</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>396.09</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>113.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda florencae</em></td>
<td>UCMP 52323</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>319.62</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>96.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda hatcheri</em></td>
<td>UCMP 46232</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>328.43</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>96.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda hatcheri</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47262</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>332.33</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>94.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protolambda hatcheri</em></td>
<td>UCMP 52404</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>279.61</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>77.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphodon vorax</em></td>
<td>UCMP 187607</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>257.68</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>100.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Didelphodon vorax</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47304</td>
<td>cast</td>
<td>367.07</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>110.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pariadens kirklandi</em></td>
<td>MNA.V.5843</td>
<td>fossil</td>
<td>301.52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>113.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 5: Posterior probabilities of dietary categories resulting from discriminant function analysis (DFA) for our extant mammal dataset and NALK metatherian dataset using the OPCR parameter minimum patch count = 5.

The highest posterior probability representing the diet identified by DFA is in bold. Posterior probabilities < 0.001 are not reported. Other posterior probabilities that are within 0.10 to the highest posterior probability are marked with an asterisk. Fossil specimens are listed directly after the extant sample. Abbreviations for diet categories: ado = animal-dominated omnivore; carn = carnivore; frug = frugivore; ins = insectivore; pdo = plant-dominated omnivore; sis = soft-insect specialist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>diet</th>
<th>frug</th>
<th>pdo</th>
<th>ado</th>
<th>carn</th>
<th>ins</th>
<th>sis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antechinus stuartii</td>
<td>UWBM 68899</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antechinus stuartii</td>
<td>UWBM 68915</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antechinus stuartii</td>
<td>UWBM 68916</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.496*</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus lituratus</td>
<td>UWBM 62023</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.441*</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus lituratus</td>
<td>UWBM 62030</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus lituratus</td>
<td>UWBM 62034</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caluromys derbianus</td>
<td>UWBM 32255</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.258*</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caluromys sp.</td>
<td>EA 181</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheirogaleus medius</td>
<td>DLC 1607</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheirogaleus medius</td>
<td>DLC 1636</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheirogaleus medius</td>
<td>DLC 3640</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chironectes minimus</td>
<td>MVZ 173550</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crocuta crocuta</td>
<td>UWBM 33257</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasyurus maculatus</td>
<td>UWBM 68901</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis albiventris</td>
<td>UWBM 38710</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Specimen ID</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>PDO</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>Lower 95% CI</td>
<td>Upper 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis marsupialis</td>
<td>UWBM 39942</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis marsupialis</td>
<td>UWBM 44459</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis virginiana</td>
<td>UWBM 39628</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis virginiana</td>
<td>UWBM 76104</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphis virginiana</td>
<td>UWBM 76135</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eira barbara</td>
<td>UWBM 39436</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eptesicus fuscus</td>
<td>UWBM 66977</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eptesicus fuscus</td>
<td>UWBM 66980</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eptesicus fuscus</td>
<td>UWBM 79331</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynx rufus</td>
<td>OUVC 9576</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metachirus nudicaudatus</td>
<td>UWBM 35439</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metachirus nudicaudatus</td>
<td>UWBM 35440</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metachirus nudicaudatus</td>
<td>UWBM 35438</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodelphis domestica</td>
<td>AMNH 261241</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasua narica</td>
<td>UWBM 41687</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasua narica</td>
<td>UWBM 41688</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyctinomops macrotis</td>
<td>UMMZ 113271</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradoxurus hermaphroditus</td>
<td>UWBM 14711</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecari tajacu</td>
<td>UWBM 20670</td>
<td>frug</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perameles nasuta</td>
<td>UWBM 82259</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philander opossum</td>
<td>UWBM 44451</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philander opossum</td>
<td>UWBM 44452</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philander opossum</td>
<td>UWBM 44469</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plecotus auritus</td>
<td>UMMZ 111012</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procyon lotor</td>
<td>UWBM 32812</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Museum</td>
<td>Collection</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
<td>Upper Bound</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procyon lotor</td>
<td>UWBM 32814</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.388*</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procyon lotor</td>
<td>UWBM 32819</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcophilus harrisii</td>
<td>UWBM 20671</td>
<td>carn</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.825*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapanus orarius</td>
<td>UWBM 64808</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapanus orarius</td>
<td>UWBM 64811</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapanus orarius</td>
<td>UWBM 64820</td>
<td>sis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorex vagrans</td>
<td>UWBM 58640</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorex vagrans</td>
<td>UWBM 58646</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorex vagrans</td>
<td>UWBM 60640</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spilogale putorius</td>
<td>UWBM 20192</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spilogale putorius</td>
<td>UWBM 76080</td>
<td>ado</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamias townsendii</td>
<td>UWBM 20077</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamias townsendii</td>
<td>UWBM 43787</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamias townsendii</td>
<td>UWBM 44335</td>
<td>pdo</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.321*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thylamys elegans</td>
<td>UWBM 49007</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thylamys elegans</td>
<td>UWBM 49057</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.385*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aenigmadelphys archeri</td>
<td>OMNH 20160</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aenigmadelphys archeri</td>
<td>OMNH 23328</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albertatherium primum</td>
<td>UALVP 29611</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albertatherium primum</td>
<td>UALVP 29612</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albertatherium secundum</td>
<td>UALVP 29534</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon halleyi</td>
<td>UCMP 130501</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon marshi</td>
<td>UCMP 52450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon marshi</td>
<td>UCMP 53097</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphadon sahnii</td>
<td>OMNH 20114</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Catalog</td>
<td>Line 1</td>
<td>Line 2</td>
<td>Line 3</td>
<td>Line 4</td>
<td>Line 5</td>
<td>Line 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alphadon wilsoni</em></td>
<td>UALVP 3532</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalphadon clemensi</em></td>
<td>MNA.V.5387</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalphadon lillegraveni</em></td>
<td>MNA.V.5835</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.417*</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eoalphadon woodburnei</em></td>
<td>UMNH VP 12842</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protalphadon foxi</em></td>
<td>UCMP 109031</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protalphadon lulli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47446</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.295*</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protalphadon lulli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47475</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon lillegraveni</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20117</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon madseni</em></td>
<td>OMNH 20538</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon praesagus</em></td>
<td>UALVP 55849</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.252*</td>
<td>0.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon praesagus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 122168</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon praesagus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 131345</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon rhaister</em></td>
<td>UCMP 47366</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon russelli</em></td>
<td>UALVP 55852</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.255*</td>
<td>0.225*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turgidodon russelli</em></td>
<td>UALVP 6983</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.278*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varalphadon creber</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29525</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varalphadon creber</em></td>
<td>UALVP 29527</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varalphadon creber</em></td>
<td>UALVP 5529</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Varalphadon wahweapensis</em></td>
<td>UALVP 5544</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.357*</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Atokatheridium boreni</em></td>
<td>OMNH 61623</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius intricatus</em></td>
<td>UCMP 102111</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius twitchelli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 153679</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius twitchelli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 156143</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.412*</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glasbius twitchelli</em></td>
<td>UCMP 224090</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nortedelphys intermedius</em></td>
<td>UCMP 134776</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.342*</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Catalog Number</td>
<td>Specimen</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Body Mass</td>
<td>Tail Mass</td>
<td>Ear Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys jasoni</td>
<td>UCMP 174506</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.270*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys jasoni</td>
<td>UCMP 177838</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys magnus</td>
<td>UA 2846</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys minimus</td>
<td>UCMP 52715</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortedelphys minimus</td>
<td>UCMP 72211</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchistodelphys archibaldi</td>
<td>OMNH 21033</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apistodon exiguus</td>
<td>UALVP 29693</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakotadens morrovi</td>
<td>OMNH 49450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatcheritherium alpha</td>
<td>YPM.VP.014911</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.335*</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatcheritherium alpha</td>
<td>YPM.VP.014912</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iugomortiferum thoringtoni</td>
<td>OMNH 20936</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.365*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokopellia juddi</td>
<td>OMMH 33248</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.362*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iqualadelphis lactea</td>
<td>UALVP 22827</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iqualadelphis lactea</td>
<td>UALVP 29676</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.187*</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.224*</td>
<td>0.275*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes cooki</td>
<td>UCMP 46306</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes cooki</td>
<td>UCMP 48351</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.324*</td>
<td>0.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes cooki</td>
<td>UCMP 51344</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.396*</td>
<td>0.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes krejcii</td>
<td>UCMP 47061</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes krejcii</td>
<td>UCMP 47552</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes krejcii</td>
<td>UCMP 52761</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptalestes prokrejcii</td>
<td>UCMP 131341</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediomys elegans</td>
<td>UCMP 168701</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediomys elegans</td>
<td>UCMP 47558</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediomys elegans</td>
<td>UCMP 51335</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda clemensi</td>
<td>UALVP 55855</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen Name</td>
<td>Collection ID</td>
<td>Measure 1</td>
<td>Measure 2</td>
<td>Measure 3</td>
<td>Measure 4</td>
<td>Measure 5</td>
<td>Measure 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda clemensi</td>
<td>UCMP 122179</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda clemensi</td>
<td>UCMP 131340</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 186770</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 48331</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 51389</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda florencae</td>
<td>UCMP 52323</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.367*</td>
<td>0.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda hatcheri</td>
<td>UCMP 46232</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.356*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda hatcheri</td>
<td>UCMP 47262</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protolambda hatcheri</td>
<td>UCMP 52404</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphodon vorax</td>
<td>UCMP 187607</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.330*</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didelphodon vorax</td>
<td>UCMP 47304</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pariadens kirklandi</td>
<td>MNA.V.5843</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUDING REMARKS

The studies of this dissertation use anatomical data and quantitative analyses to reassess the phylogenetic relationships among metatherian mammals and, more specifically, investigate evolutionary patterns of feeding ecologies of Late Cretaceous-aged North American metatherians. Metatherians diversified into a wide range of dietary niches during the Late Cretaceous—more so than other contemporaneous mammal groups or than has previously been appreciated. However, even with the addition of new data for Alphadon, our understanding of the origin of crown marsupials remains muddled. Taking into consideration the studies presented in the chapters above, important aspects of evolution and ecomorphology of this clade have been elucidated. Here, I summarize the major conclusions from each study.

Chapter 2

- The metatherian fossils from Egg Mountain represent some of the most complete skulls of any North American marsupialiform from the Cretaceous. Fourteen previously unknown character scores were updated for Alphadon and a subsequent parsimony analysis was conducted to reassess the phylogenetic relationships among metatherians.
- Much of the marsupialiform tree is unstable; we recover a different topology than the most recent analyses that focus on fossil metatherian taxa.
- Holoclemensia, a taxon most recently found to be at the base of the metatherian tree, is recovered as a stem eutherian or at the base of Eutheria.
- The Pediomyidae and Stagodontidae are phylogenetically robust; they are resolved as monophyletic groups, as in previous studies.
• Crown marsupials, North American marsupialiforms, and South American marsupialiforms are recovered as a polytomy; our results demonstrate the overall instability of the metatherian tree, and the origin of crown marsupials remains unclear.

Chapter 3

• *Eodelphis browni* was better suited to withstand dorsoventral forces and likely preyed on insects and small vertebrates. It likely was not capable of hard-object feeding, as it does not show any dorsoventral buttressing at the crushing locus or any mediolateral buttressing.

• *Eodelphis cutleri* was better suited for durophagy than *Eodelphis browni*, but less so than *Didelphodon*. *Eodelphis cutleri* shows patterns of dorsoventral buttressing from the canine to the p2–p3 and mediolateral buttressing in the anterior region of the dentary.

• There are two possible scenarios for the evolution of durophagy within the Stagodontidae: (1) a suite of morphological changes associated with durophagy evolved twice within stagodontids (once in *Eodelphis cutleri* and once in the most recent common ancestor of *Didelphodon coyi* and *Didelphodon vorax*; or (2) *Eodelphis* is paraphyletic, and a suite of morphological changes associated with durophagy evolved in the most recent common ancestor of *Eodelphis cutleri* and *Didelphodon*.

• Bending strength analysis of dentaries, which is independent of dental shape analyses, is an important tool for constraining dietary inferences of extinct mammals and should be considered when interpreting diet for fossil taxa.

• A broad range of morphologies of the dentary among Cretaceous metatherians was present that, at minimum, hint at a correspondingly broad range of biomechanical capabilities and feeding ecologies among these taxa. This study adds to the growing
consensus that Mesozoic mammals were more ecomorphologically diverse than previously thought.

Chapter 4

- Although three-dimensional dental topographic analysis has most often been applied to lower molars, we determined that this technique can also be reliably applied to upper molars.
- Our results show that many North American Late Cretaceous metatherians were insectivorous; however, the analyses also indicate that early metatherians exhibited a broad range of diets: insectivory, soft-insect specialists, carnivory, animal- and plant-dominated omnivory and likely frugivory.
- Dental disparity of metatherians did not significantly increase in the Late Cretaceous, contrary to our predictions.
- Our diet reconstructions and those from previous studies of taxa not sampled in our study show that NALK metatherians diversified into a wide range of dietary niches—more so than any other contemporary mammalian clade. Relative to other mammalian clades, both dental disparity and dietary diversity of metatherians were moderately high and stable throughout the Late Cretaceous.
- Metatherians underwent a pre-Cretaceous-Paleogene ecological diversification prior to the ecological diversification of angiosperms. We hypothesize that the metatherian ecological diversification was more correlated in time with the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution (ca. 125–80 Ma) and the mid-Cretaceous taxonomic diversification of angiosperms.