UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF
OCEANOGRAPHY

Technical Reports

Nos. 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, and 205

A COMPILATION OF ARTICLES REPORTING RESEARCH

SPONSORED JOINTLY BY

THE U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

and

THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Contract AT(45-1)-1725
and
Office of Naval Research
Contracts Nonr -477(37)
and Nonr-477(10)
Project NR 083 012

Reference M67-52
September 1967



SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98105

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF OCEANOGRAPHY
Seattle, Washington 98105

Technical Reports

Nos. 200, 201, 202,
203, 204, and 205

A COMPILATION OF ARTICLES REPORTING RESEARCH

SPONSORED JOINTLY BY

THE U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

and

THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
Contract AT(45-1)-1725
and
Office of Naval Research
Contracts Nonr-477(37)
and Nonr-477(10)



RICHARD H. FLEMING
Chairman



CLIFFORD A. BARNES
Principal Investigator

ARTICLES REPORTING RESEARCH SPONSORED JOINTLY BY THE
U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION AND THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Technical Report No. 200

NOTES ON PATAGIUM IN THE RADIOLARIAN GENERA HYMENIASTRUM AND DICTYASTRUM, by Hsin-Yi Ling. Micropaleontology, *12*(4): 489–492. 1966.

Technical Report No. 201

PHYSIOGRAPHY OF COBB AND GORDA RISES, NORTHEAST PACIFIC OCEAN, by Dean A. McManus. Geological Society of America Bulletin, *78*:527–546. 1967.

Technical Report No. 202

ORGANIC CARBON IN SURFACE SEDIMENT FROM THE NORTHEAST PACIFIC OCEAN, by M. Grant Gross. International Journal of Oceanology and Limnology, *1*(1): 46–54. 1967.

Technical Report No. 203

CURRENTS AT THE COLUMBIA RIVER MOUTH, by Alyn C. Duxbury. Photogrammetric Engineering, *33*(3):305–310. 1967.

Technical Report No. 204

SEDIMENT MOVEMENT ON THE CONTINENTAL SHELF NEAR WASHINGTON AND OREGON, by M. Grant Gross and Jack L. Nelson. Science, *154*(3750):879–885. 1966.

Technical Report No. 205

TIDAL PERIOD OSCILLATIONS OF AN ISOHALINE SURFACE OFF THE MOUTH OF THE COLUMBIA RIVER, by Alyn C. Duxbury and Noel B. McGary. International Journal of Oceanology and Limnology, *1*(2):71–84. 1967.

Sediment Movement on the Continental Shelf near Washington and Oregon

M. Grant Gross
Jack L. Nelson

Reprinted from Science, November 18, 1966, Vol. 154, No. 3750, pages 879-885

Sediment Movement on the Continental Shelf near Washington and Oregon

Abstract. *The nuclides zinc-65 and cobalt-60 associated with river-borne particulate matter are incorporated in sediment on the Continental Shelf near the Columbia River. Changes in the relative concentrations of zinc-65 and cobalt-60 and in the ratio of the activity of zinc-65 and cobalt-60 suggest that radioactive sediment moves northward 12 to 30 kilometers per year along the shelf and 2.5 to 10 kilometers per year westward away from the coast.*

A large and relatively constant supply of radionuclides has been added to the Columbia River by the Hanford reactors over a period of many years. This makes it possible to study the movement and distribution of water (*1*) and sediment (*2*) in the river and in the adjacent northeast Pacific Ocean (*3*, *4*). Sediments on the Continental Shelf near the Columbia River contain Zn^{65} (*4*), half-life 245 days, and Co^{60}, half-life 5.3 years. The activity of each nuclide is greatest in the sediment near the river mouth and decreases with increasing distance. We believe that this change in activity is due in large part to radioactive decay of the nuclides after they become associated with river-borne particulate matter which is later deposited on the Continental Shelf. The decrease of activity with time permits us to estimate the rate of movement of sediment. We have calculated the results by means of two simple models that provide estimates of the minimum and maximum rates of sediment movement.

With the simplest model it is assumed that the change in radioactivity with increasing distance from the river mouth is due solely to radioactive decay and that there is no mixing with previously deposited sediment. Therefore we can estimate the minimum rate of movement because the model slightly overestimates the time involved.

The second model is based on the variations in the activity ratio $[A(Zn^{65})/A(Co^{60})]$, which is not affected by mixing with nonradioactive sediment because the activity of each nuclide per gram of sediment is diminished by the same relative amount. The half-period of the activity ratio, approximately 280 days, is long enough to provide useful information about the movement of the sediment for several years preceding its collection. The elapsed time is less than that given by the simpler model; consequently the calculated rate may be a maximum. By comparing the variation in the activity ratio with changes in the relative abundance of Co^{60}, we can estimate the amount of mixing with nonradioactive sediment necessary to cause the change.

To meet the requirements of the simplest model where only the radioactive decay of Zn^{65} or Co^{60} is considered, certain conditions must be satisfied: (i) the Columbia River must have been the main source of the nuclides; (ii) the radionuclides must have been injected into the river in relatively constant amounts for several years preceding the sample collection; (iii) the nuclides must have been associated with particulate matter in the river before they entered the ocean; and (iv) there must have been no major removal or addition of either nuclide, other than decay, after the particulate matter was incorporated in the sediment.

We believe these conditions were satisfied reasonably well. Although Zn^{65} and Co^{60} occur in atmospheric fallout (*5*), comparisons of the abundance of these two nuclides relative to fission products (such as Zr^{95}–Nb^{95}) in the sediment (*4*) indicate that from 1962 through mid-1964 (*6*) fallout was a smaller source of Zn^{65} and Co^{60} than the Columbia River was.

The annual average rate of transport of Zn^{65} (*7*) as it passes Vancouver, Washington, has been relatively constant: 1961, 44 curie/day; 1962, 29 curie/day; 1963, 28 curie/day. We have no comparable data for Co^{60}. Both nuclides are associated principally with riverborne particulate matter (Fig. 1A) by the time they enter the ocean.

The Zn^{65} and Co^{60} associated with Columbia River sediment are not easily removed by ion exchange (*8*). The uptake of Zn^{65} by Columbia River sediment is largely an irreversible process and the Zn^{65} is not easily removed by mixing with salt water (*9*). Zinc and cobalt behave similarly when associated with many clay minerals (*10*). Hence we assume that neither Zn^{65} nor Co^{60} is readily removed from the sediment after it enters the ocean.

The decrease in Zn^{65} activities in the sediment (*4*, *11*) outside the area of rapid shoaling (Fig. 2) suggests that the rate of sediment movement is between 20 and 25 km/yr north and south, parallel to the coastline, and 12 km/yr westward away from the river mouth. A similar calculation for Co^{60} suggests that the rate of sediment movement northward is 12 km/yr and 2.5 km/yr south and west of the river mouth. The relative abundance of both nuclides decreases by a factor of three to ten within the area of rapid shoaling, presumably because of mixing with nonradioactive sediment.

In order to use the activity ratio to determine rates of sediment movement



Fig. 1. (A) Relative amounts of Zn^{65} and Co^{60} associated with river-borne particulate matter in 1964. (B) Activity ratios for water and particulate matter from the lower Columbia River during 1964.

we must first demonstrate that the two nuclides are supplied to the river in relatively constant proportions and that the activity ratio of the river-borne particulate matter is relatively constant at the time it reaches the ocean.

From 1960 through 1964, the Hanford reactors (*7*) released Zn^{65} and Co^{60} to the river in relatively constant proportions. The average activity ratio of reactor effluent was 45; yearly averages ranged from 41 to 59. Within any given year the activity ratio of reactor effluent undergoes large seasonal changes for unknown reasons.

Studies (*2, 8, 12*) of water and suspended particulate matter from the river indicate that in 1964 the activity ratio of suspended particulate matter was relatively constant [$A(Zn^{65})/A(Co^{60}) \simeq 50$] and changed little downstream from Hood River, Oregon, approximately 340 km from the reactors (Fig. 1B). During very high flow of the Columbia River, the water, and presumably its suspended sediment, travels this distance in about 2.5 days; during low flow it requires about 11 days to travel from the reactors to Hood River, Oregon (*1*).

The activity ratios of particulate matter in the river and in the marine sediment accumulating near the river mouth are similar. The activity ratio does not change drastically after the particulate matter leaves the river and is incorporated in marine sediment on the Continental Shelf (Fig. 1B). Hence, it appears that little Zn^{65} or Co^{60} is removed after the Columbia River sediment enters the ocean. We assume an initial activity ratio of 50 in sediment recently derived from the Columbia River.

The activity ratio of the sediment is greatest near the river mouth and generally decreases with increasing distance from the river (Fig. 2). The largest activity ratios occur in the area where rapid shoaling has occurred since 1877 (*13*). The decrease away from the river mouth can be explained if we assume that the maximum rate of sediment movement is 30 km/yr parallel to the coast and 10 km/yr away from the coast toward the edge of the Continental Shelf.

Comparison of the activity ratios and the abundance of Co^{60} suggests that within the area of rapid shoaling 1 volume of radioactive sediment mixes with 2 or 3 volumes of nonradioactive sediment in less than 2 months. Similarly, the radioactive sediment farthest from the river has apparently mixed with 15 equal volumes of nonradioactive sediment within approximately 2 years.

The activity ratio is obviously affected by the type of sediment. For example, Co^{60} was not detectable in the sands (mean grain size 90 to 180 μ) within 20 km of the coast. This precludes the use of the activity ratio to determine rates of sediment movement in that area. The presence of Zn^{65} in these nearshore sediments (*4*) indicates, however, that they probably receive some Columbia River particulate matter. The sediment containing both Zn^{65} and Co^{60} is muddy sand, generally containing more than 30 percent silt- and clay-sized material and more than 0.5 percent organic carbon. There is no evidence of a progressive change in grain size, in sorting, or in organic-carbon concentration that could cause the observed variations in the activity ratio.

The data do not indicate whether the entire sediment mass or only fractions of a certain size are moving along the Continental Shelf. Studies of Columbia River sediment (*8*) suggest that much of the radioactivity in the sediment is associated with the silt- and clay-sized fractions. Our data suggest that the same situation probably prevails in the marine sediment. It is conceivable, therefore, that variations in the activity ratio result from the movement of only a small part of the sediment.

Unfortunately, we lack other data on sediment movement or near-bottom currents to check our results, but we can compare them with the relatively well-known movements of surface waters in this region (*14*). During late spring and summer these waters move southwestward at speeds between 5 and



Fig. 2. Sample locations of sediment containing Zn^{65} and Co^{60}. The activity ratios are shown as a function of distance from the area of rapid shoaling (shown by the large circle) near the river mouth. Samples from north of the river mouth shown in (A), west in (B), and south in (C). The upper straight line in (A) and (C) indicates the change in the activity ratio corresponding to an apparent velocity of 0.1 cm/sec; the lower line corresponds to 0.05 cm/sec. The straight line in (B) corresponds to 0.025 cm/sec. Activity ratio shown by: solid circle, greater than 20; open circle, 10 to 19; solid triangle, less than 10.

20 cm/sec, averaging 8 cm/sec. During the remainder of the year the surface waters near the coast flow northward at speeds between 10 and 20 cm/sec. Thus the apparent maximum speed of particle movement is approximately 0.02 to 0.01 that of the surface waters (*15*).

M. GRANT GROSS
Department of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle,
and *U.S. National Museum, Washington, D.C.*

JACK L. NELSON
Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Battelle Memorial Institute, Richland, Washington

References and Notes

1. J. L. Nelson, R. W. Perkins, W. L. Haushild, *Water Resources Res.* **2**, 31 (1966).
2. R. W. Perkins, J. L. Nelson, W. L. Haushild, *Limnol. Oceanog.* **11**, 235 (1966); J. L. Nelson, in *Hanford Radiological Sciences Research and Development Annual Report for 1964*, BNWL-36III (Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington, 1965), p. 371.
3. M. G. Gross, C. A. Barnes, G. K. Riel, *Science* **149**, 1088 (1965); C. Osterberg, N. Cutshall, J. Cronin, *ibid.* **150**, 1585 (1965).
4. M. G. Gross, *J. Geophys. Res.* **71**, 2017 (1966).
5. D. Chakravarti, G. B. Lewis, R. F. Palumbo, A. H. Seymour, *Nature* **203**, 571 (1964).
6. R. W. Perkins, J. M. Nielsen, C. W. Thomas, *Science* **146**, 762 (1964).
7. Environmental Studies and Evaluation Group, *Evaluation of Radiological Conditions in the Vicinity of Hanford for 1963*, Publ. 80991 (Hanford Atomic Products Operation, Richland, Washington, 1964).
8. J. L. Nelson, R. W. Perkins, J. M. Nielsen, W. L. Haushild, "Reactions of radionuclides from the Hanford reactors with Columbia River sediments," presented at the *Symposium on Disposal of Radioactive Wastes into Seas, Oceans, and Surface Waters*, 16 to 20 May 1966 (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1966).
9. V. G. Johnson, thesis, Oregon State University, 1966.
10. K. G. Tiller and J. F. Hodgson, *Clays Clay Minerals, Proc. Natl. Conf. Clays Clay Minerals* **9**, 393 (1962).
11. Samples of marine sediment were collected in August 1963 by combining the uppermost 1 cm from two samples taken at each location by grab-type samplers. The sediment was placed in a plastic jar and dried without desalting. The radioactivity was determined with a NaI(Tl) crystal (7.6 by 7.6 cm) connected to a multichannel γ-ray spectrometer for 100 minutes. The equipment was provided by the Laboratory of Radiation Biology, Univ. of Washington. (See *5* for a discussion of data-reduction procedures.)
12. Samples of river water, collected three times each week and composited on a weekly basis at Pasco, Washington, Hood River, Oregon, and Vancouver, Washington, were immediately filtered through 300-mμ membrane filters to recover the particulate matter. Filters and water samples were dried, and radioactivity was determined with an anticoincidence-shielded multidimensional γ-ray spectrometer [see R. W. Perkins, *Nucl. Instr. Methods* **33**, 71 (1965)].
13. J. B. Lockett, *Proceedings of the 8th Conference on Coastal Engineering*, Mexico City, 1962 (The Engineering Foundation Council on Wave Research, New York, 1963), p. 695.
14. T. F. Budinger, L. K. Coachman, C. A. Barnes, *Columbia River effluent in the Northeast Pacific Ocean, 1961, 1962: Selected aspects of physical oceanography* (Department of Oceanography Technical Report 99, Univ. of Washington, Seattle, February 1964).
15. We thank W. L. Haushild and his associates, U.S. Geological Survey, Portland, Oregon; D. E. Engstrom and A. H. Seymour, Laboratory of Radiation Biology, University of Washington; and C. A. Barnes and K. K. Turekian for assistance. Supported by AEC contract AT(45-1)-1725 and ONR contracts Nonr-477(10) and 477(37), project NR 083 012 with the University of Washington, and by AEC contract AT(45-1)-1830 with Pacific Northwest Laboratories. Contribution No. 402 from the Department of Oceanography, University of Washington.

14 September 1966