

Hookah's New Popularity Among US College Students: A Pilot Study of The Characteristics of
Hookah Smokers and Their Facebook Displays

Libby N Brockman

A thesis

submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Public Health

University of Washington

2013

Committee:

Dimitri A Christakis

Megan A Moreno

Abigail Halperin

Program Authorized to Offer Degree:

School of Public Health

©Copyright 2013

Libby Brockman

Abstract

Hookah's New Popularity Among US College Students: A Pilot Study of The Characteristics of Hookah Smokers and Their Facebook Displays

Libby N Brockman

Chair of the Supervisory Committee:
Dimitri A Christakis, MD, MPH, George Adkins Professor
Department of Pediatrics

Objectives: (1) To confirm the prevalence of hookah use among US college students. (2) To identify substances commonly smoked in hookahs and other substance use characteristics of hookah smokers. (3) Given the powerful influence of Facebook and its potential role in promoting behaviors, to assess the prevalence of hookah references on Facebook profiles.

Design: Cross sectional study.

Setting: Two large US universities; www.Facebook.com.

Participants: 307 Facebook profiles were coded and 216 of these profile owners completed an online survey. On average, participants were 18.8 years old (SD=0.7), female (54%), Caucasian (70.4%), and approximately half were from each university.

Outcome measures: Lifetime and frequency of hookah use, substance smoked in hookah, cigarette and marijuana use, hookah references displayed on Facebook.

Results: 27.8% of participants endorsed hookah use; there were no significant differences between age, gender, race, or university for hookah use. Hookah users reported smoking tobacco (78%), hashish (12%) and both tobacco and marijuana/hashish (10%) in their hookah. Compared to non-hookah smokers, hookah smokers were more likely to report using cigarettes (OR=3.41,95%CI=1.2-9.64) and marijuana (OR=15.01,95%CI= 6.5-34.65). Hookah references were present on 5% of Facebook profiles.

Conclusions: More than one quarter of college students smoke hookah. Most smoke tobacco in their hookah, and hookah smoking is associated with polysubstance use. Some hookah users reference this behavior on Facebook. Hookah may present new risks for nicotine addiction in this population.

INTRODUCTION

Tobacco use is the leading preventable cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Encouragingly, public health efforts have successfully decreased the prevalence of cigarette smoking in the US by half over the past 45 years.¹ This achievement is attributed to the first Surgeon General's report on smoking and health in 1964, taxation, indoor smoking bans, media advertising restrictions and counter-advertising campaigns, and increased public awareness of the harms associated with cigarette smoking.²

Despite this laudable public health accomplishment, the use of alternative forms of tobacco is currently rising, threatening these successful efforts. Of particular concern is the increasing popularity of hookah.³ Hookah use, also known as shisha, narghile and waterpipe, is defined as the smoking of substances through a waterpipe such that the smoke passes through water and is cooled prior to inhalation. Smoking a waterpipe is a tradition dating back at least four centuries to origins in northern Africa and southwest Asia.⁴ Until recently, smoking in this fashion remained primarily a tradition observed in Middle Eastern countries, most popular among adult men.⁵

Presently, hookah smoking is becoming an increasingly popular form of tobacco use worldwide. Spreading from the Eastern Mediterranean region, hookah use is now common in Western countries including Australia, the United Kingdom, Canada and the United States.³ Further, hookah is becoming increasingly popular among youth. A recent global surveillance study examining time trends (1999-2008) of tobacco use in youth found an increase in hookah smoking amongst teens as young as 13-15 year olds.^{3,6} In the United States, adolescents and young adults are at the forefront of this resurgence.

The understanding of hookah smoking patterns among youth remains incomplete. Current estimates suggest 15-41% of undergraduate college students smoke hookah.⁷⁻¹¹ Further, while hashish or opium were once smoked in hookahs in the Middle East and India,^{5, 12} smoking tobacco in hookahs was popularized in the 1990s with the introduction of maassel, a sweetened and flavored tobacco product.³ Some reports suggest marijuana, hashish or other drugs are sometimes added to hookah tobacco.¹³ However, the predominate substances smoked in hookahs and other substance use practices of hookah users among US teens remain unknown.

Therefore, the primary purpose of this pilot study was to confirm the lifetime prevalence of hookah smoking amongst undergraduate students at two large public universities in different regions of the US. The second aim of this study was to identify the specific substance use practices of hookah smokers, including the predominant substance that young adults smoke in their hookah, and other substance use characteristics of hookah smokers. Identifying these characteristics of hookah smokers has numerous public health and clinical implications, and is a necessary step in developing targeted prevention and intervention strategies.

Finally, how and why hookah smoking is gaining popularity among young adults also remains unclear. Many attribute hookah's popularity to its social nature; hookah use is a shared, communal experience and two or more people often share a single waterpipe.^{4, 6} It is also possible that new forms of media are playing a role in promoting hookah smoking among young adults. Recent work suggests hookah-related videos on YouTube, compared to cigarette-related videos, are less likely to reference the harmful consequences of smoking or provide anti-smoking messages.¹⁴ As cultivation theory suggests, online videos may influence viewers' opinions and perceptions; videos that fail to portray the negative consequences of hookah smoking may serve to promote this behavior among young adults.¹⁵

The authors hypothesize that social networking websites (SNSs) may also popularize hookah smoking by serving as a venue in which young adults learn about and promote hookah use among their social groups. In recent years, SNSs such as Facebook have become a tremendously popular source of social media among adolescents and young adults; Facebook is now used by over 90% of college students and is the most popular SNS among university students.¹⁶ While previous studies have found associations between consuming media, such as television and movies, depicting tobacco and the initiation of tobacco use, it has been argued that Facebook may have greater influence than traditional media because Facebook combines the power of interpersonal persuasion with the reach of mass media.^{17, 18} Specifically for adolescents and young adults, for whom peers are the most important source of influence, the power of interpersonal persuasion cannot be underestimated.¹⁹ Some suggest these websites may serve as a media “super-peer” by promoting norms of behavior among adolescents.²⁰ Additionally, social learning theory predicts that adolescents observe, imitate, and model behaviors they see in their peers.

Thus, the third objective of this study was to conduct a pilot investigation of the presence of hookah references on Facebook. As a social networking site, Facebook may provide a venue for peer interaction and social networking, both of which are recognized as contributors to risk behaviors.²¹ Risk behaviors such as alcohol and drug use have been found to be displayed on SNS profiles.²¹ It is therefore possible that hookah is also displayed online within social networks, but the extent to which this is so remains unknown.

METHODS

This study was conducted between September 2009 and December 2011 and received IRB approval from both the University of Wisconsin and the University of Washington.

Setting

Participants for this study attended one of two large, public universities, in the Midwest and on the West Coast. Participants were recruited via the social networking website Facebook (www.Facebook.com). This SNS was selected because it is the most popular SNS among the target population of college students.²²

Subject selection

The Facebook search engine was used to identify random public profiles registered within either university network that listed a graduation year indicating the profile owner was a freshman, sophomore or junior student. Inclusion criteria required profile owners to report an age on their profile between 18 and 20 years and to show evidence of profile activity within the last 30 days.

All profiles returned in the search results were assessed sequentially for eligibility. Profiles were excluded if they did not meet search criteria (ie, incorrectly listed), including those who were not undergraduates (N=448), did not meet the age criteria (N=313) or did not display their age (N=49). Profiles were also excluded if their profiles were completely private, had any one of the following sections set to private: information section, wall or photographs (N=1630), or if the profile owner was not reachable for recruitment (ie, no phone number or email listed on Facebook profile or in the university directory) (N=303). In order to reach a target survey sample size of 200 participants, a total of 307 eligible Facebook profiles were identified in 2009 and

2010 and invited to participate in the study. Demographic data was recorded from eligible profiles.

Recruitment

The 307 profile owners with profiles that met inclusion criteria were called on the phone. The phone call served two purposes. First, profile owners identity and age were verified. Second, eligible students were then recruited to participate in the online survey. Survey invites were only sent to profile owners whose identity could be confirmed over the phone. The study was explained to the profile owner and permission was requested to send an email that contained further information about the study. If the participant consented to receive the email, an email was sent that provided detailed information about the study as well as a link to an online survey. The survey was administered online via a Catalyst WebQ online survey engine. Survey respondents were provided a \$15 iTunes gift card as compensation.

Survey

The online survey evaluated hookah use. Participants were asked about their lifetime experience using hookah. Those who reported ever using hookah were also questioned about their frequency of use; answer options included never, monthly or less, 2-4 times a month, 2-3 times a week, 4 or more times a week. Participants were also asked what substances they typically smoked in their hookah; answer options included tobacco, marijuana, hashish, a mix of marijuana/hashish and tobacco. All participants were also questioned about their lifetime experience and frequency of use of marijuana and cigarettes, with similar answer options for frequency as described above.

Facebook Profile Coding

To investigate the presence of hookah references on college students' Facebook profiles, all 307 profiles were evaluated once by one of three trained coders using a research codebook. This codebook has been previously used to evaluate the display of other health risk behavior references on SNS profiles such as alcohol and violence.^{23,24} The codebook was adapted for this study to code for references to hookah. In order to determine whether hookah references were present, coders viewed all publicly accessible elements of the Facebook profile including profile owners' tagged pictures, profile pictures, information sections, and their Wall. Both images and text were coded and hookah reference data included the coder's typewritten description of any image references or verbatim text from profiles usually found in the form of status updates or in the Info Section. If present, identifiable information was removed from text references. One year of profile data was assessed for each participant, starting from the date of evaluation and going back to the same date, one year prior.

Profiles were categorized into one of two groups. Profiles with one or more references to hookah use were classified as hookah "Displayers". Example references included personal photographs in which the profile owner was smoking a hookah, or text references describing smoking hookah. Only photographs that contained the profile owner with a clearly identifiable hookah and text references that explicitly mentioned the word hookah or a synonym of hookah, such as shisha or narghile, were coded. Profiles without any hookah references were considered "Non-Displayers".

Because of infrequent references to hookah on Facebook, inter-rater agreement was used to assess coder reliability. A 20% random subsample of profiles was evaluated by all three coders and 96% interrater reliability was achieved.

Analysis

Demographic characteristics, frequency and prevalence of hookah use, and Facebook displays of hookah were summarized using descriptive statistics. Bivariate logistic regression was used to examine covariates of lifetime hookah use (outcome); odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals were obtained for independent variables including age, gender, race/ethnicity, university, and substance use. A multivariate model of lifetime hookah use was also fit, including the covariates of age, gender, race/ethnicity, university, and substance use. Bivariate comparisons between demographic characteristics and hookah Displayer/Non-Displayer groups were conducted using Fisher's exact tests and Chi squared tests. All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA version 11.0 (Statacorp, College Station, TX). A two-sided p-value $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Subjects

A total of 307 Facebook profiles were coded; 216 (70% response rate) of these individuals completed all survey questions with viable answers and were included in the analyses. Participants had an average age of 18.8 years (SD 0.7), were 54.2% female and 70.4% Caucasian. Approximately half of participants were from each university. (Table 1)

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of survey respondents (n=216)

Variable	Percent (n)		
	Total	Hookah Non-Users (n=156)	Hookah Users (n=60)
Age (yr) ^a			
18	35.7% (77)	36.5% (57)	33.3% (20)
19	50.9% (110)	48.1% (75)	58.3% (35)
20	13.4% (29)	15.4% (24)	8.33% (5)
Gender			
Male	47.2% (102)	45.5% (71)	51.7% (31)
Female	52.8% (114)	54.5% (85)	48.3% (29)
State			
Washington	46.8% (101)	47.4% (74)	45.0% (27)
Wisconsin	53.2% (115)	52.6% (82)	55.0% (33)
Race/Ethnicity ^b			
Caucasian	70.4% (150)	68.0% (104)	76.7% (46)
African American	0%	0%	0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	18.8% (40)	20.9% (32)	13.3% (8)
Hispanic	2.3% (5)	2.6% (4)	1.7% (1)
Other/Multiracial	8.5% (18)	8.5% (13)	8.3% (5)

^a Overall mean (SD), 18.8 (0.7) years
^b 3 missing values

Survey Results

Prevalence of hookah use

Lifetime hookah use was reported by 27.8% (N=60) of participants (Table 2). Participants who reported ever using hookah were on average 18.8 (SD 0.6) years of age, and tended to be male (51.7%) and Caucasian (76.7%) (Table 1). There were no significant differences between age, gender, race, or university for hookah use. Of those who reported ever smoking hookah, 78.3% smoked hookah monthly or less and 21.6% were current users who reported smoking hookah more than once per month.

Table 2. Survey Results: Endorsement of Substance Use

Variable	Percent (n)		
	Total	Hookah Non-Users ^a	Hookah Users ^b
Hookah	27.8% (60)	0%	100% (60)
Cigarettes	16.2% (35)	7.1% (11)	40% (24)
Marijuana ^c	30.7% (66)	13.6% (21)	75% (45)

^a n=156^b n=60^c 3 missing values*Substances smoked in the hookah*

More than three-quarters (78%) of those who reported ever smoking hookah reported primarily smoking tobacco in their hookah. Only 12% reported smoking only hashish in their hookah, while 10% reported smoking both marijuana/hashish and tobacco in their hookah. A total of 22% reported using a hookah to smoke marijuana.

Hookah users engagement with other substances

Of those who reported ever using hookah, 40% reported ever smoking cigarettes, of whom 42.7% reported smoking cigarettes more than once a month. Most (75%) hookah users reported ever using marijuana, of whom 51% reported using marijuana more than once per month. No hookah users endorsed cigarette use only; all hookah smokers who smoked cigarettes also smoked marijuana. (Table 3)

Table 3. Survey Results: Endorsement of Substance Use by Hookah Use

Variable	Percent (n)			
	Cigarettes only	Marijuana only	Cigarettes & Marijuana	None
Hookah Users (n=60)	0	35% (21)	40% (24)	25% (15)
Hookah Non Users (n=155) ^a	4.5% (7)	11% (17)	2.5% (4)	82% (127)

^a 1 missing value

Multivariate modeling of lifetime hookah use indicated that those who endorsed hookah use were more likely to report other substance use. Hookah users were more likely to use cigarettes (OR=3.41, p<0.05) and marijuana (OR=15.01, p<0.001) compared to non-hookah smokers. (Table 4)

Table 4. Multivariate logistic regression modeling of lifetime hookah use (n=216)

Variable	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR* (95% CI)
Age	0.92 (0.58-1.44)	0.86 (0.47-1.57)
Gender	0.78 (0.43-1.42)	1.22 (0.55-2.70)
Race		
Caucasian	Reference group	Reference group
Asian/Pacific Islander	0.57 (0.24-1.32)	0.59 (0.18-1.99)
Hispanic	0.57 (0.60-5.20)	0.19 (.02-2.45)
Other/Multiracial	0.87 (0.29-2.58)	2.25 (0.58-8.75)
University	1.1 (0.61-2.00)	0.95 (0.40-2.26)
Cigarettes	8.79 (3.94-19.59)	3.41 (1.20-9.64)**
Marijuana	19.14 (9.10-40.27)	15.01 (6.50-34.65)**

* Odds ratios were adjusted for all other variables in the table
** p<0.05

Facebook results

Hookah references were present on 5.3% of Facebook profiles. There were no significant differences in hookah display between gender, race, or university. Examples of hookah references included personal images of profile owners smoking a hookah, downloaded images of icons saying “ I ♥ HOOKAH”, or status updates such as “Tonight is a hookah type of night, I love nights like these” and “Skippin’ class all day, goin’ hookah shopping, fun!”

DISCUSSION

This study explored characteristics of college student hookah smokers and evaluated the presence of hookah references displayed on university students’ public Facebook pages. More than one quarter of college students reported smoking hookah and this prevalence estimate is

consistent with the national estimate of hookah use among young adults enrolled in college.²⁵ To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to survey college students about what substances they smoke in their hookah. The majority of hookah smokers reported smoking tobacco in their hookah, yet more than 20% reported experience with using marijuana or hashish in their hookah. These findings support the rising popularity and diversity of hookah use among young adults in the US.

The finding that so many college students are smoking hookah, and specifically smoking tobacco in their hookah, is cause for clinical and public health concern. Although the health effects of hookah have not been studied nearly as extensively as cigarettes, smoking tobacco in a waterpipe is associated with negative health outcomes similar to those associated with cigarette use. Studies compare hookah to cigarettes and illustrate that both forms of tobacco use expose smokers to toxicants associated with cardiovascular and lung disease, including carbon monoxide and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.^{3, 26} Further, hookah use significantly increases one's risk for lung cancer, respiratory illness, low birthweight and periodontal disease.²⁷ Lastly, preliminary research shows hookah use may be associated with nicotine dependence and could be a gateway drug to cigarette smoking.^{3, 28}

These negative health consequences of hookah use are compounded by the many misperceptions and incorrect beliefs and attitudes held by hookah users. Many hookah smokers underestimate the health risks and addictive properties of hookah use. Contrary to the published harms of hookah use, college students and young adults believe smoking tobacco in a waterpipe is less harmful and less addictive than cigarettes and believe they can quit anytime.^{29, 30}

Similar to other studies, the results of this study suggest that hookah users were more likely than non-hookah users to engage in substance use (separate from their hookah smoking)

such as marijuana, cigarettes and other psychoactive drugs.^{10, 31, 32} Due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, it is impossible to determine the temporal sequence of hookah smoking and the use of other substances. However, it may not be surprising that hookah smokers also engage in other substance use behaviors. Previous research supports that engagement in one risk behavior is often associated with an increased likelihood of engaging in other risk behaviors.³³ This may be especially true for hookah, cigarettes, and marijuana, all different means of smoking. It may be that once a college student decides to engage in a smoking behavior, they may be open to a variety of smoking behaviors. These results suggest hookah prevention efforts may be paired with other substance use and general smoking prevention strategies.

The findings that one in five hookah smokers smoke marijuana in their hookah and that hookah smokers are more likely to smoke marijuana separately compared to non-hookah smokers, are important for two reasons. First, given that many college students maintain that hookah smoking is a safe alternative to cigarette smoking and that hookah smoking doesn't constitute "smoking",¹¹ it is possible that these young adults differentiate between methods of tobacco use. Similarly, college students may have altered perceptions of the safety of smoking marijuana in a hookah. Second, given the integration of hookah smoking into the social scene on college campuses¹¹, it is possible that marijuana may also experience a sort of social promotion when associated with hookah. This may have implications for intervention strategies and further work is needed to explore these ideas.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is also the first study to investigate hookah use using Facebook. These findings show more than 5% of college student profiles display references to hookah on Facebook. While this percentage does not compare with the prevalence of smoking hookah, hookah references on SNSs have not yet been extensively studied. Other

work illustrates that adolescents display references to other risk behaviors such as alcohol and substance use on their SNS profile.²¹ These displays of various risk behaviors may represent engagement in that behavior, consideration of engagement in the behavior, boastful claims, or nonsense.²¹ College students who display references to intoxication or problem drinking on their Facebook profile were more likely to meet clinical criteria for problem drinking compared to those who do not display such references.³⁴ Additionally, adolescents interpret alcohol displays on SNSs to be influential and valid representations of alcohol use.³⁵ Thus, these displays are meaningful. Given the social nature of hookah smoking, the social dimension of Facebook may be a salient factor in popularizing hookah use. Since students mainly initiate and practice hookah use with friends, Facebook may allow them to find such friends. Further research exploring the presence and meaning of specifically hookah displays on Facebook profiles is necessary.

There are several potential limitations to this study. First, participants were recruited from only two universities and the study sample included very few minority and no African American participants. While the study sample is demographically representative of the student population at the two selected universities, it is possible that these two universities do not provide a representative sample of the US college population. The literature suggests that after students of Arab descent, Caucasian students, followed by Asian students have the highest reported prevalence rates of smoking hookah.¹¹ Therefore, given that the participants were selected from large geographically distinct state universities and that these prevalence estimates are consistent with other studies' estimates, this suggests these results may be generalizable to the US college population. Second, only profiles from one SNS were evaluated and participants were limited to those who maintained a public Facebook profile and allowed their phone numbers to be listed in either their university directory or on Facebook. The extent to which findings could be

generalized to profiles that have their security set to private, to profiles on other SNSs, or to younger adolescent populations is not known. Third, it is important to note that SNS profile privacy settings are not permanent; profile owners may change their privacy settings at any time or to reflect what security upgrades are offered by Facebook. It is unclear whether profile owners who maintained a private profile at the time of this study would be more likely, or less likely, to display hookah references. Lastly, the cross-sectional design of this study precluded determining the temporal sequence of smoking hookah and engagement in other substance use. Future research including longitudinal studies are needed to explore these associations, especially the potential role of hookah as a gateway to cigarette smoking.

Despite these limitations, the findings have important implications. First, this is the first study to illustrate that hookah use goes beyond tobacco. College students also smoke marijuana and hashish in their hookah. With this understanding, future prevention and intervention methods may pair existing tobacco and marijuana strategies when targeting college hookah smokers. Second, hookah use is also emerging on Facebook profiles, which may help promote the illusion that it is a socially acceptable behavior and safe alternative to cigarettes. To determine if hookah references aid in the promotion of hookah smoking among college students, more work is needed to explore the presence and meaning of hookah displays on Facebook. Further, similar to studies which have found Facebook to be feasible for identifying college students at risk for problem drinking, more work is needed to determine if SNSs may also be helpful for screening and identifying college students at risk for or engaged in hookah smoking.

REFERENCES

1. CDC. Trends in current cigarette smoking among high school students and adults, United States, 1965-2010: Centers for Disease Control & Prevention; 2011.
2. Warner KE, Mendez D. Tobacco control policy in developed countries: yesterday, today, and tomorrow. *Nicotine Tob Res.* Sep 2010;12(9):876-887.
3. Maziak W. The global epidemic of waterpipe smoking. *Addict Behav.* 2011 Jan-Feb 2011;36(1-2):1-5.
4. Regulation WSGoTP. *Advisory note: Waterpipe tobacco smoking: Health effects, research needs, and recommended actions by regulators.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, Tobacco Free Initiative; 2005. 9241593857 9789241593854.
5. Kandela P. Nargile smoking keeps Arabs in Wonderland. *Lancet.* Sep 2000;356(9236):1175.
6. Warren CW, Lea V, Lee J, Jones NR, Asma S, McKenna M. Change in tobacco use among 13-15 year olds between 1999 and 2008: findings from the Global Youth Tobacco Survey. *Glob Health Promot.* Sep 2009;16(2 Suppl):38-90.
7. Primack BA, Sidani J, Agarwal AA, Shadel WG, Donny EC, Eissenberg TE. Prevalence of and associations with waterpipe tobacco smoking among U.S. university students. *Ann Behav Med.* Aug 2008;36(1):81-86.
8. Fielder RL, Carey KB, Carey MP. Prevalence, frequency, and initiation of hookah tobacco smoking among first-year female college students: a one-year longitudinal study. *Addict Behav.* Feb 2012;37(2):221-224.
9. Braun RE, Glassman T, Wohlwend J, Whewell A, Reindl DM. Hookah use among college students from a midwest university. *J Community Health.* Apr 2012;37(2):294-298.
10. Sutfin EL, McCoy TP, Reboussin BA, Wagoner KG, Spangler J, Wolfson M. Prevalence and correlates of waterpipe tobacco smoking by college students in North Carolina. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* May 2011;115(1-2):131-136.
11. Grekin ER, Ayna D. Waterpipe smoking among college students in the United States: a review of the literature. *J Am Coll Health.* 2012;60(3):244-249.
12. Ray C. The hookah - the Indian waterpipe. *Current Science.* MAY 25 2009 2009;96(10):1319-1323.
13. Knishkowsky B, Amitai Y. Water-pipe (narghile) smoking: an emerging health risk behavior. *Pediatrics.* Jul 2005;116(1):e113-119.
14. Carroll MV, Shensa A, Primack BA. A comparison of cigarette- and hookah-related videos on YouTube. *Tob Control.* Feb 2012. doi: tobaccocontrol-2011-050253 (pii) 10. 1136.
15. Gerbner G, Gross L, Morgan M, Signorielli N. Living with television: the dynamics of the cultivation process. In: Bryant J, Zillman D, eds. *Perpectives on Media Effects.* Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1986:17-40.
16. Lewis K, Kaufman J, Christakis N. The taste for privacy: An analysis of college student privacy settings in an online social network. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication.* 2008;14(1):79-100.
17. Fogg BJ. Mass Interpersonal Persuasion: An Early View of a New Phenomenon. Paper presented at: Third International Conference on Persuasive Technology, 2008; Berline.
18. Dalton MA, Beach ML, Adachi-Mejia AM, et al. Early exposure to movie smoking predicts established smoking by older teens and young adults. *Pediatrics.* Apr 2009;123(4):e551-558.
19. Neinstein L, Anderson M. Adolescent Development. In: Neinstein L, ed. *Adolescent Health Care: A Practical Guide.* Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2002:767-792.
20. Strasburger VC, Wilson BJ, Jordan A. *Children, Adolescents and the Media.* Beverly Hills: Sage; 2008.
21. Moreno MA, Parks MR, Zimmerman FJ, Brito TE, Christakis DA. Display of health risk behaviors on MySpace by adolescents: Prevalence and Associations. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine.* 2009;163(1):35-41.

22. Buffardi LE, Campbell WK. Narcissism and social networking Web sites. *Pers Soc Psychol Bull.* Oct 2008;34(10):1303-1314.
23. Moreno MA, Egan KG, Brockman L. Development of a researcher codebook for use in evaluating social networking site profiles. *J Adolesc Health.* Jul 2011;49(1):29-35.
24. Moreno M, Parks M, Zimmerman F, Brito T, Christakis D. Display of health risk behaviors on MySpace by adolescents: prevalence and associations. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* Jan 2009;163(1):27-34.
25. ACHA ACHA. National College Health Assessment II: Reference Group Executive Summary, Spring 2011. Hanover, MD: American College Health Association; 2011.
26. Shihadeh A, Saleh R. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, "tar", and nicotine in the mainstream smoke aerosol of the narghile water pipe. *Food Chem Toxicol.* May 2005;43(5):655-661.
27. Akl EA, Gaddam S, Gunukula SK, Honeine R, Jaoude PA, Irani J. The effects of waterpipe tobacco smoking on health outcomes: a systematic review. *Int J Epidemiol.* Jun 2010;39(3):834-857.
28. Jensen PD, Cortes R, Engholm G, Kremers S, Gislum M. Waterpipe use predicts progression to regular cigarette smoking among Danish youth. *Subst Use Misuse.* Jun 2010;45(7-8):1245-1261.
29. Smith-Simone S, Maziak W, Ward KD, Eissenberg T. Waterpipe tobacco smoking: knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and behavior in two U.S. samples. *Nicotine Tob Res.* Feb 2008;10(2):393-398.
30. Smith SY, Curbow B, Stillman FA. Harm perception of nicotine products in college freshmen. *Nicotine Tob Res.* Sep 2007;9(9):977-982.
31. Dugas E, Tremblay M, Low NC, Cournoyer D, O'Loughlin J. Water-pipe smoking among North American youths. *Pediatrics.* Jun 2010;125(6):1184-1189.
32. Eissenberg T, Ward KD, Smith-Simone S, Maziak W. Waterpipe tobacco smoking on a U.S. College campus: prevalence and correlates. *J Adolesc Health.* May 2008;42(5):526-529.
33. Fox HB, McManus MA, Arnold KN. *Significant Multiple Risk Behaviors Among U.S. High School Students.* Washington, DC: The National Alliance to Advance Adolescent Health; 2010.
34. Moreno MA, Christakis DA, Egan KG, Brockman LN, Becker T. Associations Between Displayed Alcohol References on Facebook and Problem Drinking Among College Students. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* Oct 2011;166(2):157-63.
35. Moreno MA, Briner LR, Williams A, Walker L, Christakis DA. Real use or "real cool": adolescents speak out about displayed alcohol references on social networking websites. *J Adolesc Health.* Oct 2009;45(4):420-422.