Legacies of Destruction: 
Architecture as a Nationalist Battleground in Kosovo

Benjamin Kinney Harris

A thesis 
submitted in partial fulfillment of the 
requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts in International Studies: Russia, East Europe, and Central Asia

University of Washington

2021

Committee:
Bojan Belić
Daniel Chirot
Scott Radnitz

Program Authorized to Offer Degree:
Jackson School of International Studies
Legacies of Destruction: Architecture as a Nationalist Battleground in Kosovo

Benjamin Kinney Harris

Chair of the Supervisory Committee:
Bojan Belić
Department of Slavic Languages and Literatures

Architectural destruction is often perceived as inevitable collateral damage during modern conflict, but a major facet of the Kosovo War of 1998-9 and its aftermath was the deliberate targeting and demolition of architectural heritage on a massive scale. Both Serbian and Kosovar Albanian nationalists participated in this desecration, the most widespread wave of violence against religious architecture on European soil since the infamous Kristallnacht riots under Adolf Hitler’s Third Reich. This thesis explains this destruction through analysis of the chauvinist nationalist narratives endorsed by both Serbian and Kosovar Albanian leaders and media which reframed architectural heritage as symbols of oppression and trauma requiring destruction. For both Serbs and Kosovar Albanians, destroying the opposing nation’s architecture came to be understood as central to a concentrated effort towards purifying the Kosovar cultural landscape and asserting ownership. This thesis intends primarily to answer how nationalist narratives of both Serbs and Kosovar Albanians centered the sacred architecture of the opposing nation as targets of violence and why these efforts proved so devastating. Through analysis of key figures, events, and cases of architectural destruction, I argue that the nationalist narratives of these two nations transformed architecture into emblems representing myths of supremacy, fears of destruction, and historical trauma.
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Author’s Note on Spellings

The Oxford University Press style manual once stated: “if you take hyphens seriously, you will surely go mad.”¹ Nowhere, perhaps, is this dictum more applicable than in academic study of the Balkans, where alternative place names and terms constantly signal national allegiances and carry inevitable political implications. Attempting to use unbiased geopolitical and ethnographic language in discussing Balkan nationalism requires tremendous patience for multiple spellings and phrasings – patience then required of any reader.

In this thesis, I have adopted Serbian language spellings of place names. For example, I will refer to the region of Kosovo and not Kosova as it is spelled in the Albanian language.² However, I will not be using the Serbian government’s official name of the region, Kosovo i Metohija, due to the politically (and religiously) charged nature of this label.³ When I first introduce a Serbian toponym, I will, however, write the Albanian alternative in parentheses. For example, the capital of Kosovo is Priština (Prishtinë). For specific ethnographic terms, such as tekke or kulla, I have chosen to use the anglicized spellings in the text while listing Serbian and Albanian spellings in the footnotes when the term is first introduced. Tables containing Serbian and Albanian alternative spellings of all toponyms and region-specific terms used in this thesis may be found in Appendix A, ordered by their first occurrence in the text.

¹ The Economist: Johnson Books & Arts Column, “Hysteria Over Hyphens,” The Economist, 10 June 2017.
² The Serbian name Kosovo is the Serbian neuter possessive adjective of kos, meaning ‘blackbird,’ and derives from the battlefield Kosovo Polje whereupon the Battle of Kosovo was fought in 1389. This thesis will refer to Kosovo as a geographic ‘region’ except when explicitly discussing issues of its political organization – reference to Kosovo as a ‘region’ is not meant to minimize or challenge Kosovo’s current status as an autonomous country, but rather acknowledge the fact that Kosovo has held numerous political designations (vilayet, territory, province, autonomous province, etc) across the span of history discussed here.
³ Kosovo i Metohija remains the Serbian Government’s official designation for the region of Kosovo; the name is politically and religiously charged because the toponym Metohija derives from a Greek word meaning ‘monastic estates,’ a reference to the large number of Serbian Orthodox monasteries in the region. The name has been cited by numerous Albanian scholars as problematic due to its implication that Kosovo remains defined by its Serbian Orthodox history.
I have also chosen to discuss the *Serbs* as a people rather than *Serbians* but to refer to *Serbian* leaders or actions. While some scholars insist upon referring to Albanians within Kosovo as *ethnic Albanians* to distinguish them from *Albanians* within Albania, I refer to them as *Kosovar Albanians*. While I generally have chosen not to anglicize Albanian or Serbian names of people or places, I have made notable exceptions in using *Belgrade, Serbia, and Yugoslavia* – not *Beograd, Srbija, and Jugoslavija* – as changing these extremely familiar anglicizations would invite confusion.

These linguistic decisions should be understood as practical rather than political. In the dearth of apolitical linguistic standards, I have felt it necessary to delineate my own.

---

Historical Timeline of Kosovo, 1385 to Present

September 1385 – Ottoman Sultanate mounts half-century conquest of the Western Balkans

June 1389 – Ottoman Sultanate seizes control of Kosovo in the aftermath of the tactically inconclusive Battle of Kosovo (fought on 15 June 1389)

1389 to 1912 – Kosovo under Ottoman rule

June 1878 – Albanians from four Ottoman vilayets establish League of Prizren to advance Albanian national cohesion and sovereignty5

1912 – Kosovo vilayet conquered from Ottoman Sultanate by Serbia during First Balkan War

1918 to 1941 – Kosovo part of the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes (renamed the Kingdom of Yugoslavia in 1929), divided between banovinas6

1941 to 1944 – majority of Kosovo assigned to Fascist Albania following Axis invasion of Kingdom of Yugoslavia; widespread persecution of Serbs throughout Kosovo

1946 – Kosovo given status as an autonomous region of Serbia within Socialist Yugoslavia

1947 to 1968 – Priština Bazaar destroyed in phases by the Yugoslav government as part of official modernization policy

November 1968 – mass Kosovar Albanian protests demand republic status for Kosovo within Yugoslavia and an Albanian-language university; in Priština, protests invoke destruction of the Priština Bazaar as symbol of Yugoslav oppression

February 1974 – Kosovo gains autonomous status within Socialist Yugoslavia

March 1981 – widespread rioting throughout Kosovo results in mass arrests and dismissals of Kosovar Albanians from state positions; Patriarchal Monastery of Peć set on fire by Kosovar Albanians; Yugoslav police presence increased throughout region

September 1986 – Belgrade newspapers leak excerpts from the unpublished Memorandum on Yugoslavia’s Situation by the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, inflaming Serbian nationalist fervor and fears over an imagined genocide in Kosovo

March 1989 – Kosovo’s autonomous status within Socialist Yugoslavia revoked; Kosovo returns to being directly controlled by Serbia

May 1989 – Slobodan Milošević becomes president of Serbia within Socialist Yugoslavia

5 A vilayet (vilajet; vilajeti) was an upper-level Ottoman administrative unit.

6 Banovina (banovine; banovinë) were administrative units used during the Kingdom of Yugoslavia, named after rivers in an attempt to deter nationalist affiliations.
June 1989 – Slobodan Milošević addresses masses of Serbian nationalists at Kosovo Polje on the six-hundredth anniversary of the Battle of Kosovo

September 1990 – Kosovar Albanians proclaim Constitution of Republic of Kosovo, resulting in a massive crackdown by Milošević government; all Albanians dismissed from state positions

1991 to 1997 – Kosovar Albanians effectively living under Serbian occupation; Kosovar Albanians blocked from most education and employment opportunities by Milošević regime

1997 – Kosovo Liberation Army (KLA) makes first public appearance, taking credit for a number of police station bombings

March 1998 – Serbian military kill fifty-one members of Kosovar Albanian Jashari family, prompting widespread Kosovar Albanian support of the KLA; Kosovo War widely recognized by international political community and media

July 1998 – major KLA offensive on the town of Orahovac includes organized attacks on Serbian Orthodox monasteries such as Zočište Monastery

March 1999 – Serbian police, military, and paramilitary kill, burn, rape, loot, and expel approximately 850,000 Kosovar Albanians from region; Serbian police destroy the historic League of Prizren Museum with rifle-propelled grenades; NATO bombardment begins

May 1999 – Serbian military troops launch incendiary grenades throughout the Ottoman-era city center of Đakovica, specifically targeting Kosovar Albanian religious and historic sites

June 1999 – NATO bombardment of Serbia ends; NATO and Serbia sign Military Technical Agreement to end Kosovo War; United Nations Mission in Kosovo established; Serbian police burn central historical archives of the Islamic Community of Kosovo in Priština

June to July 1999 – more than 140 Serbian Orthodox churches, monasteries, and religious sites destroyed by KLA

March 2004 – mass rioting across Kosovo results in nineteen deaths, thousands of displaced Serbs, and the destruction of thirty-six Serbian Orthodox churches (including Devič Monastery and the UNESCO World Heritage listed Church of the Virgin of Ljeviš)

February 2008 – Kosovo unilaterally declares independence as the Republic of Kosovo

July 2010 – International Court of Justice affirms that Kosovo did not violate international law through unilateral declaration of independence, resulting in widespread international recognition of Kosovar independence

March 2011 to time of writing (May 2021) – peace talks remain ongoing between the governments of the independent Republic of Kosovo and the Republic of Serbia
Introduction

During the Kosovo War of 1998-1999 and in the years immediately following the conflict, several hundred monasteries, religious monuments, churches, Islamic libraries, and mosques were targeted, looted, and destroyed not just by militia groups but also by civilian mobs. The wave of desecration left the majority of Kosovo’s cemeteries vandalized, the majority of its churches ruined, and 225 of Kosovo’s 600 mosques with toppled or “decapitated” minarets. Many of the targeted sacred sites dated from the fourteenth or fifteenth centuries; twelve of the destroyed properties are listed as UNESCO world heritage sites. Historians have considered the scale of architectural cultural destruction unparalleled in Europe since the infamous Kristallnacht riots under Adolf Hitler’s Third Reich.

Despite widespread international condemnation of this violence against religious architecture, few efforts have been made to explain the unprecedented scale of destruction, assign responsibility for the damages incurred, or investigate the underlying sociopolitical conditions influencing these dramatic outcomes. Kosovar Albanian and Serbian authorities, social movements, and intellectuals have generally resisted attempts to publicly grapple with the roles of their national narratives in this violence, so numerous questions remain unanswered and unresolved. Most crucially, there is no consensus within Kosovo or the broader international community of how leaders – in Kosovo, in the Western Balkans, and beyond – can hope to prevent this devastating history from repeating itself. Through this thesis, I will demonstrate how Serbian and Kosovar Albanian nationalist narratives weaponized chauvinist myths, fears, and traumas against architectural heritage and thus ensured its systematic destruction.

---

9 Herscher, Ibid, 87.
The widespread desecration of religious monuments and structures incurred in Kosovo during the breakup of Yugoslavia is remarkable for its scale but neither unique nor isolated. Churches, mosques, and other sacred sites are often symbolically reimagined during nationalist or religious conflicts as emblems of the enemy. Nationalist and religious chauvinisms have long encouraged acts of destruction against sites of importance to rival nations or religions as stand-ins for victories on the battlefield. Recent examples of deliberate architectural desecration include Daesh’s obliteration of ancient temples at Palmyra and Shiite religious sites in and around Mosul; ancient examples include the Roman empire’s sacking of the Second Temple in Jerusalem. Moreover, acts of violence specifically targeting religious architecture occurred throughout the twentieth century across the former Yugoslavia. During the 1992-1995 Bosnian War, eighteen mosques – several dating from the Ottoman occupation – were leveled by bulldozers in the Bosnian Serb capitol of Banja Luka in an effort to purge the urban landscape of any physical representations of the city’s Muslim minority. Across the Dinaric Alps, Bosnian Muslim troops set fire to Orthodox Christian monasteries outside of Mostar while Croatian forces deliberately obliterated that city’s spectacular sixteenth-century stone bridge. In Sarajevo, the National Library of Bosnia and Herzegovina burned for three days along with millions of unique historical manuscripts after being targeted by Serbian paramilitary troops.

Similar acts of deliberate architectural destruction occurred throughout the Krajina and Slavonia regions of Croatia during the 1991-1995 Croatian War of Independence.

In Kosovo itself, the destruction of the Kosovo War could be viewed as simply one chapter in a long saga of repeated actions targeting architectural heritage as an aspect of nationalism to be manipulated, disguised, or erased. Ottoman rulers destroyed or converted into mosques hundreds of churches throughout Kosovo during their five-hundred-year occupation of the region; upon their excision during World War I, numerous Ottoman homes, mosques, and administrative buildings were systemically burned by liberated populations. Synagogues, Jewish cemeteries, and Serbian architectural heritage were later destroyed by Fascist-armed Albanian security forces during World War II. And under Yugoslavia, the historic Ottoman bazaar in Priština (Prishtinë), the cultural centerpiece of the city’s Kosovar Albanian community, was leveled despite massive public outcry to make way for a reimagined brutalist city center.

Kosovo’s history is filled with examples of architectural destruction connected with nationalist ideas of advancement and belonging. But while these historical traumas were certainly invoked by political leaders throughout the Kosovo War, they do not fully explain the unique scale of deliberate architectural destruction incurred during this latest conflict. Moreover, this timeline populated with examples of violence obscures long periods of regional stability and peace. The central question remains: why did this violent legacy replay yet again – and so much more devastatingly – during Yugoslavia’s dissolution? To answer such a question, we must first peel back several layers of dense Balkan geopolitical, demographic, and historical context. For while Kosovo has not experienced continual violence throughout the twentieth century, it has served as an ideological and symbolic battleground between nationalist narratives for centuries.

16 Ibid.
Kosovo is a relatively small landlocked region in the central Balkans made up of two fertile alpine plateaus bounded by the Dinaric Alps to the west and Šar (Sharr) Mountains to the south. Since Roman times, the region has been famous as a crossroads for major trade routes and for its abundant mineral resources: silver, lead, chrome, zinc, and lignite. While predominately rural until the last fifty years, Kosovo’s population density is now among the highest in Europe. Kosovar Albanians comprise the majority of Kosovo’s population today, over 90% of the country’s 1,782,115 people (see Appendix B, figures 1-2). While Serb boycotts of the Kosovar government’s census reports render official demographic data unreliable, Serbs are generally accepted to make up around 5% of the population. The remainder of Kosovo’s population consists of Romani, Ashkali, Turkish, Bosnian Muslim, and Gorani minority communities.

Theorized to be descended from the Illyrian tribes which populated the Adriatic Coast in antiquity, Albanians are not closely ethnically related to their Balkan neighbors and speak a language isolate that for centuries was one of Europe’s last unwritten vernaculars. Serbs, meanwhile, are a Slavic people whose ancestors migrated south into the Balkans during the sixth and seventh centuries. The vast majority of Kosovar Albanians are Sunni Muslim, but unlike Serbs, for whom religious identity and national identity are inextricably linked, some practice Roman Catholicism, Bektashi Sufism, or Orthodox Christianity.

22 Ibid.
since the late nineteenth century, been predominately defined by usage of the Albanian language rather than adherence to a particular religious creed. Serbs, on the other hand, differentiate their national identity from that of their Bosnian and Croatian linguistic brothers predominately through their adherence to the Serbian Orthodox faith.

Kosovo is one of the oldest continuously inhabited places in Europe, with the city of Prizren (Prizren) having been populated since approximately 10,000 BCE. Ancient Kosovo traded hands between numerous empires and short-lived kingdoms making historical demographics all but impossible to determine, but by the time Slavic migrations reached the region around 1000 CE, local populations were likely a diverse array of Latinate peoples under Byzantine cultural and political influence. Although the “Serbs” were initially a collection of loosely organized Slavic tribes, a new dynasty founded by Stefan Nemanja in the 1160s quickly consolidated these disparate groups into a cohesive Serbian military and religious apparatus. The Nemanjić Dynasty converted the Serbs to Orthodox Christianity, closely allied itself to the Byzantine Empire, and began constructing royal churches and monasteries throughout Kosovo – a region central to the new kingdom geographically as well as economically. Although both short-lived and plagued by infighting, the notion of Nemanjić Dynasty as central to the Serbian nation’s creation has been preserved and promoted by the Serbian Orthodox Church, “which as a national institution was essentially that dynasty’s creation.”

32 Ibid, 17.
On 15 June 1389, the Nemanjić Dynasty met its symbolic demise at Kosovo Polje (Fushë Kosovës), where tens of thousands of Ottoman Turks met a coalition of Balkan Christian forces in a tactically inconclusive battle with immense casualties on both sides. Both the Nemanjić Dynasty’s Prince Lazar and Ottoman Sultan Murad I died alongside the majority of their troops in the chaos. While the Battle of Kosovo did not immediately result in the subjugation of all Serbs to Ottoman rule, this event has come to signify the great defeat of the Serbs and the beginning of five hundred years living under “the Ottoman Yoke.” Epic poetry and songs narrating the epic battle proliferated throughout the Ottoman Balkans in subsequent centuries, and the battle’s example of numerous Balkan kingdoms uniting together against a foreign threat became a massively important symbol within multi-ethnic Yugoslavia. Prince Lazar’s death, in particular, has come to symbolize an act of martyrdom on behalf of the Serbian Church and nation.

As the Ottoman Empire consolidated their power over Kosovo in the subsequent decades, a vast number of the region’s Serbian population fled north into Bosnia and the Morava Valley to escape subjugation to the Muslim power. The Ottomans would rule Kosovo from 1389 to 1912, during which time Kosovo’s demographics came to represent the Caliphate’s diversity. Romani people, Sephardic Jews, and Turks all settled in the region’s urban centers, while rural lands vacated by Serbs became home to a Kosovar Albanian majority. Although Albanians had previously been Catholic or Orthodox Christian, even fighting alongside Prince Lazar in the Battle of Kosovo, in the centuries following this defeat most steadily abandoned their Christian

---

creed in favor of Islam. This massive societal conversion was not forced upon the Albanian populace but rather represented a trend of individual conversions that spread among Kosovar Albanian family units throughout the region.\textsuperscript{38} Historians have long debated why so few Kosovar Albanians retained their allegiance to Christianity compared to most of their Balkan peers (and even Albanians from Albania proper who converted at significantly lower rates), yet neither the scholarly nor Albanian communities widely accept any single conclusion. Certainly, though, Muslims received substantial benefits in the Ottoman sociopolitical system, leading to broad integration of Albanian involvement in Ottoman politics and economics. These privileges enjoyed by Albanian converts during the Ottoman occupation led Kosovar Serbs, who maintained their Orthodox Christian identity and autonomy under the Ottoman millet system, to largely equate them with the resented Turks as imperial tyrants.\textsuperscript{39}

Facing the Caliphate’s imminent collapse, Albanian delegates from four different vilayets gathered in Prizren in 1878 to establish the League of Prizren with the goal of safeguarding Albanian autonomy against Slavic and Greek encroachment and the corrupt Ottoman political apparatus.\textsuperscript{40} This organization, comprised of both Muslim and Christian Albanians, heralded a new Albanian national consciousness.\textsuperscript{41} Thus, while Kosovo is seen as “the cradle of the Serbs,” it is also cited by Albanians as the site of their sociopolitical self-realization.\textsuperscript{42}

As part of the Paris Peace Conference’s geopolitical dissection of the defeated Ottoman Empire in 1919, the liberated Kosovo vilayet was awarded to the newly formed Kingdom of

\textsuperscript{38} Stavro Skendi, “Religion in Albania During the Ottoman Rule,” \textit{Südost-Forschungen} vol. 15 (Regensburg: Institute for East and Southeast European Studies, 1956), 311-327.

\textsuperscript{39} Antić, \textit{The History of Serbia}; The Ottoman Sultanate divided their vast empire into law-making and tax-paying units of a single religious profession called millets (millet, millet). Under this system, Serbs were part of a Serbian Orthodox millet while Albanians were part of a Muslim millet and theoretically politically indistinct from the ruling Turks.

\textsuperscript{40} Trix, “Kosovo,” in \textit{Central and Southeast European Politics Since 1989}, Second Edition, Eds. Ramet and Hassenstab, 401; a vilayet (vilayet, vilajeti) was an upper-level Ottoman administrative unit.

\textsuperscript{41} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{42} Ibid.
Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes. Fears that the Kosovar Albanian population would attempt to disrupt the Slavic Kingdom of Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes, later renamed the Kingdom of Yugoslavia, led leaders to split the region into three administrative banovinas, thus preventing a Kosovar Albanian majority in any jurisdiction (see Appendix B, figures 3-4). When fascists took over Yugoslavia in World War II, fascist leadership surmised that they could win over Kosovar Albanians as loyal allies by uniting Kosovo with neighboring Albania. While occupied Kosovar Albanians rebelled against this occupation at a similar rate to occupied Serbs, the association of Albanians with fascism remained among many Serbs because of the Albanian nation’s official status as an Italian protectorate. This Albanian puppet government oversaw the burning of Serbian villages throughout Kosovo in an effort to purify the national landscape.

When World War II ended with Yugoslavia regaining independence as a socialist state, Serbia effectively controlled Kosovo’s politics and economy despite the population remaining around 80% Kosovar Albanian. Yugoslavia was a diverse, multi-national state, but its entire cult of symbology was based on pan-Slavism; since Kosovar Albanians weren’t Slavs, they were de facto excluded from numerous sociopolitical power dynamics. Albanians faced the highest rates of incarceration in Yugoslavia, the highest rates of poverty, and the highest rates of illiteracy. Under dictator Josip Broz Tito (hereafter, Tito), the Yugoslav government worked towards erecting a concrete utopia – literally constructing a new socialist interpretation of nationalism through standardized brutalist urban landscapes – that disproportionately bulldozed

---

43 Ibid.
44 Malcolm, Kosovo; banovinas (banovine; banovinë) were administrative units used during the Kingdom of Yugoslavia, named after rivers in an attempt to deter nationalist affiliations.
45 Fischer, Albania at War 1939-1945.
46 Ibid.
47 Malcolm, Kosovo.
and displaced Kosovar Albanian neighborhoods. These policies of exclusion led more than 100,000 Kosovar Albanians to emigrate from the region to Turkey between 1946 and 1966. While official anti-Albanian policies ended with Kosovo being afforded increased autonomous status in 1968 and 1974, the region remained deeply impoverished and politically troubled.

These layers of historical context are crucial to our understanding of the Kosovo War because they were invoked continuously by nationalist movements at play during this later period. A common joke among Kosovar Serbs today is that they have more war trauma from the Battle of Kosovo in 1389 than from the war twenty years ago. The destruction of the Priština Bazaar by Tito’s brutalist architectural agenda was continuously cited as the direct provocation in multiple acts of architectural violence in 2004 – forty years later. While this thesis will focus on events taking place in the 1980s, 1990s, and early 2000s, it is impossible to disconnect this history from the aforementioned context. Nevertheless, the ascension of Serbian nationalist Slobodan Milošević to political power and the Kosovo Liberation Army’s (KLA) coalescence in opposition to his chauvinist rhetoric demonstrate a clear turning point separating how nationalist narratives were promoted between the 1980s and previous decades.

Both Milošević and the KLA specifically called for the destruction of religious architecture of the other, invoking historical traumas, citing proof of their exclusive right to control Kosovo, and stoking fears of their nation’s extermination should they not strike first and hardest. Serbs came to see Kosovo as the birthplace of their Church, their nation; the site of their people’s martyrdom to the Ottoman horde and the promised land from which they were expelled.

52 Ibid.
53 Luka Mihajlović, zoom interview by Benjamin Kinney Harris, 11 February 2021.
54 Herscher, *Violence Taking Place*. 
Kosovar Albanians increasingly viewed themselves as secondhand citizens on lands they had primarily lived upon and cultivated for hundreds of years under Ottoman and Yugoslav oppression; they too came to view Kosovo as crucial to their national histories and ambitions. For both nations, churches and mosques became symbolic stand-ins for historical narratives that needed to be alternately glorified or erased. This resulted in systemic attempts towards the purification of the landscape through destruction of the opposing religion’s architecture and sacred spaces, an ethnic cleansing acted out through architectural demolition. This thesis intends to explain how such nationalist narratives proved so successful at reframing architecture as emblems of oppression and trauma requiring destruction.
Nationalist Theory: A Brief Overview

Since the eighteenth century, numerous political theorists have submitted competing definitions for nationalism reflective of an impassioned debate concerning nationalism’s origins and importance in human socio-politics. As delineated by political scientist Umut Özkırımlı’s *Theories of Nationalism: A Critical Introduction*, three schools of thought have dominated these conversations in recent decades: primordialism, modernism, and ethnosymbolism. While each of these schools may be further fragmented into more complicated theoretical taxonomies, their adherents generally share core qualities of what defines a nation and, therefore, how nationalism must be academically understood. Effective conclusions about the nature, causes, and effects of nationalism in Kosovo require adherence to one of these theoretical models.

Primordialism, as typified most outspokenly by sociologists Pierre van den Berghe and Edward Shils, supposes that ethnic groups share an inherent bond tied to blood, language, and religion. Crucially, this theory validates the beliefs generally held by nationalists themselves. Primordialist scholars generally propose that endogamy is natural, that conflict between nations is inevitable, and that nations are a fundamental feature of human society that may be traced throughout recorded history. According to van den Berghe, “ethnic groups may occasionally enter into a symbiotic, mutually beneficial relationship, but this is usually short-lived: relations between different groups are most often antagonistic.” Thus, the breakup of Yugoslavia and

---

inter-ethnic war in Kosovo may be seen as a tragic inevitability for which no political leader, group, or agenda should be understood as responsible. The architectural destruction surveyed in this thesis is, for primordialists, an organic result of irreconcilable national divisions over which political rhetoric and nationalist narratives have little to no effect; architectural destruction as a byproduct of inter-ethnic conflict is to be expected and has occurred since time immemorial.

Modernists, on the other hand, argue that nationalist violence like that observed in Kosovo is rational and recent – usually the result of economic issues and always employed through the manipulations of empowered individuals. John Breuilly, Paul Brass, and Benedict Anderson propose that nations consist of “imagined communities” that must be taught; they are essentially arbitrary tools used by competing elites to generate mass support.58 These scholars observed the violent breakup of Yugoslavia as the result of clear actions taken and rhetoric espoused by nationalist politicians and media sources who convinced their constituents and readers of emotional, deliberately constructed arguments. Their nationalist narratives baselessly promoted concepts of national superiority, stoked fears of extermination, and recontextualized forgotten traumas to feel raw and urgent. Perhaps most crucially, these narratives only succeeded in capturing the public consciousness due to Yugoslavia’s economic woes and uncertain political future. The burning of mosques and destruction of churches in Kosovo must therefore be recognized as inherently political acts for which individuals can and must be held responsible.

Founded by political historian Anthony Smith and outlined in his book entitled National Identity, the ethnosymbolist school of nationalist theory seeks to bridge the gap between the two aforementioned perspectives.59 Ethnosymbolists stress that nationalism is part of a natural, larger

cycle of ethnic consciousness reaching back to antiquity that is often mass-led rather than purely manipulated by elites, but also that nationalism always includes some manipulation of pre-existing and already-important ethnic symbols towards specific ends. While collective culture arises organically from what Smith termed the *ethnie* to constrain the elites, elites nevertheless employ this collective culture to maintain their power. According to Smith, “if three preconditions – hostile myths, ethnic fears, and opportunity – are present,” ethnic war will result after triggering events activate chauvinistic mobilization.  

These preconditions may be inflated and inflamed by nationalist politics or media culture, but they may never be invented. Thus, nationalist violence in Kosovo must have its basis both in a long history of opposing realities for Kosovar Serbs and Albanians and in the speeches, decisions, and actions of national leaders.

In *Modern Hatreds*, British political scientist Stuart Kaufman analyzes four case studies using Smith’s ethnosymbolist causality framework for explaining the necessary preconditions of ethnic war provoked by nationalist hostility. According to Kaufman, this sequence of causally ordered triggering events can be either mass-led or elite-led in nature. In elite-led ethno-nationalist escalations, “a few powerful elites, typically government officials, [deliberately] harness ethnic myths and symbols to provoke fear, hostility, and a security dilemma” that need not have been actively recognized by the populace previously. 

If, however, “myths, fears, and hostility are already strong… a mass-led ethnic movement [may] emerge,” wherein preexisting and readily understood hostility constructs are merely reasserted organically – often following a traumatic social, political, or economic event.  

---

61 Ibid, 34.  
62 Ibid, 34.
Kaufman's research applies Smith's definitions to the investigation of four Eastern European conflicts that transpired during the 1990s. His comparison differs from much nationalist scholarship by focusing primarily on the short-term causes of ethnic violence, emphasizing the actions and speeches of political actors rather than tracing long nationalist histories preceding outbreaks of conflict. Kaufman claims that nationalist hysteria is deliberately incited by political leaders through the manipulation of symbols with preexisting linkages to emotionally evocative national narratives and mythologies. In the case of Kosovo, he suggests that “most of the nationalist hysteria in Serbia was the result of symbol manipulation by Milošević” but also emphasizes that these symbols have existed as core to Serbian national identity for much longer, only being re-deployed rather than invented. Kaufman argues that because symbols associated with threats to ethnic extinction are rooted in emotion rather than rationality, successful peacekeeping efforts in nationalist conflicts must incorporate an appreciation of the power of the symbols implicated in the nationalist behavior. This understanding of the symbolic dimension undergirding mass-led nationalist movements and elite-led nationalist movements, from the first impassioned invocations of nationalist fervor to the first gunshots, perfectly encapsulates what drives violence against religious architecture – the signifying domain of which operates primarily on the symbolic level.

Kaufman describes the escalation of nationalist tensions to militarized conflict in Kosovo (and the entire former Yugoslavia) as a causally ordered sequence wherein key events deploying nationalist rhetoric directly provoked subsequent events applying the same rhetoric to increasingly violent ends. Furthermore, Kaufman argues that the conflict in Kosovo was incited by elite-led ethno-nationalist “symbol manipulation by [Serbian leader Slobodan] Milošević, his

---

63 Kaufman, Modern Hatreds, 198.
proxies, and the media he controlled.”64 While “nationalist political pressures in Serbia were real, and a chauvinist national mythology was deeply rooted” far prior to Milošević’s rise to power, Milošević and the KLA, both “chose to pursue policies so unyielding that the inevitable result was confrontation.”65 Kaufman asserts that the Kosovo War “vividly illustrates the value of the symbolic politics approach to explaining ethnic war” because it makes sense “only in the context of the [pre-existing] nationalist myths and symbols that the peoples of Yugoslavia found so moving.”66

While Kaufman’s causal argument and methodology for discussing the path to war in Kosovo remains convincing, ethnosymbolist theorists of nationalist politics have faced a barrage of criticism in the last two decades. Primordialists tend to view all ethnic conflicts as being primarily mass-led, emphasizing pre-existing, often ancient, symbols and narratives as necessary preconditions for violence that can surge to the forefront between any two historically antagonistic populations given an opportunity. These scholars discount Kaufman’s assertion of the Kosovo conflict as elite-led by repeating his own admission that “nationalist political pressures in Serbia were real, and a chauvinist national mythology was deeply rooted” far prior to Milošević’s rise to power.67 For primordialists, Milošević primarily gained power from pre-existing nationalist vitriol rather than lending his power to it. Modernists, on the other hand, would challenge the theoretical assumption of pre-existing ethnic tensions, viewing these as irrelevant until elites employ them as tools for scapegoating populations and explaining perceived socio-economic ills. For modernists, any notion of inanimate symbols as being to blame for ethnic violence removes responsibility from jingoistic political actors like Milošević

64 Ibid.
65 Kaufman, Modern Hatreds, 198.
66 Ibid, 199.
67 Ibid, 198.
and the KLA who should be considered entirely accountable for manipulating arbitrary narratives and symbols, the historical bases for which remain irrelevant to the resulting destruction.

Despite criticisms of ethnosymbolism as theoretically confused, due to its determination to prove nationalism simultaneously organic and constructed, this thesis will adhere to Anthony Smith’s definitions of a nation and nationalism as well as the larger ethnosymbolist model for explaining inter-ethnic violence. Under this framework, Kosovo’s religious architecture may be studied as both symbolically representative of genuine historic divisions between Serbs and Albanians as well as victim to political rhetoric and individual actors. This decision has been influenced by two key factors. Adherence to modernist models of nationalist theory requires the refutation of perceived historical traumas and proud narratives as constructions which must then be traced to their constructors – and minimizing the reality of nationalist rhetoric too often minimizes its very real, and in this case devastating, human impact. Conversely, entirely presupposing the perpetuity of nationalism and inevitability of nationalist conflict through primordialism too often falls into the trap of excusing inter-ethnic violence and chauvinist narratives rather than critically explaining them. With this in mind, this thesis assumes a nation to be “a named human population sharing a historic territory, common myths and historical memories, [and] a mass, public culture.”68 Accordingly, nationalism should be understood henceforth as “an ideological movement for attaining and maintaining autonomy, unity, and identity on behalf of a population deemed by some of its members to constitute an actual or potential nation.”69

68 Smith, National Identity, 14.
69 Ibid, 73.
Methodology and Limitations

This thesis primarily employs a comparative historical analysis model to discuss the narratives invoked by both Serbian and Kosovar Albanian nationalist movements within Kosovo in the decades surrounding the armed conflict of 1998-9. The events discussed in this thesis reflect extremely recent, unfinished history and many of the nationalist narratives employed before and during the conflict remain widely accepted and repeatedly invoked today. However, my historical analysis approach to researching underlying narratives that served as an impetus to architectural destruction will, I believe, contribute unique perspective to the scholarly landscape. Few historians have critically analyzed the Kosovo conflict and its aftermath due to its relative recentness, so by adopting this approach to examine existing literature and primary sources, I hope to add new conclusions to this evolving academic conversation. The historical analysis approach also permitted me to collect qualitative textual data from a range of sources to form, investigate, and critically analyze a hypothesis. Historical analysis encourages interdisciplinary inquiry, which has been crucial in accommodating my need to grapple with social, political, religious, anthropological, and architectural impetuses and impacts of nationalist conflict.

Comparative historical analysis has also allowed me to compare and contrast the actions and narratives of Serbian and Kosovar Albanian nationalist movements rather than assuming their polarity or equivalence. Furthermore, this approach affords opportunity to delve deeper into Kosovar history to link recent events of architectural destruction with key symbolic events in the past: the Battle of Kosovo, the burning of Serbian villages by Kosovar Albanian fascists during World War II, and the destruction of the historic Priština Bazaar by the Yugoslav government.
Such historical events and their effect on nationalist narratives long after their occurrence demonstrate the use of interpreting architectural destruction within a broader temporal context.

My research relies heavily upon speeches and news coverage demonstrating the inflammatory nationalist language that I argue as causal to the architectural destruction incurred throughout Kosovo. Within my broader historical analysis, I will draw from aspects of discourse analysis and ethnosymbolist frame analysis to demonstrate and qualify this causal relationship. These approaches will permit my dissection of nationalist narratives to assert architecture as both weapon of and victim to such rhetoric.

Specifically, my research will emphasize the KLA leadership’s vocal advocacy of Albanian nationalist narratives stressing territorial belonging in Kosovo, the League of Prizren as a symbol of Albanian cultural origins, and the continued national struggles of Kosovar Albanians for independence under both the Ottoman occupation and perceived Yugoslav oppression. For proponents of these narratives, the presence of Serbian orthodox churches, especially medieval churches, reflected the ambiguous status of cultural ownership over Kosovo as a territory. Moreover, Serbian architecture came to directly undermine increasing rhetoric promoting Kosovo as an ethnically homogenous territory. On the other hand, my research will highlight Serbian nationalist narratives that stress the Battle of Kosovo and Patriarchate of Peć (Pejë) as birthplaces for the Serbian nation. For Serbs, Kosovar Albanians and their Ottoman-influenced, primarily Muslim architecture represent the Ottoman yoke, under which Serbs felt threatened with cultural extinction at the hands of an oppressive imperial power. Both Serbs and Kosovar Albanians invoked sacred architecture as emblems of their belonging and their victimization by the other throughout the 1990s. My research methods call attention to many such speeches, to

---

incendiary texts such as the *Memorandum on Yugoslavia’s Situation* by the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts (SANU), and to the beliefs of Serbian and Kosovar Albanian leaders and citizens reflected in interviews, memoirs, and other documents.71

Ethnosymbolist nationalist frameworks, as mentioned previously, judge that nationalist conflict is driven by elites who utilize symbols – such as culturally significant architecture – as narrative tools to coopt power and incite existential fears in their national communities. Through this frame analysis approach, I have grouped the qualitative findings from my comparative historical analysis into thematic categories that explain the relationship between nationalist socio-political study and the actual impacts experienced in Kosovo. As previously mentioned, Anthony Smith argued that ethnic war stems from three preconditions: “hostile myths, ethnic fears, and opportunity.”72 Under my adapted ethnosymbolist nationalist framework, I specify the three key preconditions to architectural destruction along violent nationalist lines in Kosovo as: myths of supremacy, fears of extermination, and historical trauma. Each of my chapters analyzes one of these preconditions and its weaponization of architecture as a symbol.

While my research approach encourages incisive qualitative analysis of nationalist narratives promoted before, during, and after the Kosovo War, the approach is limited to merely demonstrating and explaining how these narratives led to the unique scale of architectural destruction inflicted upon the Kosovar landscape. This thesis does not seek to refute or critique the logic or legitimacy behind either Kosovar Albanian or Serbian nationalist narratives. While some nationalist sentiments and historical revisionism may be contradicted by my sources or research findings, this must be understood as an inevitable side effect of nationalist study rather than my goal. I cannot effectively analyze subject matter dealing with nationalist narratives

71 Меморандум САНУ [Memorandum SANU] (Belgrade: Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, 1986).
without contradicting certain nationalist perspectives, but I have attempted to rest my research upon objective facts without passing explicit judgment denouncing certain nationalist narratives as nonsense. Indeed, the entire premise of this study is that nationalism is not nonsense – so long as nationalist narratives are real for their adherents, they may inflict real damage.

Although it may be tempting for the reader to assign blame for the Kosovo conflict and ensuing architectural destruction predominately upon either the Serbs or the Kosovar Albanians, my comparative historical analysis relies on the assertion that narratives from both nations met the necessary preconditions for inciting violence – both architectural and otherwise. But this thesis is not concerned with quantifying fault; I do not make any judgements on the total value of the architectural destructions either incited or incurred by Serbs or Kosovar Albanians. The examples of architectural destruction invoked as data points here are all assumed to have unquantifiable importance. While my conclusions assign responsibility for certain actions upon certain actors, quantifying this responsibility is far beyond my scope. I have attempted to include a roughly equivalent number of examples of both nationalist rhetoric expressed and architectural destruction incurred by members of both nations, but this should not be adjudged as implying any equivalence.

Finally, while this thesis will not seek to singlehandedly answer whether or not Kosovo should be viewed as part of Serbia or as an independent state, this thesis will refer to Kosovo in its post-2008 context as a fully independent state in accordance with American diplomatic practice and the vast majority of Western academia. I acknowledge that this and other aforementioned decisions may offend some and feel frustratingly evasive to others, but both my methodology and personal academic interest are limited to scrutinizing nationalism rather than
challenging its legitimacy. I hope that this approach minimizes discomfort and offense for all readers.

**Research Question and Hypothesis**

Architectural destruction is often perceived as inevitable collateral damage during modern conflict, but a major facet of the Kosovo War and its aftermath was the deliberate targeting and demolition of architectural heritage on a massive scale. For both Serbs and Kosovar Albanians, destroying the opposing nation’s architecture was central to a concentrated effort towards purifying the Kosovar cultural landscape and asserting ownership. While Serbian Orthodox churches and Albanian mosques had together defined the region’s architectural landscape for centuries, nationalism transformed this coexistence into a bitter impossibility, fuel for anxieties about who belongs and who are merely illegitimate occupiers. But which relationships with chauvinist nationalist rhetoric enabled architecture to be so successfully manipulated as a sociopolitical symbol and narrative tool in Kosovo?

Though the architectural sites, historical narratives, and distinct national grievances surveyed in this study far predate the Kosovo War, I propose that only through a distinct process of escalatory rhetoric were these preexisting symbols weaponized. Collective traumas provided the opportunity for violent aggression to be repeatedly recontextualized as retaliatory justice for internalized victimhood, encouraging the deliberate infliction of further trauma upon the other. This thesis intends primarily to answer how nationalist narratives of both Serbs and Kosovar Albanians centered the sacred architecture of the opposing nation as targets of this violence. Through analysis of key figures, events, and cases of architectural destruction, I argue that the nationalist narratives of these two nations transformed architecture into emblems representing myths of supremacy, fears of destruction, and historical trauma.
Chapter 1: Myths of Supremacy

Before the War:

The convoluted and tumultuous history of the Western Balkans has resulted in each of the region’s nations experiencing periods of repression and domination by others, as well as periods of relative power over their neighbors.\(^7\) In terms of nationalism, this complicated reality has led to competitive narratives of supreme victimhood that in turn assert a nation’s earned right to certain territories, powers, and privileges through generations of suffering, displacement, and national martyrdom. Serbs are not ashamed, but rather defiantly proud to have “been overrun, tortured, killed, and stolen from by the Turks, the Austrians, the Bulgarians, the Germans, Tito;” this long past filled with examples of persecution is submitted as proof of their present deservingness of greatness.\(^4\) Kosovar Albanians, meanwhile, stress that they have always constituted an overshadowed, oppressed, or occupied minority despite their longstanding demographic majority in Kosovo. While such narratives do not seem predisposed to endorse myths of supremacy, these histories of oppression have been interpreted by their respective nations as proof of a divine sacrifice endured for a future reward: unchallenged control of Kosovo’s physical and cultural landscape. In the years preceding the eruption of the Kosovo War, both Kosovar Albanian and Serbian leaders and media weaponized these myths of supremacy against the opposing nation’s architectural heritage, rejecting such cultural symbols as affronts to their perceived birthright.

\(^7\) Kaufman, Modern Hatreds, 177.
The Serbian myth of martyrdom centers around the Battle of Kosovo, where, according to legend, the Serbian Prince Lazar chose to martyr himself and his army in order to join Serbia’s fate to the empire of heaven.75 This choice not only resulted in Prince Lazar’s canonization as a Serbian Orthodox saint but also the widespread sentiment expressed by a Serbian Orthodox bishop over five hundred years later that “beside the name of Christ, no other name is more beautiful or more sacred than ‘Kosovo.’”76 During the Ottoman occupation, stories, songs, and epic poems about the Battle of Kosovo imbued Serbian national mythology with a glorious irrationality: they “cast the Serbian people as... eternally victims and eternally sanctified by God [and] defined the Serb ethos as that of defenders of the Orthodox Christian faith against the oppressors, the Muslim Turks.”77 The Battle of Kosovo myth thus rationalizes a terrible practical defeat through an assertion of moral victory and superiority.78

This ethical supremacy is further reinforced by the parallel tale of Vuk Branković which frames Prince Lazar’s death at the Battle of Kosovo as the result of a treacherous deception by one of his own trusted allies. While this storied betrayal has no basis in fact, it nevertheless “represents another important strand in Serbian thinking: that Serbia is repeatedly the martyr because it is repeatedly betrayed.”79 Such a perception has influenced a sentiment that Serbs cannot rely upon other nations – that allegiance is treacherous because no other nation is as trustworthy, as moral as the Serbs themselves. This is perceived as especially true of the Albanian nation, which is seen by Serbian nationalists as uniquely traitorous for their abandonment of Christian faith to win favor with their Ottoman occupiers. When heavily

75 Pennington and Levi, Marko the Prince, 17.
77 Kaufman, Modern Hatreds, 171.
79 Kaufman, Modern Hatreds, 172.
Albanian-populated southern Serbia was liberated from Ottoman rule during the Russo-Ottoman War (1877-1878), Albanian villages throughout the Preševo (Preshevë) Valley were burned due to a general perception that including untrustworthy Albanians in an independent Serbia would subvert, corrupt, and corrode the fledgling nation’s sociopolitical fabric. While the political importance of the Kosovo mythos has varied across centuries and decades, its popular significance cannot be overestimated. Indeed, the first constitution of the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes was purposefully proclaimed on the battle’s anniversary: a symbolic gesture of victory against Ottoman rule.

Beginning in the late nineteenth century, Kosovar Albanians also sought to contend their own supremacy, resurrecting historical evidence from their own murky past. First and foremost, Kosovar Albanian nationalists asserted their nation’s descent from the ancient Illyrians because this narrative proved their historical continuity in Kosovo and discounted claims from Serbs that their presence was merely a remnant of the Ottoman occupation. By proving themselves the original inhabitants of Kosovo, both nations sought to assert the supreme right to their territory. But for Kosovar Albanians, their supposed ancient origin was also promoted in an effort to counter the relative youngness of the Albanian nationalist movement which coalesced nearly a century after that of the Serbs.

Kosovar Albanians also responded to the Prince Lazar mythos with their own saga of resistance against the Ottoman occupation: that of Gjergj Kastrioti Skanderbeg, an Albanian Catholic who led a briefly successful uprising against the Caliphate in the mid-1400s. According to Albanian historians, Skanderbeg was not only a military hero but also a beloved leader who united the Albanian people against foreign invaders. His legacy serves as a symbol of resilience and freedom for the Albanian nation.

---

to Albanian historian Piro Misha, Skanderbeg became such a powerful national hero because he embodied “the myth of ‘continuous resistance’ against their numerous foes over the centuries.”\(^ {83}\)

Despite the historical figure’s Christian faith, the mythical figure of Skanderbeg captured the imagination of Kosovar Albanians because his martyrdom to the Ottoman horde paralleled Prince Lazar’s and contradicted Serbian claims of exceptionalism. In 1994, Albanian classrooms throughout Kosovo defiantly hung Skanderbeg’s portrait – a symbolic action that may have foreshadowed the violent resistance to come; many KLA members later cited Skanderbeg’s example as having influenced their decision to raise arms against the Serbian “occupiers.”\(^ {84}\)

Finally, both Serbs and Kosovar Albanians believe that they have been deceived and betrayed by the other across history: in 1981, the Albanian newspaper *Zëri i Popullit* promoted a popular legend that Tito had promised Albanian communist leader Enver Hoxha that “Kosovo and other Albanian regions belong to Albania and we shall return them to you, but not now because the Great Serb reaction would not accept such a thing.”\(^ {85}\) In 1989, when Serbian dictator Slobodan Milošević stripped Kosovo of its autonomous status, Kosovar Albanians cited both examples as proof of Serbian treachery.

Architecture in Kosovo is inextricably linked to these myths of supremacy because historic structures serve as physical links tying present-day nations to their glorious pasts. Kosovo’s medieval Serbian Orthodox churches, erected during the age of the sanctified Nemanjić Dynasty, bring the Battle of Kosovo with all of its revered characters to life – they are seen as living monuments imbued with the spirit of the Serbian nation and ghosts of its perseverance. Their improbable survival across centuries of Ottoman rule and tumultuous change

---

\(^ {83}\) Ibid, 43.


is seen to parallel the survival of the Serbian nation itself, affirming the nation as one blessed by God. Albanians also take immense pride in their architectural heritage, seeing their medieval stone kallas, ornate mosques, and vibrant bazaars as living spaces within which beat the heart of their culture. While Serbs tend to associate Ottoman architecture with the Turkish occupiers, Albanians recognize it as their own: their craftsmen plastered and painted the Sufi tekkes; their ancestors plied crafts and wares along the cobbled streets of the bazaars; their families have owned and carefully maintained the stone kallas which dot the rural landscape. Unfortunately, blurred associations between historic Albanian architecture and that of the Ottoman Caliphate largely discouraged the protection and valuing of such sites by Serbs. Throughout the twentieth century, numerous Albanian neighborhoods and historic sites were bulldozed and replaced by Yugoslav governments (both the Kingdom of Yugoslavia and Socialist Yugoslavia) in the name of progress while Serbian architecture received historic designations and restoration funds. By 1990, 210 Serbian Orthodox sites across Kosovo were designated as cultural monuments; only 15 Kosovar Albanian religious sites received such protection. Serbian exceptionalism thus excused acts of architectural destruction perpetrated against the other even while demolition of their own heritage was to be forcefully decried.

Under the administration of Yugoslav dictator Tito, Kosovar Albanians faced endemic poverty at levels far beyond Slavic national groups as well as cultural isolation due to their non-Slavic identity. Excluded from the secular pan-Slavic symbologies and economic benefits of

86 Kallas or ‘tower houses’ (kule; kullasa) are generally two- or three-story stone constructions erected throughout the Balkans in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. This style of architecture was generally reserved for wealthier Muslim families.

87 Tekkes (tekiye; teqetë) are sites of worship, meditation, learning, and communal gathering used by Kosovo’s Bektashi Sufi population. Sufis are a Muslim sect that practice spiritual Islam outside the confines of mainstream Sunni Islam – their religion is defined largely by the notion that all adherents have a personal relationship with God. Sufis historically constituted a significant portion of the Kosovar Albanian population and Sufi sites remain extremely culturally important to most Kosovar Albanians.

Yugoslav socialism, Kosovar Albanians instead looked to previous leadership for cultural inspiration: that of the nineteenth century League of Prizren which advocated for a united Greater Albania connecting Albanian-majority lands throughout the Western Balkans. This concept of a Greater Albania directly parallels Serbian nationalist desires to establish a Greater Serbia. Both concepts for mono-national super states are predicated on irredentism which advocates for the occupation and reclamation of lost territories based on perceived cultural ownership – an inherently supremacist political philosophy.

While Serbs living under Tito widely bought into the socialist artistic, cultural, and literary trends promoted in pursuit of a new Yugoslav multinational identity, Albanians – largely excluded from the educational system and Yugoslav civic life altogether despite the expressed socialist commitment to “brotherhood and unity” among nations – never bought into these new identifiers.89 The educational curriculum of Yugoslavia, in particular, “was tailored to promote the common identity of Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes... yet what for Serbs comprised a policy of integration, for Albanians proved a policy of denationalization.”90 It is no wonder, then, that Tito’s attempts to transform Kosovo’s urban landscapes in parallel with these new cultural markers of Yugoslavism met widespread opposition from Kosovar Albanians never indoctrinated into the mentality they heralded. Serbian notions of superiority also pervaded Yugoslav administrative politics: not only was Kosovo a politically subservient autonomous territory rather than a full republic due to general distrust of Kosovar Albanians, but Albanians were officially labeled under Yugoslav law as a nationality (Serbian: nacionalnost) rather than a nation (Serbian: narod) like, for example, Serbs or Croats.91 This designation “withheld from Albanians

89 Wachtel, Making a Nation, Breaking a Nation.
the right to self-determination,” and was predicated on the argument that minority nationalities, like Albanians and Hungarians, “did not have this right because... they had a mother state outside the borders of Yugoslavia.” Minimizing Kosovar Albanians in this way encouraged their political exclusion but also symbolically implied a lack of Albanian belonging within the Yugoslav socio-cultural apparatus; for Kosovar Albanians, their official mother state was not Yugoslavia itself, but rather Albania.

Beginning in 1968, urban Kosovar Albanians engaged in repeated, sustained protesting against Yugoslav architectural projects – most of which constituted large-scale public works projects directly associated with the socialist administration. Between 1968 and Slobodan Milošević’s ascension to power in 1989, over 1,200 acts of vandalism were recorded in Priština; more than four-fifths of these were directed at buildings associated with the Serb-dominated Yugoslav state apparatus which represented their sociopolitical exclusion: police stations, administrative buildings, and the University of Priština. During a wave of 1981 protests demanding that Kosovo become a republic with equal status to Serbia within Yugoslavia, these clashes with Serb-associated administrative architecture expanded to include Serbian religious architecture. On 16 March 1981, Kosovar Albanian protesters set fire to the medieval Patriarchal Monastery of Peć, destroying historic monastic living quarters and a collection of priceless liturgical books and icons (see Appendix C, Figure 1). This attack, a clear foreshadowing of the organized architectural violence to come during the Milošević era, must be viewed as a response to Yugoslav exclusionary policies and practices that painted Kosovar Albanians as inferior.

93 Herscher, *Violence Taking Place*.  
While rising to power through the so-called anti-bureaucratic revolution of populist protests in the late 1980s, Slobodan Milošević dialed up Serbian nationalist rhetoric, promoting Serbian myths of supremacy through speeches and policies to galvanize his supporters. Indeed, Stuart Kaufman observes that “after 1986, virtually all of the violence in Serbia was either organized by Milošević’s machine or aimed against his chauvinist policies.”

Milošević, his proxies, and the media he controlled not only invoked symbols from the medieval Battle of Kosovo, but increasingly framed the contemporary demographic situation in Kosovo as a reprisal. In 1988, he told a crowd of supporters in Belgrade that Serbs “shall win the battle for Kosovo regardless of the obstacles facing us inside and outside the country. We shall win despite the fact that Serbia’s enemies outside the country are plotting against it, along with those in the country.” This rhetoric framed the Serbian nation’s imperative to, once again, prove its superiority and faith despite being outnumbered and likely doomed to fail; Serbian demographic supremacy in Kosovo, for Milošević and his supporters, was a righteous destiny.

On the Battle of Kosovo’s six-hundredth anniversary, Slobodan Milošević infamously addressed around one million supporters before the Gazimestan Memorial at Kosovo Polje. For months prior, Milošević had publicized the event by touring the sacred relics of Prince Lazar himself around Serb-inhabited parts of Yugoslavia. Surrounded by resuscitated symbols of Serbian nationalism outlawed under Tito – peonies representing the blood of Prince Lazar, the Serbian royal eagle, and the slogan “Only Unity Saves the Serbs” – Milošević declared to a cheering crowd waving his photographs beside icons of Prince Lazar:

---

95 Kaufman, Modern Hatreds, 198.
97 Antić, The History of Serbia, 276.
“Six centuries later, now, we are being again engaged in battles and are facing battles. They are not armed battles, although such things cannot be excluded yet... Six centuries ago, Serbia heroically defended itself at Kosovo Polje, but it also defended Europe. Serbia was at that time the bastion that defended European culture, religion, and European society in general.”  

This passage of the speech framed the Serbian nation as a defender of European Christendom and implied the non-Europeanness of Albanian Muslims, the clear current opponents of Milošević’s perceived battles. The speech also rhetorically glorified Milošević as a contemporary Prince Lazar, a thematic construct not lost on the Serbian press who dubbed the president “Little Lazar.” The following day, Belgrade newspaper Politika asserted, “we are once more living in the times of Kosovo... they want to take away from us the Serbian and the Yugoslav Kosovo... but they will not be allowed to.” Serbian poet Matija Bećković echoed such nationalist sentiments, referring to Milošević’s speech as “the culmination of the Serbian national revolt” and proof that “there is so much Serbian blood and Serbian sanctity there that Kosovo will remain Serbian even if there is not a single Serb left.”

The Gazimestan address effectively rendered the Serbian nationalist aspiration for a Greater Serbia as official Yugoslav policy, alarming other national groups throughout the multiethnic union and terrifying Kosovar Albanians in particular. In the wake of the speech, Milošević backed his divisive rhetoric with political action, revoking Kosovo’s autonomous status, bulldozing historic Kosovar Albanian architecture to clear space for the erection of Serbian Orthodox churches, and removing virtually all Kosovar Albanians from state employment. Alongside these efforts, Milošević weaponized his state-controlled media “to further an atmosphere of resentment, hatred, and fear among Serbs by constantly identifying

Muslim Albanians with the old Turkish threat as the vanguard of a new Islamic menace to Serbs.”

In response, Kosovar Albanian politicians stripped from their cabinet positions founded the Democratic League of Kosovo and began directly advocating for the creation of a Greater Albania, boycotting Yugoslav institutions and self-publishing their own divisive propaganda. During the mid-1990s, the KLA also began to coalesce, launching escalatory attacks on Serbian targets. Among the first victims of KLA attacks were Serbian Orthodox churches, cemeteries, and church construction zones; such sites were regularly defaced with graffiti dehumanizing Serbs as “pigs,” “rats,” and “dogs.”

During the War:

In early 1998, the conflict between narratives advocating for Greater Serbia and Greater Albania exploded into a conflict that forever reshaped Kosovo’s cultural landscape. During the Kosovo War, as later affirmed by the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY), architecture was not merely collateral damage but rather victim to systematic, direct attacks for its role as a national symbol. Both Milošević’s military leadership and the KLA saw the sacred architecture of the opposing nation as representing an illegal occupier they needed to overthrow. Serbian myths of supremacy had redefined Albanian architectural heritage as remnants of the Ottoman occupation which must be obliterated as a patriotic and religious duty, invoking the memory of Prince Lazar. Albanian architecture itself had further come to symbolize non-Europeanness, directly challenging the popular Serbian vision of itself as a European country. The towering white minarets rising above villages throughout Kosovo were not only

103 Kaufman, Modern Hatreds, 180.
104 Herscher, Violence Taking Place.
seen as eyesores by Serbian soldiers, police, and paramilitaries – they were physical reminders of
the perceived defeat at the Battle of Kosovo and five-hundred years of subsequent Ottoman
subjugation.\textsuperscript{106} For Albanians, Serbian architecture had similarly come to symbolize self-
righteous oppression – physical reminders of their own secondary status within a country over
which they had no cultural or political ownership.

The Geneva Convention prohibits military destruction of movable and immovable
property “except when such destruction is rendered absolutely necessary for military
operations.”\textsuperscript{107} In Kosovo, Serbian violence against architecture reflected the “coordinated and
systematic campaign to terrorize, kill, and expel Albanians, organized by the highest level of the
Serb government at the time;” it too was coordinated and systemic.\textsuperscript{108} Multiple sources have
determined that half of Kosovo’s 500 mosques were directly attacked, including the fifteenth-
century Bajrakli Mosque in Peć – one of the few Albanian Muslim sites recognized by the
Yugoslav government as a cultural monument (see Appendix C, Figure 2-3).\textsuperscript{109} Serbian military
troops of set this mosque on fire, destroying unique Ottoman wall art, at the direct orders of
Milošević as later determined by the ICTY.\textsuperscript{110} In fact, every Islamic monument in the entire
district of Peć was systemically destroyed by the Serbian military under his direction.\textsuperscript{111}
Milošević’s trial by the ICTY also found that Serbian soldiers engaged in the “decapitation” of
minarets as a game during the conflict.\textsuperscript{112}

\textsuperscript{106} While the military efforts carried out under Slobodan Milošević’s direction in Kosovo were officially organized by the
Federal Republic of Yugoslavia rather than an independent Serbia, this thesis refers to “Serbian soldiers” and the “Serbian
military” due to the fact that forces of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia were nearly exclusively Serbian by national
identification and to avoid confusion with the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia. Serbian paramilitaries, on the other hand,
were groups of varying levels of organization formed by Kosovar Serb citizens who participated in violence against Kosovar
Albanian communities.

\textsuperscript{107} Hirad Abtahi, “The Protection of Cultural Property in Times of Armed Conflict: The Practice of the International Criminal


\textsuperscript{110} International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, Trial of Slobodan Milošević, The Hague, 13 February 2002.

\textsuperscript{111} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{112} Ibid.
Many of these sites were targeted specifically for their symbolic importance to Kosovar Albanians: in March 1999, Serbian police obliterated the League of Prizren building, the birthplace of Albanian nationalism, with rocket-propelled grenades (see Appendix C, Figure 4). Over 90% of the traditional stone kulls that represented Kosovar Albanians’ rich heritage as middle-class merchants and landowners during Ottoman rule were also deliberately destroyed. And in seeking to codify and perpetuate their supremacy, Serbian military groups at Milošević’s direction deliberately incinerated Albanian libraries and archives that were seen as holding the potential to contradict their narratives. The Kosovo Public Library network’s combined losses were assessed at over 900,588 volumes. Thousands of singular documents representing centuries of Ottoman administrative records were among the losses, burnt to ashes inside the Islamic Archives of Kosovo by Serbian police immediately after the armistice agreement and hours before NATO peacekeeping troops arrived (see Appendix C, Figure 5).

Efforts to systemically erase Kosovar Albanian records that could contradict Serbian narratives of dominance and hegemony echoed Milošević’s tight control of the Serbian media which made criticism of his nationalist rhetoric all but impossible during the conflict. They also represent a clear result of Milošević’s chauvinist rhetoric which manipulated the symbol of Kosovo “using themes of martyrdom, betrayal, and moral worth to turn the battle story into a metaphor [for] all contemporary Serbs, while appropriating for himself the mantle of the sainted

114 Ibid.
116 Carsten Frederiksen and Frode Bakken, Libraries in Kosova/Kosovo: A General Assessment and a Short and Medium-Term Development Plan (Copenhagen: International Federation of Library Association and Institutions, 2000), 34.
117 Riedlmayer, “Crimes of War, Crimes of Peace,” Library Trends vol. 56, no. 1, 124; the lines between Serbian military (officially the armed forces of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia) and Serbian police serving under the Public Security Department in Serbia for Kosovo during the Kosovo War are blurred because the region was officially under varying degrees of martial law throughout the 1990s. The Serbian police may therefore be understood in the context of the Kosovo War as effectively an alternative branch of the Serbian armed forces; as such, Serbian police leadership has been tried for war crimes by the ICTY.
These nationalist narratives of martyrdom, indeed, explain Milošević’s decision to continue engaging in the Kosovo conflict despite explicit threats of retaliation by NATO: he calculated that even in sacrificing his country’s political future through ostracization from Western democracy he could “salvage his reputation as the Serb who lost everything by becoming a new Lazar – the Serb who fought for a just but doomed cause.”

Some historians have cited the KLA as the “most successful guerilla organization in modern history” due to its fulfillment of all major objectives within nineteen months of its first public appearance. While initially composed of small cells drawn from disenfranchised rural populations of Kosovar Albanians, the KLA rapidly amassed young Albanian support following the assassination of member Adem Jashari – along with 57 extended family members – in a massive shootout at Prekaz (Prekaz) in March 1998. The KLA’s supporters were tired of Kosovar Albanian political leader Ibrahim Rugova’s passive resistance and instead subscribed to KLA recruitment materials advocating that they “must forcibly drive out all emblems of Serbianness” to ensure freedom. This policy manifested itself through not only the ethnic cleansing of Serb-populated areas, but also attacks on Serbian architecture. During a campaign targeting Serbian villages around the town of Orahovac (Rahovec) in July 1998, the KLA bombarded the fourteenth-century Zočište Monastery for forty-five minutes with heavy artillery despite the structure having no strategic importance (see Appendix C, figures 6-7).
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months after the armistice purported to have ended the conflict. Similar postbellum attacks befell more than 140 other Serbian Orthodox sites in summer 1999; over half of Kosovo’s Christian architecture was systematically targeted, primarily by KLA members. These losses included numerous medieval monasteries like Zočište which are the basis for Serbian sacred architectural style and liturgical art – an erased link in Serbian art history.

During the Kosovo War, Kosovar Albanians largely viewed the destruction of Serbian architecture as something to be heralded rather than decried; their nationalist myths of historic occupation and Albanian supremacy largely prevented them from empathizing with the symbolic meaning of such architecture to others. For example, while Serbs from rural parts of Kosovo flocked to Priština during the conflict because they “felt safer clustered around the concrete symbols of Serbian power,” Kosovar Albanians reviled these Yugoslav constructions as emblems of oppression. During the NATO bombardment of Priština in March 1999, Kosovar Albanian war reporter Gjeraqina Tuhina summarized such sentiments:

“I felt happy last night for the first time as I watched the Ministry of Interior building in the center of town be completely destroyed. I proudly stood at the window, watching. There are only ashes now where before the huge, armored police vehicles would begin their daily tours. At least something of ‘theirs’ has been destroyed and people can finally see it. The big mushroom of flames that lit the night looked so beautiful. When we saw that huge, ugly building burning we didn’t care so much about the consequences of the attacks... so what if the windows in the nearby Serbian apartments were blown out by the blast? We just hope that the attacks continue.”

After the War:
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Serbian and Kosovar Albanian leaders have consistently claimed that the international community has overlooked the destruction of their respective sacred architecture in the aftermath of the conflict; so too have they downplayed their own nation’s role in exacting the destruction of cultural heritage. This reflects the fact that while the Kosovo War ended with an armistice in June 1999, a conflict over whose architecture remains more important, more sacred continues. Serbian documentaries, propaganda, and political statements still tend to frame medieval Serbian architecture as civilizational heritage, while Albanian heritage is diminished as simply that.\(^{130}\) Kosovar Albanians have charged that international restoration work has been biased towards rebuilding Serbian Orthodox churches, an unfair assertion considering that virtually all domestic restoration efforts by the Republic of Kosovo have targeted Albanian heritage. While the United Nations and international NGOs have closely supervised Kosovo’s transition towards complete and fully-recognized independence, few efforts have been made to address nationalist narratives resulting in increased polarization between Kosovar Albanian nationalists and Serbian nationalists.

In March 2004, this failure to address nationalist tensions sparked a series of widespread riots (occasionally referred to as pogroms) resulting in nineteen deaths, 950 injuries, hundreds of Serbian homes damaged or destroyed, 4,500 displaced people (predominately Serbs), and the destruction of an additional 36 Serbian Orthodox churches.\(^{131}\) These events ultimately provoked a Serb boycott of all Kosovar Albanian institutions which largely continues today.\(^{132}\) Although Kosovar Albanian politicians largely blamed economic factors for the uprisings, interviews with arrested rioters demonstrate that the attacks were clearly motivated by nationalist fervor which
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continues to glorify some at the dehumanizing expense of others. According to Kosovo scholar Frances Trix, many Kosovar Albanian instigators reported that they “had been told that it was their duty to burn churches” now that they held power – burning churches was seen as a necessary display of hard-earned dominance. Narratives of martyrdom invoked by Slobodan Milošević are now reflected at the forefront of the Kosovar Albanian ethos: an analysis of the Kosovo daily newspaper Bota Sot “provides ample evidence of newly developing myths of heroes, martyrs, and traitors of the Kosovo War and of the national cause.” Across the geopolitically fraught Kosovar-Serbian border, rhetoric remains equally inflammatory. In 2008, then-prime minister of Serbia Vojislav Koštunica excitedly asked a Belgrade rally of 200,000:

“What is Kosovo? Where is Kosovo? Whose is Kosovo? Is there anyone among us who is not from Kosovo? Is there anyone among us who thinks that Kosovo does not belong to us? Kosovo – that’s Serbia’s first name. Kosovo belongs to Serbia. Kosovo belongs to the Serbian people. That is how it has been forever... There is no force, no threat, and no punishment big and hideous enough for any Serb, at any time, to say anything different but, Kosovo is Serbia!”

While the international community – and certainly Kosovar Albanians – largely panicked upon hearing such rhetoric, the Serbian press widely reprinted the speech and positively compared it to Milošević’s speech at Kosovo Polje. Politika reprinted Koštunica’s speech under the headline:

“It’s not over!”

Chapter 2: Fears of Extermination

Before the War:

Balkan history is filled with examples of ethnic cleansing as conquering and reconquering empires fighting over this geopolitical crossroads have long sought to assert and affirm territorial control through the removal of rival inhabitants. Such events are imbedded in the narratives and legends of both Kosovar Albanians and Serbs; their literature and arts have long drawn inspiration from ethnic cleansing as emblematic of both of their respective nations’ eternal victimhood and perseverance. Architectural destruction often plays a key role in such narratives because permanent structures provide physical, enduring links between people and the land upon which they reside; removal of these structures thus severs the physical relationship between a location and its inhabitants. Just as architecture itself provides symbolic security, fosters belonging, and tangibly manifests the character of a nation, its destruction prompts acute fears of national vulnerability. As Ottoman control of the Balkans fractured during the Balkan Wars of the nineteenth and early twentieth century, “hundreds of thousands of people migrated from areas controlled by the other religious faith to areas controlled by their own,” a cycle of ethnic cleansing repeated with border fluctuations.138 These periods of ethnic upheaval nearly always featured widespread architectural destruction, especially as newly independent Serbs sought to emphasize their independence through the destruction of Ottoman architecture.

While symbolic narratives featuring architectural cleansing as a stand-in for ethnic cleansing reside at the core of Serbian and Kosovar Albanian identity, leaders from both nations
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activated these underlying anxieties into fears of extermination to bolster their own political agendas in the decades preceding the Kosovo War. Slobodan Milošević and his Serbian nationalist allies manipulated fears of extermination among Serbs to legitimize their desired consolidation of political power across Yugoslavia as the only means of ensuring national security. Meanwhile, the KLA and other Kosovar Albanian nationalists stoked unfounded fears of their impending expulsion into Albania in the hopes of discouraging passivity and enlisting support for independence – submitted as the only viable national defense. Familiar architecture, meanwhile, took on an increasingly important role illustrating the promise of security, with constructions emblematic of national belonging, physical homes, and built environments proving historic continuity all representing the inextricability of both nations from Kosovo. Conversely, architecture of the opposing nation increasingly validated fears of extermination through its mere presence in spaces leaders had trained supporters to view as theirs alone. In the decades prior to the Kosovo War, Serbs and Kosovar Albanians increasingly sought to purify the architectural landscapes of Kosovo and in doing so assert the permanence of their occupation.

Serbs and Kosovar Albanians share a long history of employing propagandist demographic claims to confirm their victimization and inflame fears of their erasure. In the immediate aftermath of World War II, for example, Serbian nationalists alleged that “hundreds of thousands” of Albanians living in Kosovo – the vast majority of the population – were recent colonists shipped into the region from Albania during the territory’s military occupation.139 Kosovar Albanians, meanwhile, “claimed that following the reimposition of Yugoslav rule in Kosovo, hundreds of thousands of Albanians were ‘deported.’”140 These contradictory claims are both equally baseless, but such so-called evidence of ethnic cleansing was invoked by nationalist...
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politicians throughout the twentieth century. Moreover, the aforementioned invented narratives were often invoked alongside narratives of genuine ethnic cleansings, muddying the average citizen’s ability to differentiate between imagined insecurities and verified historical trauma.

During World War II, Serbs faced widespread persecution throughout the Western Balkans but especially in territories controlled by the Axis-allied Croatian Ustaša government where the interment and slaughter of ethnic Serbs has been widely documented as a genocide.\textsuperscript{141} Comparatively, the number of Serbs killed by the Albanian fascist regime in Kosovo appears relatively small, with around 5,000 Kosovar Serbs believed to have lost their lives during the occupation (compared to between 3,000 and 12,000 Kosovar Albanians).\textsuperscript{142} However, the Albanian regime also forcibly removed between 70,000 and 100,000 Serbs from Kosovo, only a small portion of which returned to the territory following the conflict.\textsuperscript{143} These purges were directly orchestrated under the leadership of Prime Minister Mustafa Merlika-Kruja who, in 1942, held a public speech advocating, in part:

“We should endeavor to ensure that the Serb population of Kosovo [be] removed as soon as possible... all indigenous Serbs who have been living here for centuries should be termed colonialists and as such, via the Albanian and Italian governments, should be sent [away]... Serbian settlers should be killed.”\textsuperscript{144}

The Albanian fascist government’s systematic purges of Kosovar Serbs were also accompanied by architectural cleansing, especially in rural areas. In 1942, Italian civil commissioner Carlo

\textsuperscript{141} Serious scientific and historical works on genocide unequivocally classify crimes against Serbs in the Ustaša government’s Independent State of Croatia as a genocide, with many extending this designation to include actions in Axis-occupied Serbia, Montenegro, and Kosovo. Yad Vashem and the Simon Wiesenthal Center - two leading Holocaust remembrance organizations - include the removal of Serbs from Albanian-occupied Kosovo as a Holocaust crime. While recent presidents of all other ex-Yugoslav states (including Croatia) have addressed the persecution of Serbs during World War II as a genocide, no such recognition has been officially extended by governments of Albania or independent Kosovo.
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Umiltà observed that “the Albanians are out to exterminate the Slavs... not a single house has a roof; everything has been burned down.”

Kosovar Albanians faced expulsion in even greater numbers in the decades immediately preceding and following World War II under Serbian nationalist policies that explicitly called for the “Serbianization” of Kosovo. In the 1920s, this policy consisted of blocking Albanians from all professional employment and shutting down Albanian-language schooling in an effort “to keep the Kosovar Albanians ignorant and illiterate.” These oppressive policies resulted in nearly half of the region’s population emigrating, primarily to neighboring Albania. Later, in 1937, Serbian Royal Academy of Sciences chair Vaso Ćubrilović declared that if Serbia did not drive all Kosovar Albanians from the Kingdom of Yugoslavia, “within twenty to thirty years we shall have to cope with a terrible irredentism... at a time when Germany can expel tens of thousands of Jews and Russia can shift millions of people from one part of the continent to another, the shifting of a few hundred thousand Albanians will not lead to the outbreak of a world war.” Such blatant calls to completely extirpate the Kosovar Albanians from their land continued largely uncritiqued throughout the Yugoslav period, as well. Indeed, Ćubrilović himself went on to become one of Tito’s closest advisors and a government minister.

Tito’s Yugoslav government continued policies “severely constraining the teaching of Albanian cultural traditions, literature, folklore, and history” and purposefully blocked much-needed investment in the region. The number of schools per capita in Kosovo before 1968 was less than half that in Serbia proper, while per capita income was only 28% the national.
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average. Coupled with these policies was an official effort to promote ethnic Albanian emigration to Turkey organized by Yugoslav police chief Aleksandar Ranković that resulted in approximately 100,000 Kosovar Albanians departing the country. Finally, “communism was responsible for a program of planned destruction of cultural heritage in Kosovo,” with the historic Priština Bazaar and other Ottoman-era Kosovar Albanian urban neighborhoods expropriated by the State apparatus and bulldozed to make way for government buildings and apartment blocks.

While the Yugoslav government’s urban development projects in Priština intended to modernize the city under the slogan of “destroy the old, build the new,” Kosovar Albanian inhabitants largely saw efforts to bring the urban landscape in line with that of Belgrade as a form of architectural colonization wiping out aspects of their own architecture which made the city unique. Yugoslav officials boasted at the time that in Priština, “old shop fronts and other shaky old structures are quickly disappearing to make room for fine, tall, modern-style buildings.” But these shaky old structures (see Appendix C, figures 8-9) represented, for Kosovar Albanians, “the spiritual center of the town... the historic center of the region.” The weaponization of Yugoslavia’s urban development policies against Albanian heritage was further demonstrated by the hypocritical efforts of Tito’s government to classify dozens of Serbian churches and monasteries throughout Kosovo as cultural monuments while simultaneously promoting the “annihilation of the past” sacred to Kosovar Albanians.
architectural historian Florina Jerliu summarizes, the destruction of the Priština Bazaar and its maze of cobbled artisan shops, historic mosques, and Ottoman administrative buildings transformed the cityscape into “a completely unfamiliar environment. It felt as though it was no longer our city, as though its heart had been torn out.”157

Albanian fears of cultural erasure and extermination dissipated somewhat following the 1968 protests that largely coalesced around the Priština Bazaar’s destruction as a political rallying cry. These protests resulted in improved working conditions, expanded educational opportunities for Kosovar Albanians, and prompted the removal of Čubrilović and Ranković – as well as numerous other architects of anti-Albanian policies – from political power. However, whatever progress was made to diminish tensions between Kosovo’s Serbian and Albanian communities was shattered by the 1986 leak of the unpublished Memorandum on Yugoslavia’s Situation by the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, an event described by historians and Balkan scholars as “a political earthquake.”158 While Yugoslav census returns indicate that Kosovo’s Serbian population hovered around 200,000 since World War II, the Memorandum baselessly insisted that 200,000 Serbs had been “forced” to leave Kosovo over the preceding two decades, inflaming deep-seated Serbian anxieties about Kosovo’s changing demographics.159 The Memorandum further asserted that “it is not just that the last of the remnants of the Serbian nation are leaving their homes at an unabated rate, but according to all evidence, faced with a physical, moral, and psychological reign of terror, they seem to be preparing for their final exodus.”160 Indeed, one passage of the text explicitly presented the contemporary situation in Kosovo as a parallel to the migrations led by Patriarch Arsenije to escape persecution by the
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Ottoman Empire in 1690, and declared that “the physical, political, legal, and cultural genocide of the Serbian population in Kosovo and Metohija is a worse historical defeat than any experienced in the liberation wars waged by Serbia.”\textsuperscript{161}

Such inflammatory statements raised alarm throughout Serbia, a panic exacerbated by the Serbian media which “added to the furor by printing unsubstantiated charges of brutal rapes of Serbs by Albanians in Kosovo.”\textsuperscript{162} According to political scientist Stuart Kaufman, these “charges of murder and ‘genocide’ and endless invocations of the slogan ‘only unity can save the Serbs’ created and fed the fear of ethnic extinction that is the driving force of ethnic violence.”\textsuperscript{163} As a result, sporadic acts of vandalism inflicted upon Serbian architecture – or even Yugoslav state architecture – throughout Kosovo began to take on new context as evidence of an imagined cultural genocide, driving urgent fears, nationalist fervor, and calls for retaliation. Conversations about the historic persecution of Serbs under Albanian fascists again surged to the covers of Serbian-language newspapers despite decades of suppression in the name of peace and progress. Ironically, the demographic shifts reported in the \textit{Memorandum} (which in actuality consisted of a stagnant, not shrinking, Kosovar Serbian population) were most impacted by the same economic and social policies initiated to encourage Kosovar Albanian emigration under Tito. As efforts to isolate the region led to widespread economic instabilities, younger generations of Serbs moved from Kosovo to Serbia in increasing numbers throughout the 1960s and 1970s to pursue better-funded educational programs, improved economic opportunity, and a higher quality of life.

The \textit{Memorandum} fundamentally changed conversations about Kosovo within Serbia and fueled right-wing nationalist fears that Slobodan Milošević deftly rode to political power. Within

\textsuperscript{161} Judah, \textit{The Serbs}, Third Edition, 158; \textit{Меморандум САНУ}.
\textsuperscript{162} Kaufman, \textit{Modern Hatreds}, 179.
\textsuperscript{163} Ibid, 180.
a year of his election, he removed Albanians from all state agencies and public jobs in an effort to purposefully render economic security so unattainable for Kosovar Albanians that they would be forced to emigrate. Coupled with these oppressive measures, Milošević also channeled officially secular state funds towards building Serbian Orthodox churches throughout Kosovo in an effort to assuage fears of extermination, submitting the program as an act of cultural reconstruction beneficial to all Yugoslav citizens.164 These so-called Milošević churches, which represented a clear attempt to change the cultural landscape, inflamed Kosovar Albanian fears; they responded by accelerating their own construction of mosques and Albanian-language schools.165 Moreover, “the Serbian drive to revoke Kosovo’s autonomy in the late 1980s stirred Albanian fears that with it, all their cultural rights would be revoked as well.”166

Just as Serbian fears of extermination exploded into the mainstream following the Memorandum’s publication, Kosovar Albanian fears materialized around a work of nineteenth-century epic poetry granted new importance under the Milošević administration. While Tito had banned The Mountain Wreath from being taught in the Yugoslav school system due to its controversiality, Milošević rehabilitated the text as one of Yugoslavia’s most important works of literary heritage and required its instruction in Kosovo beginning in 1990. The Mountain Wreath centers on a Montenegrin leader’s decision to forcibly convert conquered Muslim villagers to Christianity; the poem ends with the mass murder of Muslim villagers who refuse in an action heralded as a great victory.167 The text also refers to Muslims as “loathsome degenerates and questions: “why do we need the Turk’s faith among us?”168 The Mountain Wreath’s promotion so intensely provoked fears of an impending Kosovar Albanian genocide that the KLA used it as
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a recruiting tool allegedly proving what Serbs would do to them if they refused to submit as second-class citizens. The KLA coupled their messaging with symbolic examples of Milošević church constructions viewed as offensive attacks on their landscape, especially that of the Church of Christ the Savior erected in central Priština in 1992. According to Kosovar Albanian sociologist Shemsi Krasniqi, this church was not constructed “based on any need for spiritual devotion as much as on a need for physical and institutional aggression.” Erected on the University of Priština campus from which Albanian enrollment had been recently excluded, the church stood meters away from the site of an Ottoman-era mosque bulldozed as part of the Priština Bazaar’s destruction. As one KLA recruitment pamphlet stated, “They will bury us beneath their churches if we do not bury them first.”

During the War:

As tensions between the KLA and Milošević government erupted into conflict in early 1998, Serbs and Kosovar Albanian expressed an internalized kill-or-be-killed mentality through a destroy-or-be-destroyed approach to architectural devastation. Both militia groups led by the KLA and by the Yugoslav government claimed territory largely by clearing rival populations: throughout 1998, the KLA burned numerous Serbian villages to the ground in the countryside surrounding Orahovac while Serbian paramilitaries, in turn, launched “a coordinated and systematic campaign to terrorize, kill, and expel Albanians” from numerous other communities. By the war’s official conclusion in June 1999, “over forty percent of [Kosovar] Albanian homes had been destroyed” in the conflict, including more than 500 historic stone
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kulas.\textsuperscript{174} In the village of Landovica (Landovicë), the destruction of a historic Kosovar Albanian mosque was accompanied by the removal of corpses from the adjoining Muslim cemetery; participating Serbian soldiers later stated that they believed Kosovar Albanians were unworthy of even being laid to rest in Kosovar soil.\textsuperscript{175} Yet while Serbian paramilitaries destroyed more than one third of mosques in Kosovo and “three out four well-preserved Ottoman-era urban cored in Kosovo… each with great loss of historic architecture,” leaders continued to frame the conflict as a defensive action in both domestic and international messaging.\textsuperscript{176} The Milošević government directly encouraged such fear through events like the Panda Bar Massacre, an attack which killed six Serbian teenagers in Peć in December 1998. Two decades later, the Serbian government “officially acknowledged that the murder had been perpetrated by agents of the Serbian Secret Service” as justification for escalating military efforts against Kosovar Albanians.\textsuperscript{177} Heavily publicized events such as this continued to promote and justify a need to not only reestablish control over Kosovo but scrape the region clear of all Kosovar Albanians. Immediately after the Panda Bar incident, Serbs bulldozed Ottoman bazaars in Peć and Vučitrn (Vushtrri) to permanently erase evidence of these Kosovar Albanian neighborhoods’ historic composition.\textsuperscript{178}

Destruction of Kosovar Albanian heritage by Serbian troops, police, and paramilitaries took place as Kosovar Albanians were being expelled “to diminish these peoples’ incentive to return to their hometowns and villages, but also after expulsions took place, apparently to remove visible evidence” of these deported communities.\textsuperscript{179} Motivated by fears of Kosovar
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Albanians return to territories from which they had been cleared, many Serbian nationalists attempted all within their power to render repatriation an impossibility. As Kosovar Albanians lined up in massive motorcades to flee the violence, “their money and goods were stolen and women and girls were raped. The police told the refugees that they would have no way of proving that they had ever lived in Kosovo and they would have no homes to return to.” Both Serbs and Kosovar Albanians weaponized rape alongside architectural destruction during the conflict as forms of ethnic cleansing and cultural erasure. According to a report by Kosovar Albanian legal scholar Hirad Abtahi released shortly after the conflict, “in the same way that rape became an instrument to destroy the adversary’s identity… the destruction and pillage of the adversary’s non-renewable cultural resources, became a tool to erase the manifestation of the adversary’s identity.” Efforts to erase Serbian culture through rape and architectural destruction escalated following the June 1999 armistice when KLA members vandalized, robbed, and burned Serbian homes, villages, and sacred sites; threatened Serb refugees “in precisely reciprocal terms to the threats made by Serb forces;” and raped, abducted, or killed Serbian women. While such actions were largely retaliatory, they must also be understood as compelled by fears of extermination. “We felt that if any Serbian family lived near us, we would wake up with a knife to our throat,” recalls former KLA member Fatos Hoxha, “so everything done to strip Serbs of security and encourage them to go back to Serbia... it was the only way to ensure our safety.”

The destroy-or-be-destroyed mentality adopted by Serbian military units and government agencies during the Kosovo conflict also helped rationalize attempts to erase Kosovar Albanian
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movable cultural heritage. The destruction of the Islamic Archives in Priština, attacks on public libraries throughout Kosovo, and demolition of museums like that of the League of Prizren not only inflicted architectural damage on present generations of Kosovar Albanians, but sought “to orphan future generations and destroy their understanding of who they are and from where they come.” These efforts paralleled similar attempts to erase Bosniak culture during the Bosnian War several years earlier, where targets bombed by the Army of Republika Srpska included regional archives, local and national museums, the Academy of Music in Sarajevo, the National Museum of Bosnia and Herzegovina, and the National Library. As summarized by Bosnian historian Igor Ordev, these attacks on cultural heritage reflected a strategy to remove “everything that represented a sign that such a nation had existed.”

Following the June 1999 Military Technical Agreement ending the Kosovo conflict, Serbian authorities also confiscated the entire catalog of archival records held by the Kosovo Institute for the Protection of Monuments, plus 1,248 archaeological and ethnographical exhibit pieces from the Kosovo Museum. These documents and artifacts remain held by the Serbian government in Belgrade today and are a lasting point of contention among Kosovar Albanians. “When [Serbian leaders] realized they could not steal our country, they stole our history,” notes the head of Kosovo’s Institute of Archaeology, Enver Rexha, “how can we build a future when we cannot study or observe our own history?”
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After the War:

Immediately after the Kosovo Conflict’s resolution through the Military Technical Agreement, Serbian Orthodox Bishop Artemije Radosavljević condemned the international intervention on behalf of Kosovar Albanians. “The true goal of the Albanian political leaders does not seem to be [a] democratic and multiethnic Kosovo, but rather an ethnically cleansed region in which everything belonging to the Serbian people is despised and exposed to destruction and humiliation,” he asserted in an open letter delivered to international news outlets.189 Considering the systematic destruction of Serbian architecture – especially sacred architecture of the Serbian Orthodox Church, these comments seem understandable, if one-sided. As Bishop Artemije summarized, the destruction of Serbian monuments and architectural sites indeed functioned as “a clear message to Serbs that there is no place for them and their culture in Kosovo,” and a symptom of the “culturocide [sic] of Serbian people.”190 After the war, reflected Serbian historian Čedomir Antić, “Kosovo lost its ethnic diversity and essentially became a mono-ethnic region with Albanians making up over 90% of its population.”191 But if the Serbian fear expressed in the 1986 Memorandum were indeed realized in the aftermath of the Kosovo War, were these fears legitimate all along?

While Serbian nationalists continue to make this exact argument, the escalatory events and rhetoric immediately preceding the Kosovo War as well as the Serbian-led destruction of Kosovar Albanian culture and mass ethnic cleansing of Kosovar Albanian villages during the war are generally omitted from such arguments. The destruction of Serbian architectural heritage and villages at the terminus of the war seems instead primarily driven by Kosovar Albanian fears
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which were directly sparked by Serbian propaganda efforts and the violence they incited. Moreover, numerous demographic studies of Serbian refugees fleeing Kosovo in the aftermath of the war have found that the vast majority were not expelled by Kosovar Albanians but rather fled because of their fears of extermination internalized over the previous two decades through the direct efforts of their political and religious leaders.192

Nevertheless, the aftermath of the Kosovo War did not lead to the desired de-escalation of nationalist fears of extermination between Kosovar Albanians and Serbs: Serbs continued to fear complete extermination from the territory in which they were becoming an increasingly scant minority through emigration to Serbia proper while Kosovar Albanians continued to fear Serbia’s claim to return and assert control over the territory with its ambiguous international status. Even in the absence of rational or immediate danger, these fears remain deeply internalized through generations of reinforcement, and thus are capable of exploding to the surface at the slightest provocation.

On 16 March 2004, three Kosovar Albanian children drowned in the Ibar (Ibër) River near the Serbian community of Zubin Potok (Zubin Potoku), with a fourth surviving youth claiming that he and his friends had been chased into the river by Serbs.193 This claim was later officially refuted by the United Nations, but was taken as proof by Kosovar Albanian leaders – many of whom were former KLA members – that Serbs and Albanians could never inhabit the same land as one another.194 A tidal wave of violent riots surged across Kosovo, directly targeting numerous churches and cultural monuments of importance to Serbs.195 In Prizren alone,
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seven medieval churches were attacked while mobs burned hundreds of Serbian inhabitants out of the city’s historic Orthodox Christian quarter. At the UNESCO World Heritage-listed Church of Our Lady of Ljeviš, rioters chanted “ne nuk jemi të sigurt nën kryq! [we are not safe under the cross!]” while throwing Molotov cocktails into the fourteenth century building and hacking a bronze cross from the belltower (see Appendix C, figure 11).196 Other architectural victims just outside Prizren included the fourteenth century Monastery of the Holy Archangels and Church of the Holy Trinity, both of which were burned and dynamited despite the presence of United Nations peacekeeping forces in the immediate vicinity (see Appendix C, figures 12-13).197 Amid the chaos, the Kosovar press “depicted the burning of these buildings as a carnival, with crowds gathering in adjacent streets to witness the fires, wave banners and flags, and celebrate.”198

Over 51,000 Kosovar Albanian citizens are estimated to have participated in the 2004 unrest, but the mass nature of this violence has prevented any real repercussions for the architectural destruction and ethnic cleansing incurred.199 Moreover, the United Nations Mission in Kosovo (UNMIK) declined to label the 2004 riots as an act of ethnic cleansing despite their obliteration of Kosovo’s remaining Serbian urban communities in Prizren and Đakovica (Gjakovë); instead, UNMIK sought to blame economic factors that were more easily confronted than pervasive nationalist fears as the inciting factor of the violence.200 But the motivation behind architectural destruction matters far less than its interpretation by those groups for whom the architecture in question holds symbolic value: “regardless of motivation, when local authorities fail to respond adequately [to architectural destruction] the perception of threat by the
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Serbs increases.”201 And so Serbian fears of extermination in Kosovo remain a central talking point of Serbian politics and propaganda today, even among diaspora populations. A 2017 documentary by Serbian-Canadian director Boris Malagurski cited vandalism at Gračanica Monastery as “evidence of an Albanian attempt to wipe out the existence and the values of the Serbian people in Kosovo,” despite the fact that such vandalism has been dated to the Ottoman occupation when no such Albanian nationalist efforts were under way.202

Meanwhile, in Kosovo itself, Kosovar Albanians continue to perceive a need to assert control over their fears of extermination despite controlling a massive supermajority within Kosovar politics and facing no legitimate risk of Kosovo’s status being revoked. Throughout Kosovo, Serbian place names on officially-bilingual road signs are repeatedly crossed out or graffitied over, demonstrating that Kosovar Albanians still feel vulnerable enough to need to assert and re-assert their claim to the territory and its place names.203 While many international non-governmental organizations have praised relatively fast efforts from Kosovar Albanians to rebuild from the war as likely to diminish national trauma and indicative of economic recovery, these “frantic efforts” to build up the landscape may be better understood as reflective of a desire to entrench Kosovar Albanian belonging against persistent fears of extermination “despite continued economic hardship.”204
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Chapter 3: Historical Trauma

Before the War:

On an anthropological trip through the Ottoman Balkans in 1909, British anthropologist Edith Durham described life in Ottoman Kosovo as “an elemental struggle for existence and survival of the strongest, carried out in relentless obedience to Nature’s law, which says, ‘there is not room for you both – you must kill or be killed.’” Kosovo’s long history is punctuated by numerous retaliatory historic cycles wherein architectural destruction has been repeatedly exacted by one resident nation against another, urging cathartic and retaliatory violence against the perpetrators. Viewing the most recent Kosovo War through this lens, it can appear as though the destruction incurred between 1998 and 2004 is rooted not in contemporary leadership, media propaganda, or nationalist rhetoric but in the burden of historical trauma endured across centuries by both Serbs and Kosovar Albanians. Indeed, historian Tim Judah reflects, long “before Kosovo declared independence, it was often said that the Balkan conflicts had begun there and would end there.” Nevertheless, architectural destruction through the actions of man is never inevitable, and the damage inflicted upon the region of Kosovo is not an organic response to historical trauma but rather one conditioned by leaders deliberately invoking historic cycles of destruction as the bases for their sociopolitical agendas. While understanding Kosovo’s long history of architectural violence remains crucial to dismantling it, architectural violence remains the result of carefully wielded narratives that latched onto internalized traumas as nationalist symbols.

Architecture holds the power to physically “implicate the individual members of the culture into its dominant value systems, assure the culture at large of its practical adequacy in the

world by affirming and confirming its ideologies, convince the audience that their status and identity as individuals is guaranteed by the culture as a whole, and transmit by these means a sense of cultural membership.”

Because architecture exists as both a product and emblem of national identity, its destruction is internalized as traumatic attacks on each individual member of that nation: a direct violation of their cultural belonging. Internalized trauma from architectural destruction, like any collective trauma, may then be transmitted across generations through narratives of loss, as demonstrated by psychological studies of the Rwandan Genocide. According to mental health expert Dan Reidenberg, “collective trauma changes history and memory... it changes the way we process and see not only the trauma that was experienced, but what we do with our memory of it as we move forward.”

Trauma-induced social divisions thus form the bases of historical myths asserting a central role in national identity. Throughout the Balkan Peninsula, narratives of cultural loss, victimhood, traumatic defeat, or national subjugation not only define membership in a particular nation but also the parameters delineating enemies and outsiders; they manifest as myths that may be activated consciously or unconsciously to ignite fear, conflict, or retaliation in the future. As summarized by a document intended to train American peacekeeping troops in Kosovo, “traumatized societies sometimes engage in historical images and fantasies that may bear little relation to reality... by helping to mark group identities, and through leader-follower interaction, chosen traumas can be reactivated over time to provide the fuel for war.”

---
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As demonstrated previously, Serbian and Kosovar Albanian national histories are filled with collectively traumatic events that remain at the forefront of identity. Not only do Serbian nationalist narratives draw from the Battle of Kosovo, but also from the Ottoman *Devşirme Levy* or blood tax which forcibly removed children from Balkan Christian families for service in the Ottoman army or government; from the fact that, trapped between the Central Powers of Europe, Serbia suffered the highest civilian casualty rate and level of destruction during the First World War; from the internment and genocide of Serbian civilians living under the control of the Croatian Ustaša or in Nazi-occupied Serbia; from the destruction of Serbian villages in Kosovo at the hands of Albanian fascists during World War II.²¹² Kosovar Albanians, meanwhile, remember their villages burning following the Ottoman Empire’s collapse during the First World War; the traumatic periods of mass emigration imposed by the Kingdom of Yugoslavia and Socialist Yugoslavia; the removal of their rights to Albanian-language education; the mass imprisonments of the late 1940s when they as a nation were viewed under suspicion of terrorism; the destruction of the Priština Bazaar and other urban cultural forums. These long histories of victimhood are easily invoked to justify further violence as retaliatory justice and have been for generations. “Pogroms against Serbs began immediately” after the Albanian government took control of Kosovo in 1941, for example, “in ‘retaliation’ for poor Serbian treatment of Albanians” under the Kingdom of Yugoslavia (see Appendix C, Figure 14).²¹³

Officially, the Socialist Yugoslav government approached collective trauma through a policy banning the invocation of nationalist narratives rather than grappling with historical traumas; rather than seeking to address the underlying reasons for why so many Kosovar
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Albanians wished to expel Kosovar Serbs through fascism, Tito’s administration criminalized open debate or consideration of such events. Atonement for the past and national reconciliation were to be attained through progress rather than mourning, so when Kosovar Albanian rights and representation structures were expanded, as one example, they received no government apology or public acknowledgement for the oppressive previous status quo. This approach to collective trauma buried history deemed inconvenient towards national unity while lauding history deemed advantageous to the State and its powerful vision for a utopian future.

But while keeping collective trauma out of politics, classrooms, and propaganda allowed for some semblance of interethnic stability, this policy failed to confront or eliminate narratives of victimhood nurtured, in some cases, for centuries. Thus, when Milošević directly violated Tito’s principle of relegating the past to the past atop his elevated podium at Kosovo Polje, he knowingly opened the floodgates for historical trauma left to fester for decades. The Serbian media began “constantly identif[y]ing Muslim Albanians, for example, with the old Turkish threat as the vanguard of a new Islamic menace to Serbs,” invoking centuries of internalized Ottoman trauma. Meanwhile, the revocation of Albanian language education in 1989 forced Albanians to relive eras of even more intense national oppression during the decades surrounding World War II. Throughout the 1990s, Albanian protest groups rallied around the Albanian national emblem of a double-headed eagle used by the Albanian fascist regime and banned by Tito’s government, provoking visceral distress among Serbs still processing loss of land, loved ones, or possessions during World War II. While the actual incidence of rape in Kosovo during the 1990s was no higher than in previous decades, accusations of Albanians raping Serbs and Serbs raping Albanians flooded news outlets in both communities “to link perceptions of
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national victimization [with] a crisis of masculinity.” More than one thousand unsubstantiated allegations of Kosovar Albanian men raping Serbian women were published among Belgrade tabloids in 1997 alone, centering rape and physical trauma as stand-ins for collective trauma. During this period, Frances Trix also cites that “Muslim clerics were frequently called in for interrogation, and Orthodox crosses were often etched on the doors of their mosques.” While such actions comprised an organized attempt to “provoke [Kosovar Albanians] to support their contention that they were ‘radical Muslims who threatened the heart of Europe’ and thus should be removed,” the defacement of mosques with Orthodox crosses also served as retaliation for the historical trauma of Serbian Orthodox Churches converted to mosques under Ottoman rule.

In at least one instance, this national exhumation of historical trauma was literal: in 1989, Milošević dramatically disinterred the body of Prince Lazar, the Battle of Kosovo’s slain martyr, and led it on a propagandist tour of Serbian-inhabited Yugoslavia, ceremonially reburying the body in one village after another. Written off by contemporary foreign media as a nationalist stunt, this physical disinterment of historic trauma served to revive the mourning process as if the Battle of Kosovo had occurred the previous day, mobilizing an urge for renewed conflict. According to nationalism expert Michael Geisler, the function of such an effort was “to facilitate the wake of mourning by displacing the individual pain as well as the widespread anxiety caused by the sudden and unexpected tear in the fabric of collective identity into the imaginary realm of the national narrative.”
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and the old banned nationalist songs bubbled up to the surface.”\textsuperscript{222} So, too, did Kosovar Albanian anti-government protests increasingly frame their current political disenfranchisement as part of a long cycle of oppression, victimhood, and trauma extending far beyond Socialist Yugoslavia’s inception. This “distorted picture of ethnic relations” endorsed by both sides fueled “an atmosphere of resentment, hatred, and fear,” urging violent retaliation against entire nations viewed as active perpetrators of trauma endured by parents and grandparents.\textsuperscript{223}

\textbf{During the War:}

The propagandist articles that flooded Kosovar Albanian and Serbian communities with unsubstantiated claims of their nations’ rape and abuse at the hands of the other triggered a post-traumatic emotional response on both sides that sought violent retaliation against the opposing nation itself rather than merely its leaders or political institutions. Vandalism of sacred architecture among both communities escalated to a fever pitch in the years immediately preceding the conflict, with architectural destruction serving as a retaliatory stand-in for the sensationalized accounts of rape and other hate crimes published daily.\textsuperscript{224} As the conflict erupted into war, cultural emblems continued to be targeted as both a means of inflicting trauma and alleviating one’s own. At Slobodon Milošević’s trial for war crimes at The Hague, one Serbian soldier would testify, crudely, that while firing upon Kosovar Albanian minarets during the conflict his comrades would “joke that [they] were castrating the dicks of Šiptar rapists.”\textsuperscript{225} Conversely, hours after Milošević’s government signed the Military Technical Agreement to end
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the Kosovo War in June 1999, statues of Serbian linguist Vuk Karadžić and writer Petar Petrović Njegoš were torn down by Kosovar Albanian celebrants in Priština and “trailed behind tractors through the streets... while the enraged Albanian crowed was yelling ‘rapists!’ and spitting on them.”

In the wake of the Kosovo War, Serbian nationalist propaganda and Milošević’s own defense lawyers claimed that the destruction of Kosovar Albanian architecture should not be equated to the destruction of medieval Serbian monasteries because it lacked the same degree of cultural importance. But even acknowledging the impossibility of equating losses of Serbian cultural heritage with those incurred by Kosovar Albanians, even vernacular buildings can become significant through their obliteration. According to architect Eyal Weizman and historian Andrew Herscher, “violence transforms the meaning and identity of architecture in the very process of destroying it... in many cases it was destruction itself that rendered buildings as heritage,” by situating them within a far greater narrative of traumatic loss. The annihilation of vernacular architecture such as homes or workplaces also holds the potential to inflict an even more personal, intimate wound than that of cultural monuments or great public edifices.

Throughout 1998 and early 1999, hundreds of thousands of Kosovar Albanians watched their homes and villages deliberately burned in an effort to prevent their return while being forced en masse from the region (see Appendix C, Figure 15). While such actions often garner less international attention than the targeting of clear cultural heritage such as the Đakovica Bazaar or Bajrakli Mosque in Peć, the trauma endured through this architectural violence directly encouraged Albanians to, in turn, burn and loot Serbian villages upon their return to Kosovo.

227 Ibid.
“Where Serbs were unable to leave with their goods and chattels, they were looted, and in many cases, houses were torched,” notes Judah, “much of the furniture and other goods was taken by returning Kosovar Albanian refugees who had all of their possessions stolen and their houses torched while Serbian forces were still in control.” Images of Serbian families fleeing their own burning villages in the summer of 1999 serve as yet another point of trauma in Kosovo’s continuing cycles of retribution and reprisal (see Appendix C, Figure 16).

After the War:

The aftermath of the Kosovo War indicates that retaliatory violence against architecture fails to provide a sense of justice precisely because architectural heritage carries subjective, unquantifiable value linked to emotional collective traumas. Thus, when the KLA razed approximately 80 Serbian Orthodox Churches following the official end of the conflict in late 1999, participants in the violence countered that such destruction fell infinitely short of their immense losses during the fighting. Serbian academics, historians, and clergymen conversely decried such losses as representing “infinitely more unique worth to human history” than Kosovar Albanian losses during the conflict. Architectural destruction risks becoming cyclical through this process wherein trauma incites architectural destruction which in turn perpetuates the trauma of the initial aggressor, allowing both nations to seize upon the narratives of supreme victimhood discussed earlier. The United Nations Mission in Kosovo’s failure to recognize this trauma and work towards providing closure for the conflict allowed this urge for catharsis to explode into action yet again in 2004 without organized response, signifying an imperative need for resolution remaining today.

While incited by a perceived need for catharsis, cultural destruction seems destined to be internalized as disproportionate, escalatory, and traumatizing rather than healing. The 2004 riots show that the anguish of architectural loss remains felt by both Kosovar Albanians and Serbs; even the generation born after the war continues to internalize the myths of supremacy and fears of extermination that have come to rely on historical trauma. After Kosovo’s declaration of independence in 2007, Serbs living in northern enclaves of Kosovo lashed out at architecture again, immediately burning down customs posts along the Kosovo-Serbia border and demonstrating that, barring major efforts to reshape current nationalist narratives, historical trauma will continue to situate architecture in its crosshairs.231 Architectural theorist Teresa Stoppani argues that:

“architecture is not only a container, a supporter, but a product of life... a cultural and symbolic production, always invested with political and ideological meanings that reflect those of the society it hosts and represents. Architecture changes with life and culture; in this sense, it lives through its inhabitants.”232

If architecture, especially architecture imbued with cultural importance through nationalist narratives, lives through the communities that inhabit or utilize its spaces, then its destruction must also be understood as a death. Urban planner Jwanah Qudsi founded the Aleppo Heritage Fund after witnessing the tremendous architectural heritage in her home city during the ongoing Syrian Civil War.233 Reflecting on the relationship between architecture and trauma, she agrees with Stoppani’s assessment and adds that “losing architectural heritage is different from losing a friend or a relative or a neighbor in conflict because you are effectively losing a piece of yourself.”234 And yet, despite the importance of architecture, nations all too often fail to mourn
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architectural destruction to the extent such trauma necessitates. “We have funerals for people, but not buildings,” Qudsi reflects, “which means there’s no catharsis or resolution to the trauma.” Unaddressed, this perceived need for catharsis can fester across generations, waiting for nationalist narratives that can again seize upon its eruptive anger.
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Conclusion

During the ten years immediately preceding the Kosovo War and throughout the conflict itself, Kosovar Albanian and Serbian nationalist narratives promoted through political speeches and propagandist media linked architectural heritage to myths of supremacy, fears of destruction, and cycles of historical trauma. Through these efforts, architecture symbolically challenged narratives of belonging, stood in the way of lasting security, and triggered a perceived need for retaliatory catharsis. Despite surviving centuries of upheaval, medieval monasteries and Ottoman mosques, Kosovar Albanian bazaars and Serbian villages became weaponized by the chauvinistic pursuit of a purified territory. The ethnosymbolist approach to nationalism asserts that nationalist violence stems from both a long history of opposing realities and the deliberate manipulation of symbols representing these contradictory collective memories. Specifically, Anthony Smith’s framework cites hostile myths, ethnic fears, and opportunity as necessary preconditions for chauvinistic mobilization. In Kosovo, the myths, fears, and traumas invoked by Milošević and the KLA dated far beyond their rise to power; they were merely seized upon by leaders and the media as emblems necessitating aggression recontextualized as retaliatory justice to ensure the nation’s continued supremacy and survival. Within this framework, architecture serves as both a symbol weaponized through these narratives and a primary target of violence. This is because it’s “impossible to separate our architecture from our identity... we internalize our external environments,” and, in turn, project our internal narratives, anxieties, aspirations and traumas onto our surroundings.236

This thesis focused on architectural destruction as a lens for discussion of chauvinist nationalism and the violence it incites. In Kosovo, motivations prompting armed forces and
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civilians to inflict damage upon the architectural landscape often overlapped or directly drew from rhetoric motivating other violence, from rape to ethnic cleansing. Further research is necessary to determine the extent to which this thesis’ theoretical framework of myths, fears, and traumas may also help explain other forms of nationalist violence, but the evidence presented here clearly demonstrates the cruciality of incorporating both historical and contemporary contexts, narratives, events, and symbols into such lines of inquiry. Modernist scholarship seeking to explain nationalist conflict often highlights the short-term causes at the expense of long-term historical background which, as this paper demonstrates, is so often invoked, recycled, and manipulated by nationalists to legitimize their rhetoric. However, the speeches, newspaper articles, and direct actions discussed in this thesis and linked to specific cases of architectural destruction show that key nationalist leaders or organizations retain direct responsibility for promoting violence even when doing so through the use of preexisting narratives.

While post-conflict peacebuilding efforts in Kosovo have helped facilitate the prosecution of a few key individuals responsible for inciting such violence, Smith’s underlying preconditions for nationalist conflict remain omnipresent throughout both Kosovar Albanian and Serbian sociopolitical life. And so, after explaining which relationships with chauvinist nationalist rhetoric enabled architecture to be so successfully manipulated as a sociopolitical symbol and narrative tool in Kosovo, the next questions remain: how can we move past this inflammatory brand of nationalism? How can we break the cycles of retribution demonstrated throughout Kosovo’s history, during the Kosovo War itself, and in the 2004 uprisings?

Efforts to rebuild physically or emotionally from the Kosovo War remain largely hindered by the conflict’s perceived status as paused rather than resolved. The current geopolitical ambivalence over Kosovo’s statehood bolsters fears of extermination and continues
to imply the inferiority of Kosovo’s independence in much the same way as its former status under Socialist Yugoslavia. So long as Kosovar independence remains unrecognized by Serbia and a majority of the world’s countries, the looming threat of war continues to prevent the effective deconstruction of those same nationalist narratives that ignited the Kosovo War more than twenty years ago. If the international community can facilitate negotiations between Serbs and Kosovar Albanians to secure a more mutually beneficial and politically normalized future, it will through doing so lay the groundwork for deconstructing existential fears internalized for the past four decades. International recognition could also expand opportunities for long-term investment in Kosovo, in turn discouraging the nationalist narratives of scapegoating so often invoked by societies facing economic duress.

By helping to alleviate the continued threat of nationalist narratives, normalizing relations between Kosovo and Serbia should be seen as a crucial precondition for meaningful efforts to preserve Kosovo’s remaining architectural heritage. However, I submit that architectural preservation work itself may also help normalize relations between Serbs and Kosovar Albanians within the newly independent state. According to post-conflict expert Jose-Maria Arraiza, “due to its deep symbolic connection with identity, cultural heritage management can clearly contribute to either promote or hamper post bellum reconciliation and cohesion in ethnically divided societies,” providing tremendous potential for Kosovo’s political, social, and economic regeneration.²³⁷ Historic and cultural preservation has been proven to promote economic growth and boost social morale, but even more importantly “the establishment of fair mechanisms for managing cultural heritage in highly divided societies after conflicts can be crucial for the sustainability of peace, given its relevance and inter-linkages with retribution, reconciliation,

rebuilding.”238 And Kosovo remains heavily divided. While some have recently sought to describe Kosovo as a monoethnic state, as many as 130,000 Serbs remain in Kosovo; this population must be included in any successful efforts to rebuild.239 Unfortunately, the reconstruction of cultural and religious heritage throughout Kosovo has grown increasingly controversial since the country’s unilateral declaration of independence in 2008.

Before 2008, the responsibility for restoring cultural sites throughout Kosovo largely fell onto the international community; without an official government, Kosovo had few resources or infrastructure to facilitate the necessary reconstruction. Donations from foreign states and non-governmental organizations during this period often carried religiously-charged motivations: while Turkey primarily funded the restoration of Ottoman Muslim heritage, the United States almost exclusively funded the restoration of Serbian Orthodox Christian sites.240 Since declaring independence, Kosovo’s young government has begun to assert more of a role in financing or organizing its own restoration efforts; as one would predict, the almost entirely Albanian Muslim government has predominately sought to advance and accelerate the reconstruction of mosques and other Kosovar Albanian cultural heritage. Today, destroyed Serbian Orthodox churches remain primarily restored by international funds and non-profit organizations while Kosovar Albanian architectural sites receive most funding for reconstruction in direct cooperation with Kosovar authorities.241 Indeed, the restoration of Serbian cultural heritage within Kosovo remains extremely unpopular among Kosovar Albanian politicians and citizens, with prominent
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Kosovar Albanian leaders even endorsing a conspiracy that Serbian troops and citizens largely destroyed their own cultural heritage in an effort to garner international sympathy. Notably, over fifty percent of Kosovo’s police force and parliament are comprised of former KLA members who continue to dominate power structures in the independent state and chart a course towards their vision of reconstruction.

As a result of these political factors, Serbs have largely avoided working with Kosovar Albanian compatriots to ensure the restoration of their own cultural heritage. When the Kosovar government signed an agreement with the Serbian Orthodox Church in Belgrade to oversee the restoration of several key Serbian heritage sites in 2008, then-bishop Artemije Radosavljević blocked the arrangement and unequivocally refused to allow Kosovar Albanians to assist with any Serbian Orthodox church restoration. In 2011, NATO’s peacekeeping force handed over control of key protected sites of importance to Serbs to Kosovo’s police force, prompting Serbian protests that their sacred sites now lay “in the hands of Albanian extremists.” Mere weeks after this transition, Kosovar Albanian police forces failed to protect Prizren’s fourteenth-century Church of Our Lady of Ljeviš from vandalism of the roof that left its precious frescoes exposed to rain. In 2015, when Kosovo sought official membership in NATO that would afford them direct funding to restore the world heritage churches burned and looted during the 2004 uprisings, “Serbs gathered inside the Gračanica Monastery and placed photographs of destroyed Serbian orthodox churches on the ground to spell out the slogan ‘No Kosovo in UNESCO.’” Serbs have no trust for Kosovar Albanians governing their holy sites, at least so long as the Kosovar government and police force remain largely filled with former KLA soldiers.
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This failure of collaborative reconstruction efforts to, as of yet, deescalate nationalist tensions in Kosovo echoes a larger failure by the international community to confront nationalist narratives in the Balkans. According to foreign policy expert Ted Galen Carpenter, NATO’s “Balkan policy was marked by inconsistency and double-standards bordering on incoherence,” demonstrating a profound disinterest in proactively leading reconstruction. Both corruption and nationalist chauvinism thrived in the spaces between NATO oversight and that of the innumerable other non-governmental organizations, peacekeeping troops, European Union grants, and United Nations missions operating within their own disconnected spheres. NATO’s peacekeeping missions in the Balkans fundamentally underestimated nationalism’s potency as a sociopolitical force, with the result that, by Carpenter’s assessment, “bitter ethnic divisions persist, making effective political cooperation nearly impossible.”

Meanwhile, UNESCO’s failure to successfully safeguard Kosovo’s World Heritage listed medieval churches from destruction during the 2004 riots demonstrates that a different approach is also needed for protecting architectural heritage: one that acknowledges the role of architecture within nationalism and seeks to protect it from these narratives as much as to provide for its physical safety. In its current form, UNESCO bears no structure for effectively ensuring or enforcing the protection of listed sites, begging the question that if the highest international arbiter for cultural importance cannot ensure the protection of sites deemed to be of “outstanding universal value,” what can? Advocates for cultural heritage protections such as Andrew Herscher and Andras Riedlmayer initially hoped that the ICTY could play a stronger role in protecting Kosovo’s architectural heritage from further destruction, but “the statute of the
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ICTY does not use the term ‘cultural property’…the absence of explicit reference to cultural property correlates to the lack of a uniform definition of this concept in international instruments.”

Because cultural heritage lies outside the purview of the court best equipped to vouch for it, Riedlmayer’s testimony at the Hague Tribunal in June 2010 unfortunately devolved into inconclusive questions on “who can speak on behalf of architecture?”. Of course, both Kosovar Albanians and Serbs have been continuously embittered by what both sides perceive as unfairness regarding the ICTY. For Kosovar Albanians, the Hague Tribunal is a sham that has failed to justly punish those responsible for their immense suffering during the war. For Serbs, on the other hand, the KLA has evaded justice and been effectively granted complete control over a unilaterally independent Kosovo despite its own brutality. With no clear, trusted leadership ascribing guilt to architectural destruction or advocating on its behalf, “the war remains deeply contested and the competing narratives are politically instrumentalized.”

If diplomacy and international law cannot prevent architectural destruction, should it be understood as an inevitable facet of nationalist conflict going forward? Certainly the destruction incurred in Kosovo and the corresponding international outcry failed to safeguard architectural heritage in the Syrian Civil War or Afghanistan War. Barring a dramatic change in current strategy, Herscher and Riedlmayer warn that the Kosovo War may be indicative of a “new form of conflict” wherein “destruction of historic monuments now constitutes an essential component.” But, again, I submit that architectural violence through the actions of individuals must never be interpreted as an inevitability; such destruction is the direct result of nationalist narratives and while there may be little hope in treating the symptoms of such narratives, perhaps
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through concentrated effort we may address the cause. Architectural destruction – in Kosovo and elsewhere – can be deterred if academics, politicians, and the media are willing to play a more active role in confronting and deconstructing the chauvinist nationalism that would see it destroyed. The horrors of the breakup of Yugoslavia that so often occurred under the watch of peacekeeping troops, from Bosnia’s Srebenica Massacre to Kosovo’s 2004 uprising, prove that nationalism remains far stronger than existing international political institutions. As nationalism remains unlikely to disappear, we must therefore reemphasize efforts to break the cycles of retribution demanded through certain inflammatory nationalist rhetoric.

Recognizing that these final policy implications lie outside the scope of my research, I concur with Stuart Kaufman’s assessment that “preventing [nationalist] war means preventing extremist politics by limiting opportunity in the short run and changing the hostile myths and attitudes in the long run.”254 In the short term, the Kosovar government could develop a constitutionally mandated education and reconstruction program requiring Serbs and Kosovar Albanians to work together to protect their history. Education systems in both Serbia and Kosovo could enlist third parties to review and ban or design culturally sensitive curricula around inflammatory texts such as The Mountain Wreath that remain taught without acknowledgement of dangerously nationalist undertones.255 Serbian enclaves within Kosovo could be better integrated into Kosovar Albanian institutions and infrastructure to prevent radical separatism. Public schools in Kosovo could be secularized and integrated to prevent religious zealotry. Non-governmental organizations operating in Kosovo could be required to include components of interethnic reconciliation in future projects.256 Integrated cultural venues promote new non-
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chauvinistic mythologies celebrating diversity and shared history. Any treaty signed by Serbia to recognize Kosovo could stipulate the necessity for several such integration programs that could, over time, fundamentally reassert the Kosovar State as a multi-national one. Since Kosovo remains the poorest country in Europe, restoration and reconstruction work will continue to depend on international support. However, this funding could be gradually entrusted to the Kosovar government rather than to the current tapestry of non-governmental organizations and foreign governments with competing national allegiances and religiously motivated agendas.

In the long term, Kosovar history should be reframed and reconsidered as one history rather than two competing narratives. Integrated education and social programs as previously mentioned remain key to such an approach, but integrated economics and politics are also crucial preconditions for a multi-national state based on mutual trust and mutual stewardship of cultural heritage. Currently, Kosovar Serbs have no option but to participate in marginalized ethnic parties within the Albanian-dominated Kosovar government. A ban on ethnic parties seems beside the point now, when both ethnic groups cling to massively separate interests largely motivated by the same myths, fears, and traumas of twenty years ago. But Kosovo must, eventually, build a framework within its government system to ensure that political parties can or must move beyond ethnic identifications. It may seem absurd to reconsider Kosovo as a multi-national state when such a supermajority of the country is Kosovar Albanian, but the future of Europe’s youngest country depends largely upon its ability to attain such a rebranding. Kosovo will never cease to be of vital importance to Serbs and the Kosovar Albanian majority is unlikely to shift; Kosovo’s wealth of historical and religious heritage can thus only be protected from nationalist chauvinism through its rejection.
“Cultural heritage is meant to bring people together... to help people bridge their differences and find meaning in sharing something beautiful and valuable,” reflects Serbian Archimandrite Friar Sava Janjić, the abbot of Kosovo’s Visoki Dečani Monastery.²⁵⁷ The continued national polarization within Kosovo indicates that rebuilding alone will not allow architectural heritage to achieve such a role. Kosovar Albanians and Serbs who internalized images of their sacred sites burning during the conflict and lost irreplaceable heritage central to their identity need cathartic release from their trauma so that those same symbols cannot be repurposed and manipulated in the future. While physical reconstruction remains crucially important, permanently breaking the cycle of destruction and safeguarding Kosovo’s heritage for future generations depends upon efforts to publicly acknowledge, address, and – above all – mourn these devastating losses in a way that provides both explanation and closure.

²⁵⁷ Quoted in Malagurski, Kosovo: A Moment in Civilization.
Appendices

Appendix A: Toponyms and Terms

Figure 1: Reference Table of Toponyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serbian spelling (Latin script)</th>
<th>Albanian spelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Kosova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priština</td>
<td>Prishtinë</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Šar Mountains</td>
<td>Sharr Mountains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prizren</td>
<td>Prizren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo Polje</td>
<td>Fusha e Kosovës</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peć</td>
<td>Pejë</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preševo Valley</td>
<td>Preshevë Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prekaz</td>
<td>Prekaz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orahovac</td>
<td>Rahovec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landovica</td>
<td>Landovicë</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakovica</td>
<td>Gjakovë</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vučitn</td>
<td>Vushtrri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibar River</td>
<td>Ibër River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zubin Potok</td>
<td>Zubin Potoku</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sečište</td>
<td>Seqishta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beli Drim Valley</td>
<td>Drini i Bardhë Valley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Reference Table of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>anglicized spelling</th>
<th>Serbian spelling (Latin script)</th>
<th>Albanian spelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>millet</td>
<td>milet</td>
<td>milet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vilayet</td>
<td>vilajet</td>
<td>vilajeti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>banovinas</td>
<td>banovine</td>
<td>banovinasa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kulla, kulas</td>
<td>kula, kule</td>
<td>kullë, kullas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tekke, tekkes</td>
<td>tekija, tekije</td>
<td>teqe, teqetë</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>devshirme levy</td>
<td>danak u krvì</td>
<td>devshirmeja</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Maps

Figure 1: Ethnic Structure of Kosovo According to the 1991 Census

Figure 2: Linguistic Structure of Kosovo According to the 2011 Census

Figure 3: Administrative Divisions or Banovinas of the Kingdom of Yugoslavia, 1939

Prometni zemljevid z označno banovin Kraljevine Jugoslavije [Traffic Map with the Banovinas of the Kingdom of Yugoslavia], commissioned by Laboratorij Sušak [Sušak Laboratory] (Zagreb: 1939).
Figure 4: Demographics of the Kingdom of Yugoslavia, 1940

Manfred Straka, *Jugoslawien: Volkliche Gliederung Mehrheitsgebiete unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des Deutschums* [Yugoslavia: National Majority Divisions with Special Consideration of Germanness], commissioned by Generalstab des Heeres [General Staff of the Army], Abteilung für Kriegskarten und Vermessungswesen [War Maps and Surveying Department] (Graz: Südostdeutsches Institut [Southeast German Institute], 1940).

Albanian populations indicated in blue, in the lower right-hand quadrant
Figure 5: Cultural and Religious Heritage Damaged or Destroyed, March 1998 – October 1999

Note that the “Civil” label includes Albanian-language libraries, government buildings of greater symbolic importance to Serbs, and other structures of importance to both cultures.

Appendix C: Selected Photographs

Figure 1: Patriarchal Monastery of Peć, built 1321-1337
partially burned by Kosovar Albanian protesters, March 1981

photograph by author, 21 July 2014
Figure 2: Bajrakli Mosque, Peć, built 1471
burned by Serbian military, March 1999

photograph by Ludo Kuipers, 15 July 1967
Figure 3: Bajrakli Mosque, Peć, built 1471
burned by Serbian military, March 1999

photograph by András Riedlmayer, June 1999
Figure 4: League of Prizren Museum Building, built 1777
destroyed by Serbian police grenades, March 1999 (reconstructed June 2000)

photograph by author, 22 July 2014
Figure 5: Islamic Archives of Priština, built 1890
burned by Serbian police, June 1999

photograph by author, 20 June 2016
Figure 6: Zočište Monastery, built early 1300s
heavily damaged by KLA, July 1998
dynamited by KLA, September 1999

photograph by Stefana Radić, September 2007
Figure 7: Zočište Monastery, built early 1300s
heavily damaged by KLA, July 1998
dynamited by KLA, September 1999

photograph courtesy of Zočište Monastery, November 1999
Figure 8: Priština Bazaar, built 1500s-1700s
destroyed under Yugoslav government urban development initiative, 1947-1968
Figure 9: Priština Bazaar, built 1500s-1700s
destroyed under Yugoslav government urban development initiative, 1947-1968

photograph courtesy of The City of Prishtinë, circa 1965
Figure 10: Church of Christ the Savior, built 1992
construction halted in 1998

photograph by Arild Vågen, February 2013
Figure 11: Church of Our Lady of Ljeviš, built 1306-1307
burned and heavily vandalized by Kosovar Albanian rioters, March 2004

photograph by Vladimir Vukotić, June 1980
Figure 12: Monastery of the Holy Archangels, built 1343-1352
burned and heavily vandalized by Kosovar Albanian rioters, March 2004

photograph by Nikola Besević, March 2004
**Figure 13:** Church of the Holy Trinity, built late 1300s
heavily damaged by KLA, August 1999
destroyed by Kosovar Albanian rioters, March 2004

photograph courtesy of the National Herald, 2019
Figure 14: Serbian village near Ibar River being burned by Albanian paramilitaries, 1941

Figure 15: Serbian paramilitaries burn Kosovar Albanian houses in Sečište (Seqishta) village, March 1999

photography by Louisa Gouliamaki, courtesy of Balkan Insight
Figure 16: Serb residents flee Kosovo’s Beli Drim (Drini i Bardhë) Valley as KLA troops burn their houses, July 1999.

Photograph by Jean-Philippe Ksiazek, courtesy of Agence France-Presse (AFP)

This paper, released immediately after the armed conflict in Kosovo, analyzes and critiques the structures in place for persecuting the destruction of cultural property as a war crime under international law. It cites numerous examples of case rulings assigning responsibility for architectural destruction to Yugoslav leaders and demonstrates how the architectural destruction in Kosovo can be understood to fit within this context and thus be prosecuted as such.


The only official post-war census conducted by the Kosovar government in 2011 provides important demographic information for my research. The census found that approximately 93% of Kosovars are ethnically Albanian, less than 2% identify as ethnically Serbian, and around 5% belong to other ethnic minorities. While the United Nations considers these results unreliable because of a partial Serb boycott of the census, Albanians certainly comprise the vast majority of modern Kosovo’s population. Kosovar Albanians speak a non-Slavic language isolate using Latin script and, according to this census, primarily adhere to Sunni Islam although some practice Bektashi Sufism and Catholicism. This census should be complemented with Yugoslav censuses from the pre-war era to successfully capture the complex demographic shifts in this region during the Kosovo War.


While not an official census of the entire Kosovar population, this census uses a representative sample to provide information and informed estimates about the nature of Kosovo’s population at present.


Benedict Anderson, a vocal modernist in the field of nationalist theory, proposes in this seminal work that nations consist of ‘imagined communities’ that must be taught – they are essentially arbitrary tools used by competing elites to generate mass support. Modernists like Anderson argue that nationalist violence is rational and recent – usually the result of economic issues and employed through the manipulations of the ruling class. Anderson has been heavily criticized by primordialists for rejecting the idea that people may be naturally predisposed towards living in cooperation with their ethnic, religious, or linguistic communities. Similarly, ethnosymbolists have criticized his work for not placing enough emphasis on the role of nationalist symbols in shaping a national consciousness independently from and sometimes in direct opposition to the ruling elites.


A comprehensive history of the Serbian nation stretching from the Neolithic Period to the twenty-first century, this book written by Serbian historian Čedomir Antić presents an academic but still subtly nationalist view of the many events and periods that have shaped Serbia’s current mentality. While Antic relies on facts for his research, his language in describing the conflict in Kosovo often feels rather apologist and self-victimizing. However, this is an important document in capturing how Serbs popularly view and interpret their own history – which often more powerfully affects socio-politics than factual history itself.

A highly critical seminar talk discussing the case of an ethnically mixed Kosovar village where an overprotective approach to cultural heritage in the wake of Kosovo’s 2004 protests directly clashed with displaced peoples’ rights. Arraiza critiques NATO’s “special protective zones” in Kosovo and stresses the need for a fair balance between property rights protection and cultural heritage preservation.


Bacevich’s condemnation of American military policy of the last several decades groups the NATO-led intervention in the Kosovo War into America’s long line of interventions in the Middle East. His views of the Kosovo conflict are extremely culturally ignorant and occasionally incorrect, but represent an important example of one of the problematic ‘narratives’ that has sought to explain the Kosovo War as a conflict of foreign interests rather than one provoked by domestic nationalist rhetoric.


News article summarizing reactions to NATO’s handover of key protected sites of Serbian cultural importance from their peacekeeping forces to Kosovo’s police force. This handover prompted a Serbian response that their sacred sites now lay in the hands of Albanian extremists, reigniting fears of cultural erasure and destruction. Mere weeks after this transition, Kosovar Albanian police forces would fail to protect the fourteenth-century Church of Our Lady of Ljevis (a UNESCO world heritage site) from vandalism that left its precious frescoes exposed to rain.

Balkan Insight. “Serbs Demonstrate Against Kosovo’s UNESCO Bid.” 21 October 2015.

News article covering the 2015 protests inside Kosovo’s Gračanica Monastery, where Serbs placed photographs of destroyed Serbian orthodox churches on the ground to spell out the slogan ‘No Kosovo in UNESCO.’ These protests were held in response to the Kosovar government’s pursuance of official membership in NATO that would allow them to direct funding to restore the world heritage churches burned and looted during the 2004 uprisings. The article demonstrates that Serbs have no trust for Albanians governing their holy sites.

https://balkaninsight.com/2008/05/22/serbs-split-on-restoring-kosovo-churches/.

News article showing how Serbs have avoided working with Albanian compatriots to ensure the restoration of their own cultural heritage. When the Kosovar government signed an agreement with the Serbian Orthodox Church in Belgrade to oversee the restoration of a couple key Serbian heritage sites in 2008, local Orthodox bishop Artemije Radosavljević blocked the arrangement. This article discusses his unequivocal refusal to allow ethnic Albanians to assist with any Serbian Orthodox church restoration.


Bevan’s research presents evidence from global conflicts around the world concerning the weaponization of architecture and repercussions of such actions on sociopolitical reconstruction. He stresses the importance of viewing architecture as testaments to national myths, legends, histories, narratives, memories; thus, when the architecture itself is removed, the entire narrative linked to the architecture appears vulnerable to extinction.


Historian Florian Bieber describes how the Battle of Kosovo myth was resurrected in the 1980s and employed to incite violence in the 1990s under Slobodan Milošević. Explores different interpretations given to the historical battle, the manipulability of historical facts, and how myths as a nationalist symbol are employed for political ends. Highlights the circular conception of time put forward by nationalist ideology with the implied repetitions of the medieval battle in the Twentieth Century. Can be considered to align with the modernist school of nationalist theory, wherein elites deliberately manipulate the masses to ensure fealty to the nation and their own political agendas.


A contemporary news report from *The Independent* citing reports that Croatian nationalist troops deliberately bombarded the historic bridge in Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina, due to its strategic and symbolic importance to the city’s rival Bosnian Muslim militias and civilians.


Brass asserts that ‘ethnicity and nationalism are not givens, but rather social and political constructions,’ citing them as modern phenomena ‘inseparably connected with the activities of the modern centralizing state.’ These conclusions place Brass strongly within the modernist school of nationalist study. Brass’ theoretical framework stresses the presence of elite competition as a precondition for nationalism. According to Brass, nationalism is by definition a political movement requiring heavy organization, skilled leadership, and resources.

Modernist scholar of nationalism John Breuilly lays out in this text not so much a theory of nationalism as a crucial outline and procedure for the study of nationalism. He was among the first nationalist scholars to assert nationalism as originating from politics rather than from society. For him, nationalism refers to ‘political movements seeking or exercising state power and justifying such action with nationalist arguments.’


This article broadly discusses the motives for attacking sites, buildings, or objects representing cultural heritage during armed conflict. It identifies four broad categories of motives and provides some academic context for the rationalization of cultural destruction – a theoretical structure for research about why, when, and by whom cultural property is targeted. The organized destruction in Kosovo seems primarily to fall under the first category of motives outlined here, “conflict goals,” wherein cultural property is targeted because its mere existence is inherently connected to the issue over which the warring parties are fighting.


A searing critique of NATO in its post-Cold-War context that includes a detailed account of NATO’s failures to successfully lead in the aftermath of its interventions in Bosnia and Kosovo. While certainly an argumentative rather than objective text, the book accurately criticizes NATO for its inability to prevent architectural destruction both in 1999 and during the 2004 uprising.


Defreese’s paper focuses on the deliberate destruction of Kosovo’s Decani Monastery and Hadum Mosque to contextualize the nature of heritage in conflict with respect to international cultural heritage law. Useful for its legal perspective and for highlighting the broader significance of cultural property in conflict.


An interesting contemporary political biography of Slobodan Milošević that includes perspectives on his revival of Serbian nationalism. Written several years prior to the Kosovo War, during the height of the Bosnian War.
Duijzings’ essay provides a helpful perspective on the role of religion within Albanian national communities and the importance of Bektashi Sufism to Albanian cultural identity even among participants of other faiths.

The oldest travelogue written by a Western European woman in Kosovo, Durham’s colorful accounts and observations in High Albania help link present day nationalist trends to those present in Ottoman Kosovo nearly 100 years prior to Kosovar independence.


A firsthand account and broad official report on the 2004 uprisings within Kosovo that resulted in widespread architectural destruction of Serbian Orthodox Churches and monuments.


A detailed historical account of Fascist Albania and the violence incurred throughout Kosovo during World War II as the Fascist Albanian government sought to expel Serbian inhabitants.

Reports on the perceived threat to Kosovo’s religious monuments and their decoration during the Kosovo War. Written with an emphasis on Serbian monasteries at risk of vandalism in the war’s immediate aftermath.

Published just months after the Kosovo ceasefire agreement was finalized, this report to the United Nations’ Interim Administration Mission in Kosovo evaluates the destruction of libraries and archives around the country and suggests strategies for reconstruction. It emphasizes that there is no cooperation or
contact between Albanian and Serbian professionals in Kosovo and describes how these nationalist divisions may form roadblocks in creating a functioning library network.


Herscher, Andrew. Violence Taking Place: The Architecture of the Kosovo Conflict. Palo Alto: Stanford University Press, 2010. The most detailed account, at time of current writing, of architectural destruction incurred during the Kosovo War. Herscher’s book surveys specific acts of architectural destruction and strings these individual case studies into a historic narrative. However, the text is more focused on actions rather than the motivations driving the violence within Kosovo.


Hoxha, Fatos. Zoom interview by Benjamin Kinney Harris. 28 February 2021. Hoxha is a former member of the Kosovo Liberation Army who is currently a member of the Kosovar National Assembly. We connected by way of his son who is a former journalist that I relied upon as a contact during my time working as a journalist out of Belgrade, Serbia.

Written by two renowned Kosovar architecture scholars, this rather biased paper lauds the Kosovar government’s reparation efforts toward Serbian Orthodox monasteries and churches since the 2004 protests and sharply attacks the Serbian government for unfairly holding Kosovar cultural properties – especially archaeological and ethnological museum collections – hostage. This paper explores the treatment and conservation of Kosovo’s built heritage within this difficult context, with an interesting focus on administrative mechanisms responsible for managing their protection and enhancement.


Effectively an encyclopedia of Serbia’s cultural heritage (including that of Kosovo), this collection of essays highlights numerous architectural sites affected by the Kosovo Conflict. The text contextualizes the historical, cultural, and artistic importance of such sites, especially Serbian Orthodox churches targeted in the 2004 uprising which remained intact at the time of this book’s publication.


Discusses efforts to reform Kosovar society and promote cross-cultural cohesion through architecture in Yugoslav Kosovo, with particular focus on the creation of monumental modernist buildings replacing Ottoman spatial centers. The article stresses the importance of narratives of architectural identity and the effects of Yugoslav architecture on the Kosovar social psyche.


Jerliu, Florina. Skype interview by Benjamin Kinney Harris. 1 April 2021.

Jerliu is the head of the Faculty of Architecture at the University of Priština in Kosovo. She has published works on architectural preservation and destruction within the city of Priština, specifically.


An essential secondary text tracing Serbian national history from the medieval period to the present, *The Serbs* features a detailed account of Milošević’s rise to power and successful manipulation of symbols. Judah’s writing illuminates common themes of national pride, self-victimization, and fears of extermination across Serbia’s long history.


A discussion of urbicide and identicide in global conflict that provides a wider context for the attacks on historic architecture in Kosovo. Kalman describes reconstruction as “an ideological act and a destructive activity, since it erases memories of the violence and removed physical evidence.” Instead, he proposes alternative means for reconciliation that memorializes destroyed cultural heritage while acknowledging the trauma.


The ethnosymbolist frame analysis and comparative historical analysis methodologies blended together in this thesis drew heavily from the approach of Stuart Kaufman in this text, where the author compares four case studies of ethnic conflict in Nagorno-Karabakh, Georgia, Transnistria, and the former Yugoslavia. Stuart reaches similar conclusions regarding the causes of ethnic conflict more broadly, but this text does not mention or investigate architecture as a lens for capturing the link between nationalist rhetoric and violence. Heavily influenced by previous research by Anthony Smith, the text does not discuss the Kosovo War but helps situate this conflict within the wider field of nationalist warfare.


My undergraduate thesis was written on the early formation of Albanian national identity under Ottoman Rule. While primarily investigating early nationalism in Albania-proper, this paper draws a number of conclusions about the uniqueness of Albanian national identity drawn upon in this subsequent thesis work.


Accessed with the assistance of the library of the University of Priština in Kosovo.


Renowned historical preservation policymaker Fabio Maniscalco discusses the situation of cultural properties in Kosovo in this memorandum highly critical of NATO’s ineffective peacekeeping forces. He urges the international community to take a stronger role in protecting cultural heritage in Kosovo.


Mihajlović, Luka. Zoom interview by Benjamin Kinney Harris. 11 February 2021.


The Battle of Kosovo mythos features heavily in Serbian nationalist rhetoric surrounding the Kosovo conflict. In her paper which draws heavily from Anthony Smith’s theories of ethnosymbolist nationalism, Morel describes the evolution of this myth and the way it was weaponized by nationalist agendas.


Considered a pinnacle of Serbian literary achievement, this problematic epic poem centers upon the decimation of Muslim villages for failing to convert to Christianity. Balkan scholar and political analyst Tim Judah has described the poem, which remains widely taught in Serbian schools today, as a ‘paean to ethnic cleansing’ that explains how the Serbian national consciousness has inextricably linked national liberation to the murder of neighbors and burning of their villages.


A helpful summary of the architectural destruction endured in Bosnia and Herzegovina during the breakup of Yugoslavia. While Ordev’s essay does not grapple with architectural destruction in Kosovo, it introduces helpful structures for considering cultural heritage and the impacts of its destruction more broadly. It also provides a solid parallel example of systematic architectural destruction in the Balkans by nationalist politicians and military complexes.


Serbian architectural historian Bratislav Pantelić evaluates the history of Serbian architecture from the mid-nineteenth century to the present, especially with regard to Serbo-Byzantine architectural trends that sought to erase Ottoman history from the architectural landscape and return to Serbia’s medieval roots. Discusses the resurgence of nationalism in the 1980s which was accompanied by a spate of church-building in the Serbo-Byzantine style, reasserting its position as the canonical style of the Orthodox church and pitting Serbian architecture against Ottoman architecture. Also emphasizes how architecture articulated national policy and maintained the national and religious unity of fractured Serbian communities.


Serbian political scholar Jelena Pavličić discusses in her paper how popular tourist publications within Kosovo continue to open an “unfounded” debate over Serbian medieval monuments within Kosovo. She asserts that these publications are “used to alienate the historical identity of these places or to promote a distorted interpretation of them.” Illuminates many of the frustrations Serbs feel with the current situation regarding historical preservation within Kosovo.


Prometni zemljevid z označno banovin Kraljevine Jugoslavije [Traffic Map with the Banovinas of the Kingdom of Yugoslavia]. Commissioned by Laboratorij Sušak [Sušak Laboratory]. Zagreb: 1939.

Qudsi, Jwanah. Zoom interview by Benjamin Kinney Harris. 13 April 2021.

Qudsi is the founder of the Aleppo Heritage Fund – an organization currently working to reconstruct key historic sites within the Syrian city of Aleppo. She is an urban planning expert who also works full-time for the United Nations. While Kosovo is not her area of expertise, she was interviewed to share perspectives on the field of historic reconstruction and on the traumatic effects of architectural destruction brought about through war.


Rexha, Enver. Skype interview by Benjamin Kinney Harris. 23 March 2021.


Saggau, Emil Hilton. “Kosovo Crucified—Narratives in the Contemporary Serbian Orthodox Perception of Kosovo.” Religions, no. 10 (October 2019): 578.


Likely the most thorough and seminal text on Albanian nationalism and its roots under Ottoman rule. Skendi’s historical text demonstrates the origins of several core Albanian nationalist narratives that remain prevalent in Kosovo today. As this book was itself written over a half-century ago, it has also become a historical document in of itself, and a close analysis of Skendi’s research also illuminates ways in which modern understandings of Albanian nationalism have changed.


This text best summarizes Anthony Smith’s approach to ethnosymbolism, tracing the origin of nations to earlier *ethnie* communities and demonstrating their durability over time through the symbols, myths, and legends to which they cling. This critique of modernist nationalist thought remains highly criticized by nationalist scholars for being terminologically confused – yet the work remains highly influential for its overview of national symbols and their importance to different nations around the world.


Pierre van den Berghe takes a sociobiological approach towards proving primordialism as nationalist theory, arguing that there is an objective, external basis to the existence of nations. While van den Berghe allows that these groups are also socially constructed and changeable, he asserts that they remain fundamentally differentiated by common descent and maintained by endogamy. ‘Ethnicity, thus, is kinship writ large,’ he concludes.


Weizman and Herscher jointly published this informal conversation between them wherein they discuss architectural destruction within Kosovo and relate this case study to wartime architectural destruction more generally. Their discussion centers on problems in the undefined field studying architectural destruction, such as whether those submitting reports on architectural destruction need a background in art history, if reports should express subjective ‘worth’ or be more objective, etc.