Germline DNA methylation in reef corals: patterns and potential roles in response to environmental change
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Abstract

DNA methylation is an epigenetic mark that plays an inadequately understood role in gene regulation, particularly in non-model species. Because it can be influenced by the environment, DNA methylation may contribute to the ability of organisms to acclimatize and adapt to environmental change. We evaluated the distribution of gene body methylation in reef-building corals, a group of organisms facing significant environmental threats. Gene body methylation in six species of corals was inferred from in silico transcriptome analysis of CpG O/E, an estimate of germline DNA methylation that is highly correlated with patterns of methylation enrichment. Consistent with what has been documented in most other invertebrates, all corals exhibited bimodal distributions of germline methylation suggestive of distinct fractions of genes with high and low levels of methylation. The hypermethylated fractions were enriched with genes with housekeeping functions, while genes with inducible functions were highly represented in the hypomethylated fractions. High transcript abundance was associated with intermediate levels of methylation. In three of the coral species, we found that genes differentially expressed in response to thermal stress and ocean acidification exhibited significantly lower levels of methylation. These results support a link between gene body hypomethylation and transcriptional plasticity that may point to a role of DNA methylation in the response of corals to environmental change.
Introduction

As human influence on the planet expands, many organisms must acclimatize and adapt to rapid environmental change. Phenotypic plasticity facilitates a more rapid response to environmental change than is possible through natural selection, and will likely be critical to the persistence of many species (Charmantier et al. 2008, Chevin et al. 2010). Phenotypic change often involves modifications in gene expression. Epigenetic mechanisms, involving alterations to the genome that do not affect the underlying DNA sequence, are increasingly recognized as some of the principal mediators of gene expression (Duncan et al. 2014). The most researched and best understood epigenetic process is DNA methylation, which most commonly involves the addition of a methyl group to a cytosine in a CpG dinucleotide pair. The role of DNA methylation is best understood in mammals, where methylation in promoter regions has a repressive effect on gene expression (Jones and Takai 2001). In plants and invertebrates, methylation of gene bodies prevails, and is thought to be the ancestral pattern (Zemach et al. 2010). Gene body methylation appears to have a range of functions, including regulating alternative splicing, repressing intragenic promoter activity, and reducing the efficiency of transcriptional elongation (Duncan et al. 2014). Methylation of gene bodies also varies according to gene function, and studies on invertebrates indicate that highly conserved genes with housekeeping functions tend to be more heavily methylated than those with inducible functions (Roberts and Gavery 2012, Sarda et al. 2012, Dixon et al. 2014, Gavery and Roberts 2014). This has led to speculation that gene body methylation may promote predictable expression of essential genes for basic biological processes, while an absence of methylation could allow for stochastic transcriptional opportunities in genes involved in phenotypic plasticity (Roberts and Gavery 2012, Dixon et al. 2014, Gavery and Roberts 2014).
Direct relationships between DNA methylation and phenotypic plasticity are increasingly being established. Some examples include caste structure in honeybees and ants (Kucharski et al. 2008, Bonasio et al. 2012), expression of the agouti gene in mice (Wolff et al. 1998), and the influence of prenatal maternal mood on newborn stress levels in humans (Oberlander et al. 2008). In many cases, changes in methylation patterns can be attributed to external cues such as temperature, stress, or nutrition. A prime example is the honeybee *Apis mellifera*, where larval consumption of royal jelly induces changes in methylation that ultimately determine the developmental fate of an individual into a queen or a worker (Kucharski et al. 2008). Thus, DNA methylation has been established as a key link between environment and phenotype.

Reef-building corals, the organisms that form the trophic and structural foundation of coral reef ecosystems, are known to display a significant degree of phenotypic plasticity (Todd 2008, Forsman et al. 2009, Granados-Cifuentes et al. 2013). As long-lived, sessile organisms, corals are thought to be particularly reliant on phenotypic plasticity to cope with environmental heterogeneity, because they must be able to withstand whatever nature imposes on them over long periods of time (Bruno and Edmunds 1997). As phenotypically flexible as they may be, corals’ longevity and immobility may also contribute to their vulnerability in a changing environment. Reef corals worldwide are experiencing severe declines due to a variety of anthropogenic effects, including climate change, ocean acidification, and a host of local stressors (Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2007). This has raised doubt concerning the ability of corals to survive coming decades. Yet there are also signs that, at least in some cases, corals possess sufficient resiliency to overcome their numerous challenges (Palumbi et al. 2014). Recent studies on gene expression variation, for example, support the view that phenotypic plasticity in corals is robust and may provide resilience in the face of ocean
warming (Barshis et al. 2013, Granados-Cifuentes et al. 2013, Palumbi et al. 2014). However, the underlying basis of gene expression variation, and indeed phenotypic plasticity, remain largely unknown.

Evaluation of epigenetic processes therefore represents a logical next step in understanding coral gene expression and phenotypic variation. While recent annotation of the *Acropora digitifera* genome revealed a broad repertoire of genes involved in DNA methylation and other epigenetic processes (Dunlap et al. 2013), to date, only one study has investigated possible roles of epigenetic processes in corals (Dixon et al. 2014). Germline DNA methylation patterns in the transcriptome of *Acropora millepora* corroborated findings reported in studies of other invertebrate species (Dixon et al. 2014). Most interestingly, genes that were differentially expressed in response to a common garden transplantation experiment were among the genes exhibiting lower levels of germline methylation (Dixon et al. 2014), suggesting a link between hypomethylation and gene expression plasticity.

Coral gene expression studies continue to expand, providing rich datasets to further probe the relationship between DNA methylation and gene function. In this study, we performed a comprehensive evaluation of germline methylation patterns in reef corals by examining the transcriptomes of six scleractinian coral species. Germline methylation levels in these data were inferred based on the hypermutability of methylated cytosines, which leads to a reduction in CpG dinucleotides over evolutionary time (Sved and Bird 1990). These data were then matched with gene ontology information, permitting evaluation of methylation patterns associated with broad categories of biological processes. Lastly, in three of the six species, we evaluated
germline methylation patterns in genes involved in response to thermal stress and ocean acidification.

Methods

Transcriptome data sources

The transcriptomes of six scleractinian coral species were evaluated to determine germline methylation patterns in relation to gene function and activity. Species examined included *Acropora hyacinthus*, *A. millepora*, *A. palmata*, *Pocillopora damicornis*, *Porites astreoides*, and *Stylophora pistillata* (Table 1). These transcriptomes reflect a range of life history stages. Some transcriptomes were developed from life history stages that had not yet been infected with symbiotic dinoflagellates (*Symbiodinium* spp.), while others used bioinformatic techniques to filter out putative *Symbiodinium* sequences. However, two of the transcriptomes (*P. damicornis* and *S. pistillata*) were developed from adult corals and did not remove putative symbiont sequences. We therefore applied a filtering step to these transcriptomes by comparing them to *Symbiodinium* clade A and B transcriptomes from Bayer et al. (2012) using blastn (version 2.2.29). An evalue threshold of 10^-5 was used for these queries, and all matched sequences were removed from further analyses. Data have been archived at doi:10.5061/dryad.pq827, and details of the blastn query and filtering procedures are provided online (https://github.com/jldimond/Coral-CpG).

Differentially expressed gene datasets

In addition to analyzing whole transcriptomes, we also examined genes differentially expressed in response to environmental stressors for the three acroporid
species. For *A. hyacinthus* and *A. millepora* these gene sets were derived from the same studies that developed the reference transcriptomes (Barshis et al. 2013, Moya et al. 2012), and for *A. palmata* differentially expressed genes sets were reported in Polato et al. (2013) (Table 2).

**Annotation**

To maintain consistency in comparing datasets, all transcriptomes and differentially expressed gene sets were compared to the UniProt/Swiss-Prot protein database (version 2/17/2015) using Blastx (version 2.2.29) with an evalue threshold of $10^{-5}$. To further annotate genes with functional categories, corresponding Gene Ontology Slim (GOSlim) biological process were joined to the database using SQLShare. Annotation workflows are provided in jupyter notebooks in an online repository (https://github.com/jldimond/Coral-CpG).

**Predicted germline methylation**

Germline methylation levels were inferred based on the hypermutability of methylated cytosines, which tend towards conversion to thymines over evolutionary time. This results in a reduction in CpG dinucleotides, meaning that heavily methylated genomic regions are associated with reduced numbers of CpGs. Thus, methylation patterns that have been inherited through the germline over evolutionary time can be estimated using the ratio of observed to expected CpG, known as CpG O/E. Germline DNA methylation estimated by analysis of CpG O/E is highly correlated with direct

CpG O/E was defined as:

\[
\text{CpG O/E} = \frac{\text{number of CpG}}{\text{length of contig}^2} - 1
\]

where \(l\) is the number of nucleotides in the contig. Only annotated sequences were used for calculation of CpG O/E to increase the likelihood that sequences were oriented in the 5' to 3' direction. For subsequent analyses, we set minimum and maximum limits for CpG O/E at 0.001 and 1.5, respectively, to exclude outliers. Details of germline methylation prediction methods are provided in jupyter notebooks (https://github.com/jldimond/Coral-CpG).

Gene expression levels

For the three acroporid species, we also obtained data on gene expression levels (Moya et al. 2012, Barshis et al. 2013, Polato et al. 2013). Gene expression for a given contig was averaged across treatments. This data was then joined with CpG O/E data, and contigs were sorted into 30 CpG O/E bins of equal sample size. Gene expression levels in each of these bins were then averaged. A log transformation was applied to \(A.\) hyacinthus and \(A.\) millepora expression data.
Statistical analyses

Transcriptome CpG O/E patterns were fitted with the normalmixEM function in the mixtools package in the R statistical platform. Mixture models were evaluated against the null single component model by comparison of log-likelihood statistics. High and low CpG O/E components were delineated in mixture models using the intersection point of component density curves. For each GOSlim term, enrichment in the high and low CpG O/E components identified in mixture models was evaluated with Fisher's exact test. For gene expression counts in the acroporid corals, mean expression level was plotted at the midpoint of each of the 30 bins sorted by CpG O/E. Relationships between expression and CpG O/E were assessed by fitting up to a sixth order polynomial function and selecting the best fit model based on the Akaike information criterion output provided by the step function in R. Whole transcriptome and differentially expressed gene CpG O/E distributions were compared with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. To compare representation of GOSlim terms in the differentially expressed genes relative to whole transcriptomes, the relative abundance of each GOSlim term in each dataset was calculated as a percentage, and these values for whole transcriptomes were subtracted from those for differentially expressed genes. Data files generated for these analyses are available in a repository at doi:10.5061/dryad.pq827, and the analysis workflow is available at https://github.com/jldimond/Coral-CpG.

Results

Annotation

For P. damicornis and S. pistillata transcriptomes, 2892 and 138 putative Symbiodinium sequences were removed from the transcriptomes, respectively.
Comparisons of the six coral transcriptomes to the UniProt/Swiss-Prot database resulted in annotation of 26% to 47% of the contigs in each transcriptome (Table 3).

*Predicted gene methylation*

Whole transcriptome patterns of predicted germline DNA methylation were similar for all coral species, suggesting bimodal distributions of CpG O/E with relatively large high-CpG O/E components (Figure 1). This was confirmed by mixture model analyses indicating that a 2-component Gaussian model provided better fit than a single-component model in all cases (Table 4). While there was some variability in models between species, all models were characterized by a low-CpG O/E component with a mean of 0.24 to 0.38 and weighted at 14-28% of the distribution. Conversely, high-CpG O/E components had means of 0.69 to 0.75 and weights of 72-86%.

When CpG O/E was evaluated according to gene function, we observed consistent patterns among species in relation to broad classes of biological processes. For all six species, the top four biological processes with the highest mean CpG O/E were cell-cell signalling, cell adhesion, signal transduction, and developmental processes (Figure 2). Low-ranked biological processes with the lowest mean CpG O/E were more variable between species. However, DNA metabolism was consistently ranked lowest, while protein metabolism and other metabolic processes were also typically among the lowest categories in terms of CpG O/E. Relatively high and low ranked biological processes were also more likely to be significantly enriched in the high and low CpG O/E components identified in the mixture model.
Relationship between gene expression levels and CpG O/E

In *Acropora hyacinthus*, *A. millepora*, and *A. palmata*, we observed similar patterns of gene expression with respect to CpG O/E (Figure 3). Gene expression in all species was characterized by bell-shaped distributions, with the highest levels of gene expression occurring at intermediate levels CpG O/E. These curves were skewed to the left in *A. hyacinthus* and to the right in *A. millepora* and *A. palmata*.

Methylation of differentially expressed genes

In the acroporids, genes expressed differentially in response to environmental stress showed distinct CpG O/E distributions from those of the whole transcriptomes (Figure 3, upper panel). In all cases, mean CpG O/E of differentially expressed genes was higher than that of the whole transcriptome. This was especially true for differentially expressed genes in response to thermal stress in *A. hyacinthus* and *A. palmata* (both p < 0.001), but CpG O/E distributions of ocean acidification differentially expressed genes and the whole transcriptome of *A. millepora* were also significantly different (p = 0.005).

We also evaluated the contribution of different biological processes (GOslim terms) to differentially expressed gene profiles by comparing their abundances in differentially expressed genes relative to whole transcriptomes (Figure 3, lower panel). In *A. hyacinthus*, differentially expressed genes were overrepresented by biological processes associated with the high-CpG O/E components identified in the mixture model, and underrepresented by biological processes associated with the low CpG O/E component. In *A. millepora*, differentially expressed genes were characterized by a striking increase in transport processes, which were not significantly enriched in either
the high or low CpG O/E components of the mixture model. To a lesser extent, cell
adhesion and developmental processes were overrepresented in differentially
expressed genes, and these processes were enriched in the high CpG O/E component.
Biological processes underrepresented in differentially expressed genes tended to be
associated with the low CpG O/E component. Finally, in A. palmata, a mixture of
processes enriched in both the high and low CpG O/E components were
overrepresented in the differentially expressed genes. Processes underrepresented in
differentially expressed genes were largely associated with the low CpG O/E component.

Discussion

Research on DNA methylation to date has revealed that its extent and function
varies considerably among organisms. While several studies have compared DNA
methylation patterns between distantly related taxa (Tweedie et al. 1997, Zemach et al.
2010, Sarda et al. 2012), our analysis focused on a single taxonomic group, the reef-
building scleractinian corals. Within this group, we took a comparative approach of six
species to provide a comprehensive evaluation of germline methylation patterns. In
three of these species, representing three independent studies, we found that genes
expressed differentially in response to environmental stressors exhibited significantly
lower levels of methylation. This work adds to a small but growing body of evidence
supporting an association between hypomethylation and gene expression plasticity

As in most other invertebrate taxa surveyed (Gavery and Roberts 2010, Sarda
et al. 2012), we observed patterns of CpG O/E that were indicative of bimodal
distributions in all of the coral transcriptomes. All distributions were dominated by a
relatively high CpG O/E fraction, suggesting that the majority of genes in reef corals
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experience relatively low levels of methylation. A similar pattern was observed in a genome-wide analysis of the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis* (Zemach et al. 2010, Sarda et al. 2012), and in an analysis of exons in *A. millepora* (Dixon et al. 2014). In contrast, CpG O/E profiles in other invertebrates, such as the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* and the sea squirt *Ciona intestinalis*, suggest larger low-CpG O/E fractions (Gavery and Roberts 2010, Sarda et al. 2012). This is consistent with higher genome-wide levels of CpG methylation in *C. intestinalis* and *C. gigas* than in *N. vectensis* (21.6%, 15%, and 9.4%, respectively; Zemach et al. 2010, Olson and Roberts 2014a). Levels of DNA methylation broadly reflect evolutionary relationships (Tweedie et al. 1997, Zemach et al. 2010), so it could be speculated that coral methylation is similar to that of *N. vectensis*. However, phylogenies derived from methylation patterns may differ considerably from those derived from protein sequences, suggesting lineage-specific changes in methylation (Sarda et al. 2012). Lineage-specific changes in methylation could reflect differences in life history strategies (Sarda et al. 2012).

Across reef coral species, ranking of biological processes according to mean CpG O/E was largely consistent, corroborating a trend reported in other studies of invertebrate gene body methylation (Gavery and Roberts 2010, Sarda et al. 2012, Dixon et al. 2014). With little variation between coral species, the biological processes enriched in high CpG O/E values (predicted to have low CpG DNA methylation) reflect genes involved in inducible functions, while processes associated with the low CpG O/E values reflect genes for essential housekeeping functions. Housekeeping genes are ubiquitously expressed across tissue types, and tend to be evolutionarily conserved. Indeed, higher levels of germline DNA methylation are associated with gene orthology among invertebrate taxa (Sarda et al. 2012, Park et al. 2011, Suzuki et al. 2007), suggesting a protective effect that contrasts with the tendency for methylated cytosines to experience
higher mutation rates than nonmethylated nucleotides. However, it may be that DNA methylation has an overall protective influence despite the mutagenic effect on CpGs, or that CpG mutations have largely run their course over time in heavily methylated genes, with fewer methylated CpGs left for substitutions (Park et al. 2011). One hypothesis for the role of gene body methylation is that it may facilitate consistent expression of ubiquitously expressed core genes needed for essential biological functions (Bird 1995, Roberts and Gavery 2012, Gavery and Roberts 2014).

While there have been some reports of negative associations between gene body methylation and gene expression in invertebrates (Suzuki et al. 2007, Riviere et al. 2013), positive or bell-shaped relationships have been reported in several taxa, including corals (Zemach et al. 2010, Gavery and Roberts 2013, Dixon et al. 2014, Jjingo et al. 2012). In A. millepora (exons only), Dixon et al. (2014) reported that the most highly expressed genes in a reciprocal transplant experiment were those exhibiting higher levels of gene body methylation. These genes were enriched for housekeeping functions. A similar phenomenon of increased expression among hypermethylated genes was reported for C. gigas, in addition to an inverse relationship between DNA methylation and variation in expression between tissues (Gavery and Roberts 2013). High transcript abundances among highly methylated genes may reflect their widespread expression across cell or tissue types (Gavery and Roberts 2013, Dixon et al. 2014). Our results contrast with these studies, but were surprisingly consistent across the three acroporid species, and suggest that the most highly expressed genes tend to have intermediate rather than high levels of methylation. This is not inconsistent with what has been reported in several invertebrate taxa, including N. vectensis (Zemach et al. 2010). It is also important to note that the studies from which these acroporid datasets
were obtained specifically tested the effects of environmental change, which may have created an abundance of inducible genes with relatively low levels of methylation.

In contrast to hypermethylation and consistent expression, our finding of relatively low methylation among differentially expressed genes in response to thermal stress and ocean acidification in the three acroporid corals supports the hypothesis that hypomethylation is associated with transcriptional plasticity (Roberts and Gavery 2012). Sparse methylation of gene bodies, and the tendency for genes involved in inducible functions to have lower methylation levels, is thought to leave these genes open to a greater variety of transcriptional opportunities (Roberts and Gavery 2012). Potential sources of transcriptional variation could include access to alternative start sites, increased sequence mutations, exon skipping, and transient methylation (Roberts and Gavery 2012). Such transcriptional variation could contribute to phenotypic plasticity, and it might be particularly beneficial among species that experience variable environments that require constant tuning of gene expression, such as corals and other sessile organisms. Dixon et al. (2014) found support for this theory in A. millepora, showing that genes differentially expressed in response to transplantation to new environments were significantly enriched in the low methylation (high CpG O/E) component.

In our analysis, lower methylation among differentially expressed genes was at least partially attributable to increased representation of genes involved in inducible functions relative to those with housekeeping functions. This was especially true in A. hyacinthus. While unsurprising, this highlights the caveat that our analysis is only correlative. Low methylation among differentially expressed genes could simply reflect the fact that environmental stressors promote expression of inducible genes, and that
these genes simply happen to exhibit different methylation patterns. Furthermore, it remains unclear whether gene body DNA methylation actively regulates genes, as opposed to the alternative hypothesis that it is simply a byproduct of an open chromatin state (Jjingo et al. 2012). For example, gene body methylation may reflect exposure of DNA to DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) as a consequence of unpacked chromatin during transcription (Jjingo et al. 2012). Further studies will be needed to evaluate causative relationships between DNA methylation and transcriptional activity, such as through inhibition or knockdown of DNMTs responsible for de novo methylation, or through assessment of chromatin state using methods such as ChIP-seq. Additionally, despite the utility of CpG O/E to infer germline methylation patterns, experimental work on transient methylation in somatic cells and how it might influence transcription is needed. However, experimental studies on social insects have already provided compelling evidence for links between differential DNA methylation, transcription, and phenotypic plasticity (Kucharski et al. 2008, Elango et al. 2009). Studies of DNA methylation in plants have gone even further, having already incorporated epigenetics into ecological research (Bossdorf et al. 2008).

There is a great deal of interest in the adaptive potential of corals in the face of continued environmental change. Perhaps the most intriguing aspect of DNA methylation is that its effects may extend beyond an individual organism's lifetime and be transferred to successive generations. Although the epigenomes of some organisms, such as mammals, are extensively reprogrammed during meiosis and embryogenesis, in some cases DNA methylation can be passed on to offspring (Duncan et al. 2014). This is especially true in plants (Hauser et al. 2011, Heard and Martienssen 2014), but there is also evidence for inheritance of DNA methylation in oysters (Olson and Roberts 2014b). Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance is a potentially transformative biological
concept, but its extent and significance is only just beginning to be understood, and remains largely unstudied in the vast majority of organisms (Grossniklaus et al. 2013, Heard and Martienssen 2014).

Our results illustrate patterns of gene body DNA methylation that are similar across coral species and largely consistent with what has been found in other invertebrates. This work serves as a basis with which to further characterize and compare DNA methylation in corals and related taxa. Within the context of environmental challenges faced by corals, our analysis found broad support for an inverse relationship between gene body methylation and differential gene expression. This suggests that the potential role of DNA methylation in the response of corals to environmental change warrants closer investigation.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded in part by the John E. Halver Fellowship at the UW School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, and by an ARCS Seattle Chapter Fellowship, both awarded to JLD. Three anonymous reviewers provided comments that helped improve the manuscript.

References


Dixon G, Bay L, Matz M (2014) Bimodal signatures of germline methylation are linked with gene expression plasticity in the coral Acropora millepora. BMC Genomics 15, 1109


This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.


Forsman Z, Barshis D, Hunter C, Toonen R (2009) Shape-shifting corals: molecular markers show morphology is evolutionarily plastic in *Porites* *BMC Evol Biol* 9, 45


Gavery, M and Roberts, S (2013) Predominant intragenic methylation is associated with gene expression characteristics in a bivalve mollusc *PeerJ* 1, e215


This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.


Jones, P and Takai, D (2001) The role of DNA methylation in mammalian epigenetics *Science* 293, 1068–70


reveals complex responses to CO-driven acidification during the initiation of calcification *Mol Ecol* 21, 2440–54


Prenatal exposure to maternal depression, neonatal methylation of human glucocorticoid receptor gene (NR3C1) and infant cortisol stress responses *Epigenetics* 3, 97–106

Olson, C and Roberts, S (2014a) Genome-wide profiling of DNA methylation and gene expression in *Crassostrea gigas* male gametes *Front Physiol* 5, 224

Olson, C E and Roberts, S B (2014b) Indication of family-specific DNA methylation patterns in developing oysters.

http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2014/12/16/012831


Comparative analyses of DNA methylation and sequence evolution using *Nasonia* genomes *Mol Biol Evol* 28, 3345–54


This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.


Suzuki, M, Kerr, A, De, S D and Bird, A (2007) CpG methylation is targeted to transcription units in an invertebrate genome Genome Res 17, 625–31


This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

**Data Accessibility**

This study made use of publicly available transcriptome data. Data files derived from the original data and generated for this study are available at Dryad under doi:10.5061/dryad.pq827. The analyses can be reproduced using a repository with the complete workflow at https://github.com/jldimond/Coral-CpG.

Original datasets were obtained from several sources. *Acropora hyacinthus* data were obtained from Barshis et al. (2013), available at http://palumbi.stanford.edu/data/33496_Ahyacinthus_CoralContigs.fasta.zip (transcriptome assembly) and http://palumbi.stanford.edu/data/Barshis_etal_33496contigs_deduped_all_scaledounts_Nov2012.txt (gene expression counts). *Acropora millepora* data were obtained from Moya et al. (2012), available at the NCBI Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly Database under accession numbers JR970414–JR999999 and JT000001–JT023377 (transcriptome assembly) and the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under accession number GSE33016 (gene expression counts). *Acropora palmata* transcriptome data were obtained from Polato et al. (2011) and Polato et al. (2013), located at https://usegalaxy.org/datasets/cb51c4a06d7ae94e/display?to_ext=fasta (transcriptome assembly) and NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO) accession number GSE36983 (gene expression counts). *Pocillopora damicornis* data

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.
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**Tables**

Table 1. Transcriptomes analyzed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Life history stage</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>No. contigs</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Acropora hyacinthus</em></td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>sequencing</td>
<td>33,496</td>
<td>Barshis et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acropora millepora</em></td>
<td>Embryo to adult</td>
<td>sequencing</td>
<td>52,963</td>
<td>Moya et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acropora palmata</em></td>
<td>Larval</td>
<td>sequencing</td>
<td>88,020</td>
<td>Polato et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pocillopora damicornis</em></td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>sequencing</td>
<td>72,890</td>
<td>Vidal-Dupiol et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Porites astreoides</em></td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>sequencing</td>
<td>30,740</td>
<td>Kenkel et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2. Differentially expressed gene sets examined.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Life history stage</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>No. contigs</th>
<th>Environmental factor</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acropora hyacinthus</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>sequencing</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>Thermal stress</td>
<td>Barshis et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora millepora</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>sequencing</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>Ocean acidification</td>
<td>Moya et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora palmata</td>
<td>Larval</td>
<td>microarray</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Thermal stress</td>
<td>Polato et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Transcriptome annotation results.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>No. contigs</th>
<th>Contigs annotated (UniProt/Swiss-Prot)</th>
<th>Contigs annotated (GOslim)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acropora hyacinthus</td>
<td>33,496</td>
<td>11,593</td>
<td>9,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora millepora</td>
<td>52,963</td>
<td>21,026</td>
<td>17,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora palmata</td>
<td>88,020</td>
<td>35,303</td>
<td>29,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocillopora damicornis</td>
<td>72,890</td>
<td>19,133</td>
<td>16,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porites astreoides</td>
<td>30,740</td>
<td>13,788</td>
<td>11,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stylophora pistillata</td>
<td>15,052</td>
<td>7,061</td>
<td>5,812</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Results of mixture model analyses of CpG O/E in the six coral transcriptomes. Model statistics are reported for a 2-component mixture model, which provided better fit than a single component model as indicated by log-likelihood statistics. Numbers separated by commas represent statistics for the first and second components, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>lambda</th>
<th>mu</th>
<th>sigma</th>
<th>log-likelihood (k = 1)</th>
<th>log-likelihood (k = 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acropora hyacinthus</td>
<td>0.14, 0.86</td>
<td>0.30, 0.75</td>
<td>0.11, 0.26</td>
<td>-1950</td>
<td>-1771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora millepora</td>
<td>0.17, 0.83</td>
<td>0.38, 0.74</td>
<td>0.11, 0.20</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>1307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora palmata</td>
<td>0.27, 0.73</td>
<td>0.35, 0.73</td>
<td>0.12, 0.22</td>
<td>-2664</td>
<td>-1824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocillopora damicornis</td>
<td>0.22, 0.78</td>
<td>0.24, 0.69</td>
<td>0.10, 0.25</td>
<td>-3324</td>
<td>-2464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porites astreoides</td>
<td>0.26, 0.74</td>
<td>0.34, 0.73</td>
<td>0.12, 0.23</td>
<td>-1450</td>
<td>-1115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stylophora pistillata</td>
<td>0.28, 0.72</td>
<td>0.33, 0.72</td>
<td>0.11, 0.23</td>
<td>-660</td>
<td>-413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure Legends**

Figure 1. Transcriptome-wide CpG O/E in the six coral species. The component density curves of two-component mixture models are superimposed over histograms and density curves.
Figure 2. Patterns of CpG O/E in relation to gene function (GOSlim biological processes terms) in the six coral species. Mean CpG O/E for each term is shown, with terms ordered by the grand mean across species. Asterisks denote results of significant Fisher’s exact tests ($\alpha = 0.05$); double asterisks indicate terms that were significantly enriched in the high-CpG O/E component, and single asterisks indicate terms significantly enriched in the low-CpG O/E component.

Figure 3. CpG O/E vs. mean gene expression level. Means ($\pm$SE) for 30 bins of equal sample size are shown. Data were fitted with 2nd ($A.\ hyacinthus$) and 4th ($A.\ millepora$ and $A.\ palmata$) order polynomial functions (red lines).

Figure 4. Comparison of transcriptome-wide and differentially expressed gene CpG O/E in the acroporid corals. Upper panel: density curves of whole transcriptomes (solid lines) and differentially expressed genes (dashed lines). Lower panel: representation of different gene classes in differentially expressed genes relative to whole transcriptome levels. These values were obtained by determining the percent representation of each of the 14 GOSlim classes in whole transcriptomes and differentially expressed genes, then taking the difference between the two.