Intramuscular Testosterone Enanthate Plus Very Low Dosage Oral Levonorgestrel Suppresses Spermatogenesis Without Causing Weight Gain in Normal Young Men: A Randomized Clinical Trial
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ABSTRACT: The development of a safe, well-tolerated, effective, and reversible male hormonal contraceptive would be a major clinical advance for couples planning their family size and for control of population growth. High-dosage parenteral testosterone (T) esters alone or in combination with a progestogen (eg, depot medroxyprogesterone) have been shown to confer effective and reversible male contraception in clinical trials, but these regimens are associated with weight gain and suppression of serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) levels. We have previously demonstrated that intramuscular T enanthate 100 mg weekly plus oral levonorgestrel (LNG) 125, 250, or 500 µg daily suppresses spermatogenesis to levels associated with effective contraception, but there is a LNG–dosage-dependent effect of weight gain and HDL suppression. We hypothesized that intramuscular T enanthate 100 mg weekly plus a very low dosage of oral LNG would effectively suppress spermatogenesis in normal men without inducing weight gain or HDL suppression. We conducted a randomized trial comparing 6 months of intramuscular T enanthate (100 mg weekly) plus 31.25 µg of oral LNG daily (T+LNG 31; n = 20) or 62.5 µg of oral LNG daily (T+LNG 62; n = 21). The 2 regimens were equally effective in suppressing spermatogenesis to azoospermia, fewer than 1 million sperm/mL and fewer than 3 million sperm/mL (T+LNG 31 [60%, 85%, and 90%] vs T+LNG 62 [62%, 91%, and 95%] for azoospermia, fewer than 1 million and fewer than 3 million, respectively; P = NS). The T+LNG 31 group did not gain weight (0.25 ± 1.08 kg; P = NS compared with baseline), but the T+LNG 62 group gained 2.5 ± 0.77 kg (P < .05 compared with baseline). Serum HDL cholesterol levels declined significantly in both groups (percentage decline month 6 of treatment vs baseline: 12.0% ± 2.6% and 15.1% ± 3.0%; P < .05 for T+LNG 31 and 62 respectively). Serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels also declined in both groups (percentage decline month 6 of treatment vs baseline: 6.9 ± 3.9 and 6.0% ± 4.1%; P < .05 for T+LNG 31 and P = NS for T+LNG 62). There were no clinically significant adverse events or significant changes in hematology or chemistry profiles in either group during the study. We conclude that 1) intramuscular T plus oral LNG has a very potent synergistic effect in suppressing spermatogenesis at LNG dosages equal to or lower than dosages used in common female oral contraceptive regimens and 2) large, long-term contraceptive efficacy trials should be conducted with a variety of androgen-progestogen combinations including long-acting T formulations such as depot T pellets or intramuscular T undecanoate plus depot LNG or very low dosage oral LNG.
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testosterone undecanoate 500 mg monthly after an initial loading dose of 1000 mg) showed similar contraceptive efficacy in healthy Chinese men (Gu et al, 2003). However, exogenous supraphysiological T does not uniformly suppress spermatogenesis and causes significant weight gain and suppression of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) levels (World Health Organization Task Force, 1990; Gu et al, 2003).

It has long been recognized that progesterone and synthetic progestogens suppress spermatogenesis (Heller et al, 1958). Because the administration of progestogens alone suppresses endogenous testosterone secretion and results in iatrogenic hypogonadism, progestogens are not viable as single agents for male contraception. However, the combination of androgens plus progestogens may act additively to uniformly suppress spermatogenesis. The additive effect of the progestogen might allow a lower dosage of the androgen and result in less androgen-induced weight gain or HDL suppression. Several androgen plus progestogen regimens have been studied as potential male contraceptive regimens (Meriggiola et al, 2003). The most extensively studied progestogens for male hormonal contraception include medroxyprogesterone acetate, cyproterone acetate, norethisterone acetate, desogestrel and its active metabolite etonogestrel, and levonorgestrel (LNG) (Anderson and Baird, 2002).

We have previously demonstrated that 6 months of T enanthate (100 mg intramuscularly [IM] weekly) plus LNG (125, 250, or 500 µg per os [PO] daily) induced oligoazoospermia (fewer than $3 \times 10^6$ spermatozoa/mL) in healthy American men significantly more than the same dosage of T enanthate alone (89%–94% vs 61%; $P < .05$) (Bebb et al, 1996; Anawalt et al, 1999). Although there were no significant differences in suppression of circulating gonadotropins or achievement of azoospermia or oligoazoospermia between the 3 regimens of T enanthate plus levonorgestrel, there was a dosage-dependent effect of LNG on weight gain and serum HDL suppression.

We hypothesized that intramuscular T enanthate plus a very low dosage of oral LNG would effectively suppress circulating gonadotropins and spermatogenesis without causing weight gain or HDL suppression. We therefore compared the effects of 6 months of T enanthate (100 mg IM weekly) plus LNG (31.25 or 62.5 µg PO daily) in healthy men.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects**

Normal men, ages 18–51 years, were recruited by advertisement on bulletin boards, in newspapers, and on the radio. Inclusion criteria were the following: a normal medical history and physical examination, normal basal serum total and calculated free T, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), and luteinizing hormone (LH) levels, 3 successive normal seminal fluid analyses (sperm count greater than 20 million/mL and motility and oval forms greater than 50% after 48 hours of ejaculatory abstinence) on specimens collected at 2-week intervals, and normal basal hematolgy, chemistry, and fasting lipid profiles. Exclusion criteria included any history of significant medical illnesses, current use of prescription medications, alcohol abuse, anabolic steroid use, or medications known to affect gonadal steroid metabolism or reproductive dysfunction.

Sixty-six men were screened for the study. Eighteen of the 66 men were excluded from the study before entering the treatment phase. Ten men were excluded for medical reasons (8 men for low sperm counts, 1 man for abnormal liver function tests, and 1 man for newly diagnosed Klinefelter syndrome). Prior to the treatment phase of the study, 6 men were removed from the study because they did not keep their follow-up appointments, and 2 men opted to discontinue the study for personal reasons.

**Experimental Design**

After meeting the screening criteria, subjects were entered into a 3-month control period. During this control period, monthly baseline hormone levels and biweekly seminal fluid analyses were performed. At the end of the control period, 48 subjects were assigned to 1 of 2 groups: 6 months of T+LNG 31 (T enanthate [Schein Pharmaceuticals, Florham Park, NJ] 100 mg IM weekly plus LNG 31.25 µg PO daily; n = 24) or T+LNG 62 (T enanthate 100 mg IM weekly plus LNG 62.5 µg PO daily; n = 24). To ensure unbiased balance between the 2 intervention groups, the subjects were allocated in a restricted randomization using the minimization method (ie, “randomization with a balanced design”) with a random-number generator computer program (Altman et al, 2001). A statistician created the randomization list, which was then distributed to the research coordinator and pharmacist. The allocation was concealed from the subjects and investigators until the end of treatment. The LNG (Wyeth Ayerst, Philadelphia, Pa) was formulated in identical capsules that ensured that the dosage was concealed from the subjects and researchers. A research nurse or one of the investigators administered all of the T injections. We recorded weekly pill counts and injections. Subjects who missed more than 1 weekly injection or more than 10% of pills in any month were counted as protocol violations and were discontinued from the treatment phase. Following the 6-month treatment period, all subjects entered a recovery period that extended until 2 consecutive sperm concentrations were within the individual’s baseline (pretreatment) range.

The University of Washington Human Subjects Review Committee and the Veterans Affairs Puget Sound Health Care System Research and Development Committee reviewed and approved our study. All subjects signed a consent form reviewing potential side effects before enrollment in the study.

**Measurements**

Physicians interviewed and examined all subjects monthly throughout the study. Seminal fluid analysis was performed every 2 weeks on samples obtained by masturbation after 48 hours
of ejaculatory abstinence. Throughout the study, monthly blood samples were obtained for measurement of serum total and calculated free T, sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG), FSH, and LH levels. During the treatment phase, blood samples were drawn immediately before the administration of intramuscular T enanthate. During the treatment phase, additional blood samples were drawn monthly immediately before and 1 hour after ingestion of LNG to measure nadir and peak LNG levels. During control, months 3 and 6 of treatment, and during recovery, we measured complete blood counts, serum electrolytes, creatinine, and albumin and administered liver function tests and a fasting lipid panel. The fasting lipid panel was performed on serum obtained after a 12-hour fast. The lipid panel included measurement of serum total, HDL, HDL₂, HDL₃, and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels and serum triglyceride levels.

Hormone and Lipid Assays
We measured serum SHBG, total T, FSH, and LH levels by immunofluorometric assay (Delfia, Wallac Inc, Turku, Finland). The lower limit of quantification of SHBG was determined to be 0.2 nmol/L for SHBG; the intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation (CV) were 1.7% and 10.6% for midrange pooled values, respectively. The lower limit of quantification of total T was 0.35 nmol/L; intra-assay and interassay coefficient of variation were 4.5% and 9.5% for midrange pools, respectively. The lower limit of quantification of FSH was 0.016 IU/L; the intra-assay and interassay coefficient of variation were 12% and 22.3%, respectively, for a low-range pooled value of 0.045 IU/L, and these coefficients were 2.9% and 6.1% for a midrange pooled value of 0.96 IU/L. Free T was calculated based on serum total T, SHBG, and albumin levels (Vermeulen et al, 1999). The lower limit of quantification of LH was 0.019 IU/L; the intra-assay and interassay coefficient of variation were 6.5% and 17.7% for a low-range pooled LH value of 0.074 IU/L, and these coefficients were 3.2% and 12.5% for a midrange pooled value of 1.15 IU/L. LNG levels were determined by radioimmunoassay at the California Regional Primate Center (courtesy of Dr Lisa Laughlin, University of California, Davis) (Ahsan et al, 1988). Samples from each participant were run in duplicate in the same assay to avoid interassay variability. We determined the lower limit of quantification of hormone assays by the first point discernible from zero on standard curves.

Lipid analyses were performed on freshly prepared (never frozen) plasma samples that were processed within hours of collection. Total plasma cholesterol and triglycerides were measured enzymatically at the Northwest Lipid Research Clinic (Seattle, Wash) on the Roche Hitachi 917 (Roche Laboratories, Indianapolis, Ind). Cholesterol was measured by a Trinder-type method, and triglycerides were measured by an ultraviolet light method (Warnick, 1986). Total HDL and HDL₂ cholesterol was measured directly after separation from plasma by precipitation with a modified dextran-sulfate technique (Warnick et al, 1982). HDL₃ cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels were calculated (Warnick et al, 1982).

Seminal Fluid Analysis
We determined sperm counts by Coulter counter (Coulter Electronics Inc, Hialeah, Fla), and concentrations below 15 million/mL were confirmed by direct determination using a hemocytometer (Gordon et al, 1965; Bremner et al, 1981). Sperm motility assessment was performed according to the WHO laboratory manual for the examination of human semen and sperm-cervical mucus interaction (WHO, 1999). We defined azoospermia, severe oligozoospermia, and oligozoospermia as 2 or more consecutive sperm counts of zero, fewer than 1 million/mL, and fewer than 3 million/mL, respectively. We defined sperm recovery as the first of 3 normal sperm counts (more than 20 million/mL with at least 1 value greater than or equal to the subject’s mean baseline concentration.

Results
Baseline Characteristics
The 2 groups were similar in all of their baseline clinical and biochemical characteristics except for serum total cholesterol level, which was significantly higher in the T+LNG 31 group (Table 1). Although baseline sperm concentrations appeared to be higher in the T+LNG 62 group, this difference did not achieve statistical significance (P = .11; Figure 1). Of the 48 men who entered the study, 44 were white, 3 were Native Americans, and 1 was African American. Forty men completed the entire study. One subject in the T+LNG 62 group completed 5 months of treatment and then moved out of the state, but he completed the recovery period. He became azoospermic by 7 weeks in the treatment period, and his data were included in the analysis. Seven men discontinued early in the treatment period (less than 4 months), and they were excluded from the analysis. The reasons for discontinuation of these 7 men included the following: 1 man reported scrotal pain from a pre-existing epididymal cyst, 1 man developed very mild acne, 2 men discontinued for personal reasons, and 3 men were removed from the study because of noncompliance with clinic visits and medication administration.
Response to Treatment

**Sperm Counts**—By 4 weeks of therapy, sperm concentrations were suppressed significantly in both groups (Figure 1). There were no significant differences in overall rates or rapidity of onset of azoospermia, severe oligozoospermia, or oligozoospermia between the T+LNG 31 and T+LNG 62 groups (Table 2). There was no significant difference in the rate of recovery between the 2 groups. Sperm counts returned to baseline during the recovery period in 40 out of 41 men. The 1 subject whose sperm counts did not return to baseline suffered testicular trauma (unrelated to the study) during the recovery period and underwent unilateral orchidectomy. His sperm concentration peaked at 40 million/mL during recovery compared with a pretreatment baseline range of 50–120 million/mL.

**Hormones**—During treatment, serum total and calculated free T rose significantly in both groups while SHBG levels declined significantly (Figure 2). In both groups, serum FSH and LH levels were significantly suppressed by the end of the first month of treatment and remained suppressed throughout the treatment period (Figure 3). During treatment, serum LH levels were suppressed to or below the lower limit of quantification in the majority of subjects in both groups, but FSH levels were detectable in all but 5 subjects (2 in the T+LNG 31 group and 3 in the T+LNG 62 group) at the end of the treatment period. Serum total and calculated free T and serum FSH and LH levels were not significantly different between T+LNG 31 and 62 groups during control, treatment, or recovery (P = NS).

Nadir and peak LNG levels were significantly higher in the T+LNG 62 group vs the T+LNG 31 group at month 6 of treatment (T+LNG 62 vs T+LNG 31 nadir and peak at treatment month 6: 0.08 ± 0.02 and 0.25 ± 0.04 µg/L vs 0.06 ± 0.08 and 0.13 ± 0.14 µg/L; P < .05).

We compared men who became azoospermic vs those who did not during treatment with either regimen and found no significant differences in total and free T, SHBG, FSH, and LH levels at baseline, end of treatment, or percentage change from baseline.

**Lipids**—During treatment and recovery, there were no significant differences in lipid parameters between the T+LNG 31 and 62 groups. Total cholesterol declined significantly by 8%–9% during treatment in both groups (percentage decline month 6 of treatment vs control: 9.1%)

Table 2. Effectiveness in suppressing sperm counts to levels associated with effective male contraception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome (mean ± SEM)</th>
<th>T+LNG 31 (n = 20)*</th>
<th>T+LNG 62 (n = 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Azoosperma</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks to azoosperma</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.5 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Fewer than 3 million sperm/mL</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks to fewer than 3 million sperm/mL</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Oligozoosperma</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks to fewer than 1 million sperm/mL</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>9.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* T indicates testosterone; LNG, levonorgestrel.
Figure 2. Intramuscular testosterone (T) enanthate plus very low dosage oral levonorgestrel (LNG) raised total testosterone levels significantly and suppressed sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) levels significantly by 4 weeks of treatment \( (P < .05 \text{ compared with baseline for both groups}) \). Calculated free testosterone levels were significantly higher than baseline by the end of 4 weeks of treatment and nearly doubled by the end of 24 weeks of treatment \( (P < .05 \text{ for both groups}) \). There were no significant differences between the T+LNG 31 \( (n = 20) \) and T+LNG 62 \( (n = 21) \) groups. The shaded area represents the normal range of the hormone assays.

Figure 3. Intramuscular testosterone (T) enanthate plus very low dosage oral levonorgestrel (LNG) rapidly suppressed circulating gonadotropins by the end of 4 weeks of therapy \( (P < .05 \text{ compared with baseline}) \). There were no significant differences between the T+LNG 31 \( (n = 20) \) and T+LNG 62 \( (n = 21) \) groups. Dashed line indicates limit of quantification of each assay, and the shaded area represents the normal range of each assay.

± 2.6% and 8.4% ± 2.9%; \( P < .05 \) for T+LNG 31 and 62 respectively). More than half of the absolute decrease in total cholesterol was due to a decline in LDL cholesterol (Table 3); LDL declined by 6%–7% in both groups (percentage decline month 6 of treatment vs control: 6.9% ± 3.9% and 6.0% ± 4.1%; \( P < .05 \) for T+LNG 31 and \( P = \text{NS} \) for T+LNG 62). HDL cholesterol declined significantly by 12%–15% during treatment in both groups (percentage decline month 6 of treatment vs control: 12.0% ± 2.6% and 15.1% ± 3.0%; \( P < .05 \) for T+LNG 31 and 62). Most of the absolute decrease in HDL cholesterol was due to a decline in HDL₃ (Table 3). Although HDL₄ was unchanged in the T+LNG 31 group and tended to decline in the T+LNG 62 group (percentage decline month 6 of treatment vs control: 0.1% ± 8.9% and 13.6% ± 6.6%; \( P = \text{NS} \) for T+LNG 31 and \( P = .06 \) for T+LNG 62), HDL₃ levels decreased significantly in both groups (percentage decline month 6 of treatment vs control: 12.7% ± 2.9% and 13.1% ± 2.7%; \( P < .05 \) for T+LNG 31 and T+LNG 62). Apoprotein A-1 levels declined significantly in both groups (percentage decline month 6 of treatment vs control: 11.0% ± 2.5% and 13.8% ± 2.5%; \( P < .05 \) for T+LNG 31 and T+LNG 62), but serum triglyceride levels were unchanged in both groups (percentage increase month 6 of treatment vs control: 7.3% ± 13.2% and 19.1% ± 11.6%; \( P = \text{NS} \) for T+LNG 31 and T+LNG 62). All lipid parameters normalized within 3 months during the recovery period.

**Hematological and Chemistry Profiles**—Hematological...
and chemistry profiles including liver function tests did not change significantly from baseline during the treatment and recovery periods.

Weight, Testicular Size, Digital Rectal Prostate Exam, Gynecomastia, and Acne—Although subjects in the T+LNG 62 group gained a significant amount of weight during treatment (2.50 kg \( P < .05 \)), subjects in the T+LNG 31 group did not (0.25 \( \pm 1.08 \) kg; \( P = \text{NS} \)). By the end of the recovery phase, mean weights were not significantly different from baseline for either group. Testicular size shrank significantly in both groups, but shrank more in the T+LNG 62 group (percentage decrease month 6 of treatment vs control: T+LNG 31: left testis \( 15.6% \pm 4.4% \) and right testis \( 23.4% \pm 3.0% \) vs T+LNG 62 left testis \( 29.0% \pm 2.9% \) and right testis \( 32.1% \pm 2.6% \); \( P < .05 \) vs baseline and between groups). None of the subjects spontaneously commented on or complained about testicular shrinkage during the study.

We found no significant abnormalities in the digital rectal examination at baseline, the end of treatment, or control periods. There was no clear relationship between the study drugs and development of acne. Four subjects in the T+LNG 31 group, and 6 subjects in the T+LNG 62 group developed acne during the treatment phase. Three subjects in the T+LNG 31 and 5 subjects in the T+LNG 62 had mild baseline acne that resolved during the treatment phase. No subjects requested or received treatment for acne during the study. As previously noted, 1 subject discontinued early in the study due to very mild acne. No subjects complained of sleep disturbances during the study. No subjects developed symptomatic gynecomastia during the study; 1 subject in the T+LNG 62 group developed asymptomatic gynecomastia (defined as an increase of breast tissue diameter greater than 2 cm) that was detected by one of the investigators, but the subject had not noticed any abnormalities.

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated that the combination of weekly intramuscular T enanthate plus very low dosages of daily oral LNG suppressed spermatogenesis to levels associated with effective contraception (World Health Organization Task Force, 1990, 1996). Both regimens caused similar rates of oligoazoospermia, severe oligozoospermia, and azoospermia compared with the same dosage of T enanthate (100 mg weekly) plus higher dosages up to 500 \( \mu \)g of LNG daily in studies of young healthy men that we performed using similar protocols to the present study (Bebb et al, 1996; Anawalt et al, 1999). In the current study, the combinations of T enanthate 100 mg weekly plus 31.25 or 62.5 \( \mu \)g of LNG daily were also significantly superior in achieving oligozoospermia, severe oligozoospermia, and azoospermia than the historical control of healthy young men administered the same dosage of T enanthate (100 mg weekly) alone in a similar protocol (Bebb et al, 1996) to the current study (T+LNG 31 or 62 vs T alone: oligozoospermia 90%–95% vs 60%; severe oligozoospermia 85% vs 56%; azoospermia 61% vs 33%; \( P < .05 \)). In addition, the rates of spermatogenic suppression compare favorably with the results seen in the WHO efficacy trials that used a dosage of T enanthate that was twice the dosage in our current study (World Health Organization Task Force, 1990, 1996).

In the current study, we reduced the dosage of levonorgestrel to a dosage equal to or less than those used in common female oral combination contraceptives (Boonstra et al, 2000; Audet et al, 2001), but still induced oligozoospermia (fewer than 3 million/mL) in 90%–95% of normal healthy young men. Our data showing spermatogenic suppression that is greater than the same dosage of T enanthate alone and equivalent to spermatogenic suppression with twice the dosage of T enanthate demonstrate the potent synergistic effect of intramuscular T plus oral LNG in suppressing spermatogenesis with very low dosages of oral LNG (31.25 or 62.5 \( \mu \)g of LNG daily) being equally effective as dosages more than 10-fold greater (125–500 \( \mu \)g of LNG daily).

Although there was no difference in spermatogenic suppression between the 2 groups, the T+LNG 62 group gained 2.5 kg (\( P < .05 \) compared with baseline) while the T+LNG 31 did not gain weight during the 6-month treatment period. This dose-dependent effect of oral LNG on weight gain in a LNG-T contraceptive regimen is con-

---

**Table 3. Absolute concentrations in fasting lipid parameters, mg/dL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group/Lipid Parameter</th>
<th>Control Month</th>
<th>Treatment (Month 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LNG 31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol†</td>
<td>198.4 ± 5.7‡</td>
<td>179.8 ± 6.7§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>127.4 ± 5.7</td>
<td>117.3 ± 5.9§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>51.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>45.0 ± 2.1§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL₂</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL₃</td>
<td>43.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>37.3 ± 1.7§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo-AI</td>
<td>141.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>125.8 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>94.3 ± 10.5</td>
<td>85.3 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG 62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>176.3 ± 6.8</td>
<td>161.5 ± 8.0§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>110.7 ± 6.3</td>
<td>101.8 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>45.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>37.7 ± 1.8§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL₂</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL₃</td>
<td>38.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>32.95 ± 1.5§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo-AI</td>
<td>129.4 ± 4.5</td>
<td>110.4 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>98.5 ± 14.6</td>
<td>102.7 ± 12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* LNG indicates levonorgestrel; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; and HDL, high-density lipoprotein.
† Conversion factor to SI units for total, LDL, HDL cholesterol = 0.259 and for triglycerides = 0.0113.
‡ \( P < .05 \) compared with T+LNG 62.
§ \( P < .05 \) compared with baseline.
sistent with our earlier findings (Anawalt et al, 1999). Most male hormonal contraceptive regimens have been associated with adverse metabolic effects on weight and lipid profiles (Anderson and Baird, 2002). Our findings showing that the combination of T plus very low dosage of LNG is as effective as T plus higher dosages of LNG while causing less weight gain may apply to other androgen-progestogen combinations. Our study highlights the importance of careful dose-finding studies of promising androgen-progestogen male contraceptive regimens to ensure the safest dosage while maintaining effective spermatogenic suppression.

Both intramuscular T enanthate plus 31.25 μg and 62.5 μg oral LNG regimens suppressed serum HDL cholesterol significantly by 12%–15% (P = NS between groups). Most of the suppression of serum HDL cholesterol was due to a decline in HDL.

Our study highlights the importance of careful dose-finding studies of promising androgen-progestogen male contraceptive regimens to ensure the safest dosage while maintaining effective spermatogenic suppression.

The route of administration of testosterone significantly affects the degree of spermatogenic suppression induced by T+LNG regimens. A study of a transdermal testosterone patch system plus a higher dosage of oral LNG (250–500 μg daily) yielded much lower rates of oligozoospermia (44%) than our study (Buchter et al, 1999). It is likely that this difference in spermatogenic suppression is due to the greater amount of testosterone delivered by intramuscular T enanthate with higher peak and more sustained total and free T levels. Another male contraceptive study that included a comparison of intramuscular T or T patch plus LNG implants confirmed that intramuscular T+LNG more effectively induces azoospermia (93% vs 35%) and oligozoospermia (100% vs 50%) compared with T patch plus LNG (Gonzalo et al, 2002). Finally, a study of a long-acting formulation of intramuscular T undecanoate plus oral LNG 250 μg daily caused oligozoospermia in 14 out of 14 men (Kamischke et al, 2000). Thus, sustained high or high-normal levels of T achieved by intramuscular T esters such as T enanthate or T undecanoate are superior to T patch systems for spermatogenic suppression.

It is less clear that the route of administration of LNG has important effects on the degree of spermatogenic suppression achieved by T+LNG regimens although oral administration of LNG might cause more HDL suppression by a first-pass hepatic effect. A study of monthly intramuscular T undecanoate plus levonorgestrel implants (Sino-implant) at a dosage of 150 mg for 3 months yielded disappointing results with less than 50% (7/16) of the Chinese subjects becoming oligozoospermic (Gao et al, 1999). There were no significant changes in serum lipid parameters including HDL cholesterol in the Sino-implant study. However, the study was very short and the dosage of intramuscular T undecanoate (250 mg monthly) was probably suboptimal. In a study by Gonzalez et al. T enanthate 100 mg IM weekly plus subcutaneous LNG (Norplant II) implants 300 mg for 6 months caused severe oligozoospermia (less than 1 million sperm/mL) in 14 out of 15 men (Gonzalo et al, 2002). As with our results with intramuscular T enanthate plus very low dosage oral LNG, there was no weight gain, but there was approximately 12% suppression of serum HDL cholesterol in subjects administered intramuscular T enanthate plus Norplant II. The LNG levels (approximately 0.4 μg/L) were much higher in the study of men administered subcutaneous Norplant II than the LNG levels (peak approximately 0.13 μg/L and nadir approximately 0.06 μg/L) in the group of men receiving 31.25 μg of daily oral LNG in our study. In summary, the data from our studies and others suggest that there is a dosage-dependent effect of LNG on weight and to a lesser degree on HDL suppression, but there is also likely to be an important first-pass hepatic effect of oral LNG on HDL suppression. In our study, we have shown that this first-pass hepatic effect of HDL suppression occurs at very low dosages of oral LNG. It is possible that a lower dosage of LNG implant plus intramuscular testosterone ester could result in less HDL suppression (because of lower levels of intrahepatic LNG) while still effectively inducing uniform suppression of spermatogenesis and no weight gain.

There are several potential explanations for nonuniform suppression of spermatogenesis in our study. In 1 study of men administered transdermal T by patch plus oral LNG, men with higher baseline T and SHBG levels and higher sperm counts appeared to be less likely to develop oligozoospermia (Buchter et al, 1999). In another study of men administered intramuscular T undecanoate plus LNG implant (Sino-implant), men who suppressed to severe oligozoospermia had higher baseline LH levels and lower FSH levels than responders (Liu et al, 2004). However, we did not find any differences in total or calculated free T, SHBG, FSH, or LH at baseline or treatment between those men who suppressed to azoospermia or oligozoospermia and those who did not. One likely explanation of nonuniform achievement of azoospermia in our study of intramuscular T enanthate plus oral LNG is inadequate suppression of serum FSH (McLachlan et
al, 2004). While treatment serum LH levels were suppressed to or below the lower limit of quantification in the majority of subjects, treatment FSH levels were detectable in all but 5 subjects.

Several studies have demonstrated the synergistic effects of androgens plus progestogens for the suppression of circulating gonadotropins and spermatogenesis. Many androgen-progestogen regimens have been shown to achieve very high rates of severe oligozoospermia or azoospermia in small, short trials, and these combination regimens could provide safe, effective, and reversible male hormonal contraception. These regimens include the combination of subcutaneous T pellets plus either depot medroxyprogesterone, depot etonogestrel, or oral desogestrel or the combinations of intramuscular T undecanoate plus oral cyproterone acetate or norethindrone (oral or injectable) (Anderson et al, 2002a,b; Kamischke et al, 2002; Meriggiola et al, 2003b; Turner et al, 2003). Testosterone enanthate, the injectable T formulation used in our study, is an unattractive androgen formulation because it requires weekly injections. However, injectable T undecanoate, a long-acting T ester formulation that was not available in the United States when we initiated our study, may be injected intramuscularly at intervals as long as every 6–12 weeks and is thus convenient enough to be feasible in an androgen-based male hormonal contraceptive. Long-acting injectable formulations of an androgen plus a progestogen are attractive first candidates for a male hormonal contraceptive in part because these formulations are more likely to ensure greater compliance and greater contraceptive efficacy. The data from our study and the previous study of Norplant plus T enanthate suggest that a long-acting T formulation such as subcutaneous T pellets or intramuscular T undecanoate plus a lower dosage of LNG implant (eg, 150 mg q 6 months) might provide safe and effective contraception.

Although long-acting depot formulations of T plus progestogens appear to be the most likely male hormonal contraceptive regimens to be available soon, depot implantable formulations such as T pellets, Norplant II, or Sino-implant require a trained operator to implant. Therefore, regimens that could be self-administered or administered without special training will also be desirable. Like the combinations of long-acting intramuscular T undecanoate plus oral desogestrel, norethindrone, or cyproterone acetate, long-acting intramuscular T undecanoate plus very low dosage oral LNG appears to be an attractive contraceptive option that could be easily self-administered.

Although androgen-progestogen combinations show promise as safe, effective, and reversible contraceptive regimens, the only androgen-progestogen combination to be studied in a male contraceptive efficacy trial is implantable, long-acting T pellets plus depot medroxyprogesterone. This combination has recently been shown to provide safe and effective short-term contraception but is associated with weight gain (Turner et al, 2003). Women currently have many hormonal contraceptive options that offer them freedom of choice, convenience, and alternative when 1 option causes intolerable side effects. The time has come for men to have a similar broad range of hormonal contraceptive choices. Large, long-term clinical contraceptive efficacy trials should be conducted with a variety of androgen-progestogen combinations including long-acting T formulations such as depot T pellets or intramuscular T undecanoate plus depot LNG or very low dosage oral LNG.
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